# Local Recurrence After Hepatic Radiofrequency Coagulation Multivariate Meta-Analysis and Review of Contributing Factors

Stefaan Mulier, MD,\* Yicheng Ni, PhD,† Jacques Jamart, MD,‡ Theo Ruers, PhD,§ Guy Marchal, PhD,† and Luc Michel, MD\*

**Objective:** The purpose of this study was to analyze the factors that influence local recurrence after radiofrequency coagulation of liver tumors

**Summary Background Data:** Local recurrence rate varies widely between 2% and 60%. Apart from tumor size as an important risk factor for local recurrence, little is known about the impact of other factors.

**Methods:** An exhaustive literature search was carried out for the period from January 1, 1990 to January 1, 2004. Only series with a minimal follow-up of 6 months and/or mean follow-up of 12 months were included. Univariate and multivariate meta-analyses were carried out.

**Results:** Ninety-five independent series were included, allowing the analysis of the local recurrence rate of 5224 treated liver tumors. In a univariate analysis, tumor-dependent factors with significantly less local recurrences were: smaller size, neuroendocrine metastases, nonsubcapsular location, and location away from large vessels. Physician-dependent favorable factors were: surgical (open or laparoscopic) approach, vascular occlusion, general anesthesia, a 1-cm intentional margin, and a greater physician experience. In a multivariate analysis, significantly less local recurrences were observed for small size (P < 0.001) and a surgical (versus percutaneous) approach (P < 0.001).

**Conclusions:** Radiofrequency coagulation by laparoscopy or laparotomy results in superior local control, independent of tumor size. The percutaneous route should mainly be reserved for patients who cannot tolerate a laparoscopy or laparotomy. The short-term benefits of less invasiveness for the percutaneous route do not outweigh the longer-term higher risk of local recurrence.

(Ann Surg 2005;242: 158-171)

From the \*Department of Surgery, University Hospital of Mont-Godinne, Catholic University of Louvain, Yvoir, Belgium; †Department of Radiology, University Hospital Gasthuisberg, Catholic University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium; ‡Department of Biostatistics, University Hospital of Mont-Godinne, Catholic University of Louvain, Yvoir, Belgium; and \$Department of Surgery, University Medical Centre Nijmegen, Nijmegen, the Netherlands.

Reprints: Luc Michel, MD, FACS, Department of Surgery, University Hospital of Mont-Godinne, Avenue du Dr. Thérasse 1, B-5530 Yvoir, Belgium. E-mail: michel@chir.ucl.ac.be.

Copyright © 2005 by Lippincott Williams & Wilkins

ISSN: 0003-4932/05/24202-0158

DOI: 10.1097/01.sla.0000171032.99149.fe

Local recurrence rate after radiofrequency coagulation (RFC) of liver tumors varies widely between 2%<sup>1,2</sup> and 60%.<sup>3</sup> While nearly all authors agree that tumor size is an important risk factor for local recurrence, little is known about the impact of other factors, such as tumor pathology, tumor location, or approach. Two reasons account for this uncertainty. First, the number of tumors per series is limited, precluding a meaningful multivariate analysis. Second, length of follow-up is often not sufficient to allow local recurrences to surface. The purpose of this study was to identify and analyze the factors that may influence local recurrence in an exhaustive meta-analysis.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Data Accrual**

We carried out an exhaustive PubMed search of the world literature for the period from January 1, 1990 to January 1, 2004 using keywords (radiofrequency, radio-frequency or radio frequency) and (liver or hepatic or hepatocellular) in English, French, German, Italian, Spanish, Danish, and Dutch. All abstract supplements from the same period published in Radiology, American Journal of Radiology, Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology, European Radiology, and Surgical Endoscopy were searched manually. Relevant papers were also identified from the reference lists of the papers previously obtained through the search and from abstracts from recent international meetings. In case of overlap between 2 reports, only the most detailed report was included. Only series with a minimal follow-up of 6 months and/or or mean follow-up of 12 months were included. Reports about treatments obtained with noncommercial electrodes and treatments with palliative intent (intentional partial debulking) were excluded. When appropriate, authors were contacted to obtain more details about the cases they reported.

# **Definitions**

Local recurrence was defined as radiologic (CT, MRI or contrast-enhanced ultrasound) and/or histologic (tumor cells with intact mitochondrial enzyme staining) detection of

residual or recurrent viable tumor at the site of the original tumor, during follow-up and after completion of all (one or more) sessions. Our definition of local recurrence also includes tumors for which no complete coagulation could be obtained despite one or more RFC sessions.

