Lecture 14. This week we will switch to the fantasy gent? cloning, teleportation, etc. A pivotal role in these algorithm is played by EPR pairs or, more generally, entangled states. Pet-n. Let V and W be two finite dimensional vector spaces. A vector helow is called indecomposable provided h cannot be written as hzvow with veland with veland Similarly, let 14> & fl, & fl, be a state vector.

Then 14> is called entangled provided 12> can not be written as 12> 214> & 14> &

Then It's is called entangled provided it's can not be writed it's can not be writed it's and it's fly.

Example. Let the the Co and it's the wise

We will show that it's is entangled. Indeed, otherwise

12>2 to (1007+(11>) = (2010>+2,11>) & (100)+11>) z

= Lopo 100>+ Lopo 101>+ Lopo 110>+ Lopo 110> + Lopo 110>

Lopo 2 to 2

Lipo 2 to 2

Lip

It is easy to see that the system above has no solution.

Nowk. The verter 122 above is called an EPR pair caster

Einstein Padolsky and Rosen, see their 1935 paper on Cauvas)

or Bell state (see his '1964 paper' on Cauvas).

No-clowing theorem. There is no operator $U \in U((C')^{\otimes n})$ such that $U(14>010^{n-2}) = 14>014>019arbage> for all qubits <math>(C^2)^{\otimes n-2}$ ancillas Proof. Straight forward: suppose such un operator u exists, then U(107@10^-1>)=107@10>@1garbaglo> U(11>@10^-1>)=117@11>@1garbaglo> and, as it is linear, 1 (14>8 10"-1>) = T ((((10>010"-1>)+ (((11>010"-1>)))= = 1/2 (10>010>01 garbageo>+ 1+>0 11>01 garbage,7). On the other hand, we would like to have Let's show that the equality (A) \(\frac{1}{12} \land \lan can not hold true. Indeed, 147014701qatbage+7= = 1 (1007+1017+110>+111>) & garbage theasuring the first two qubits on the l.h.s. and r.h.s of (#), we see that probabilities do not match up. For instance, the probability of getting 101> on the l.h.s is 0, while it is \(\frac{1}{2} \)^2 \frac{1}{4} on the r.h.s.

Kink. We have actually shown that it is impossible to 'clone' the three state vectors (02, 112 and 142 simultation). hears by. Quant um teleportation. Suppose Alice has a qubit 14>= L10>= B11>, which she would like Bob to have. Let's assume that Alice and Bob have prepared an EPR pair 1 (100> e111>), Alice stored the first qubit and Bob stored the second. Moreover, Alice can text Bob two classical bits. Here is a way to teleport 14>. Step 1. Alice has two qubits: 147 and the first qubit of the EPR pair. She applies CNDT with 147 being the control bit: 1十入8年(1002+1112)2(「1005年(1005年1112)~) ~> 15 (Llooo>+Llou>+ B/110>+B/10>). Step 2. Alice measures her second qubit: P(Alice's second) = (1)(12+1B12)= ±.

from 10000 from 1101) P(Alice's second) = (12) (112+18)2) = 1 (or simply 1-2=2) In the first case (2nd qubit is 107) the state collapses

to to (2(2002 + B1117), While if the second qubit is 1/2, the

state collapses to L1017+B110>, in which case Alice sends Bob the classical bit '1' and he applies a NOT to his state. This way, the resulting state at this point will always be £(L100>+B111>).

Step 3. Alice applies the Hadamard operator to her quhit: \(\frac{1}{12} \langle \frac{1}{100} \rangle \frac\

Step 4. Alice measures her qubit:

P(Alice's qubit) = (1) (12) (12) = 1

from 1000 From 1010

P(Alice's qubit) = 1

Step 4. Alice's qubit) = 1

15t.

2nd case: Bob has LlozeB11>=14> V

2nd case: Bob has LlozeB11>=14> V

Bob a 1-bit message '1' (2nd classical bit) and he applies the operator Zz (10) Ellz(C) on the qubit to get Z(L10>-B11>) = L10>+B11>=14> V

Informal slogan: 'lebit + 2 bits > I qubit (Bennet's law EPR pair Rmk. Alice doesn't have 14> anymore, so no-doning them was not violated.

Entanglement Swapping.
Suppose Alice shares an EPR part with Bob EPRAB = \frac{1}{2} (1002 + 1112)
EPRAB = = (100>+(11>)
and another EPR pair with the shire cat
EPRAC = = (100> +(11>).
The entanglement swapping allows to create an entangled pair of qubits between Boband the Cheshire cat without them having any interaction): Alice Epras Bob entanglement swapping Epras Suapping
(without them having any intersection):
Alice EPRAD Bob
enterglement 3 TPD
Swapping E RBC
The procedure is essentially build on Alice's teleporte tion to Bob her part (qubit) of the EPRAC pair: We give an outline.
tion to Bob her part (qubit) of the EPRAC pair: We give an outline.
① Starting state: \(\frac{1}{2}\)(100>+1117)\(\parting\)(100>+1001)+
+ (1100> + (1111>) (the 1st and 3th qubit belong to Alice),
2) Alice applies CNOT13 (1 st qubit is controlling 1302 giving the state \(\frac{1}{2}\) (10000>t10011>+11100>+11101>).
state = (10000>+(0011>+(1101>).
(3) Alice measures the third qubit producing the state
3) Alice measures the third qubit producing the state $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ (10002+1111>) \rightarrow texts 13cb & the classical bit 'False' (0)
1/2 (10012+1110>) -> texts Bob a 'True' (1) to apply NOT (and)

As a result the state will be \(\frac{1}{2} \) (10007 + 11117) in both cases.

4) Alice applies H on the 1st qubit, the state becomes
\(\frac{1}{12} \) (1+00> + 1-11>) \(\frac{1}{2} \) (1000> + 1100> + 1011> - 1111>)

5) Alice measures her first qubit:
\(if 10>, the state collapses to \(\frac{1}{2} \) (100> + 111>);
\(if 11\) the state collapses to \(\frac{1}{2} \) (100> - 111>.

In the first case Alice texts both Pool and the cat 'False', otherwise she texts one of them 'True' and the other False'. The one that receives 'True' applies \(\frac{1}{2} \) to his qubit.