#### Size

Tumors were classified as small ( $\leq$ 3 cm), medium (3–5 cm), and large (>5 cm) according to a recent international proposal.<sup>4</sup>

Subcapsular tumor was defined as a tumor 1 cm or less under the liver capsule.

*Proximity of large vessel* was defined as the situation where the tumor invades, abuts, or is situated within 5 mm of a vessel of at least 3 mm in diameter.<sup>1,5</sup>

Partial vascular occlusion was defined as a temporary or permanent occlusion of the hepatic artery or its branches by either an intravascular balloon during the procedure or a (chemo) embolization immediately or a few days before the procedure.

Full vascular occlusion was defined as the performance of a Pringle maneuver (clamping of both the hepatic artery and the portal vein) during the procedure.

Intentional margin was defined as the minimal margin of coagulation at each side of the tumor that was aimed for in each report.

Physician's experience was defined as the total number of tumors treated by RFC, included in the meta-analysis, performed by the same author. For the analysis of this variable, multicenter studies were excluded.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

In univariate analysis, recurrence rates were compared between groups by a  $\chi^2$  or Fisher exact test when groups were nominal categories and by a Cochrane test when they were ordinal categories. A multivariate analysis was performed by logistic regression with Wald test for assessing the significance of any variable. All statistical tests are 2-tailed. Analysis was performed using SPSS statistical software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

#### **RESULTS**

Ninety-five independent series were included, allowing the analysis of the local recurrence rate of 5224 treated liver tumors. 2,5–98

Tumors were coagulated percutaneously (67.9%), laparoscopically (11.6%), or by laparotomy (20.5%). Total local recurrence rate was 12.4% (647 of 5224). In a univariate analysis (Table 1), tumor-dependent factors with significantly less local recurrences were: small size, neuroendocrine metastases, nonsubcapsular location, and location away from large vessels. Physician-dependent favorable factors were: surgical (open or laparoscopic) approach, vascular occlusion,

general anesthesia, a 1-cm intentional margin, and a greater physician experience.

In a multivariate analysis, significantly less local recurrences were observed for small size (P < 0.001) and a surgical (versus percutaneous) approach (P < 0.001).

Local recurrence rates were lower after a surgical approach for each size category, even for small tumors (Table 2).

#### **DISCUSSION**

### **Meta-Analysis**

Local recurrence rate after RFC of liver tumors varies widely between 2%<sup>1,2</sup> and 60%.<sup>3</sup> While nearly all authors agree that tumor size is an important risk factor for local recurrence, little is known about the impact of other factors, such as tumor pathology, tumor location, or approach. The few series that have looked at factors influencing local recurrences have produced conflicting results, probably because of a limited number of tumors in most of them, precluding a meaningful multivariate analysis.<sup>5,52,58,63,99-103</sup>

Awaiting multivariate analyses in large prospective trials, this meta-analysis comes as close as one can currently get to study the factors influencing local recurrence after RFC. As every meta-analysis, it has its strengths and weaknesses. As for its strengths, several measures have been taken to obtain the most correct reflection of daily RFC practice in the world (as opposed to the practice in a handful selected high-volume centers of excellence). Reports with a limited number of cases, including small centers and centers that have only recently started with this technique, were included. To have broader coverage, reports in 7 languages were included. To counter a publication bias (ie, that bad results are less likely to be published), not only peer-reviewed articles but also abstracts from international meetings were included.

As for its weaknesses, the individual series in this meta-analysis may not be entirely comparable. Definitions may differ slightly between reports. Some authors have collected their data retrospectively rather than prospectively. Follow-up duration varies, even if a minimum follow-up of 6 months was required. Electrode and generator technology have evolved rapidly and may be different between early and recent series. We found however no significant impact of the year of publication on local recurrence rate (Table 1).

A second weakness is that not all series reported the data on all of the analyzable factors or did not report them on an individual tumor basis. The lacking of some of the individual data did not hamper the univariate analysis as much as the multivariate analysis, in which all the data had to be present simultaneously. Only 2 of the 9 factors that had a statistically significant impact on local recurrence in the univariate analysis remained significant in the multivariate

T	ABLE 1.	Local Recurrence Ra	ate: Univariable	Analysis of	Contributing	Factors

Factor	Category	% of Recurrences	No. of Cases	No. of Recurrences	P
Diameter	>5 cm	58.1	31	18	< 0.001
	3–5 cm	24.5	106	26	
	<3 cm	14.1	1,680	237	
	Total		1,817		
Pathology	Hepatocellular carcinoma	14.9	2,369	352	< 0.001
	Colon cancer metastases	14.7	763	112	
	Unspecified metastases	9.8	1,046	102	
	Breast cancer metastases	8.2	97	8	
	Neuroendocrine metastases	3.3	330	11	
	Total	0.0	4,605		
Proximity major vessel	Yes	36.5	104	38	< 0.001
Troximity major vesser	No	6.3	271	17	\0.001
	Total	0.5	375	1 /	
Location	Subcapsular	61.5	13	8	< 0.001
Location	Nonsubcapsular	15.8	57	9	<0.001
	Total	13.0	70	,	
Approach	Percutaneous	16.4	3,002	493	< 0.001
Approach	Laparoscopic	5.8	515	30	<b>\0.001</b>
		3.8 4.4	907	40	
	Open	4.4		40	
T. 4 4 1	Total	145	4,424	470	<0.001
Intentional margin	0 cm	14.5	3,293	478	< 0.001
	0.5 cm	16.4	440	72	
	1 cm	6.5	1,491	97	
	Total	4.0	5,224		
Vascular occlusion	No	12.8	4,262	547	0.038
	Yes	9.3	428	40	
	Total		4,690		
Anesthesia	Local/sedation	14.3	949	136	< 0.001
	General	6.2	1,542	95	
	Total		2,491		
Imaging	MRI	22.7	22	5	0.054
	CT	19.6	56	11	
	Ultrasound	11.7	4,263	499	
	Total		4,341		
Physician's experience	<20 tumors	17.7	249	44	< 0.001
	21–50 tumors	15.9	904	144	
	51–100 tumors	13.8	976	135	
	>100 tumors	9.6	2,366	227	
	Total		4,495		
Year of publication	1998–1999	11.6	155	18	0.074
_	2000	12.2	1,212	148	
	2001	15.4	1,309	201	
	2002	10.9	1,008	110	
	2003	11.0	1,540	170	
	Total		5,224		

**TABLE 2.** Local Recurrence Rate According to Size and Approach

	Percutaneous (%)	Laparoscopy/Laparotomy (%)		
≤3 cm	16.0	3.6		
3-5 cm	25.9	21.7		
>5 cm	60.0	50.0		

analysis. For the reason mentioned, this should not be interpreted as proof of absence of an independent impact of the 7 other factors. In other words, because of the lack of some data on an individual tumor basis, the multivariate analysis probably underestimates the number of factors with an independent influence on local recurrence.

# **Duration of Follow-up**

In the present study, a minimum follow-up of 6 months for each tumor and/or a mean follow-up of at least 12 months for the whole series was required for inclusion. When applying a more strict inclusion criterion (minimum follow-up of 6 months for each tumor), only 3010 tumors were available for analysis, but the statistical results were the same. Extending the minimum follow-up period to 12 months or more did not allow inclusion of a sufficient number of tumors for a meaningful analysis.

A minimal follow-up of 6 months very probably underestimates the real local recurrence rate. Radiologic local recurrences have been reported after 12 months or longer, <sup>2,7,10,31,35,52,57,70,77</sup> 18 months or longer, <sup>2,31,52,77</sup> and even up to 23 months. <sup>77</sup> In one series, local recurrence rate at 12, 24, and 36 months was 3, 5, and 7 times, respectively, higher than after 6 months. <sup>101</sup>

Another indication that a 6-month follow-up period most likely underestimates the true local recurrence rate comes from reports of histologic examination after resection of tumors that had been treated by RFC. Eleven studies reported cases of microscopic residual viable tumor despite a negative CT. <sup>9,31,71,104–106,175</sup> Only with time will these tumor nests grow sufficiently large to appear on imaging. For these reasons, future authors are encouraged to restrict reporting results after RFC to patients with a minimal follow-up of 12 months.

#### Importance of Local Recurrence

A local recurrence seriously jeopardizes the chances of cure, as re-treatment is often impossible or has a high risk of failure. In an exhaustive review of 153 reports on RFC up until January 1, 2004, we found only 18 authors (12%) who reported an attempt at local re-treatment. <sup>18,25,32,61,63,64,70,91,100,107–109,175</sup> Of these reports, 13 were sufficiently detailed for analysis. <sup>18,25,32,61,63,64,70,107,108,175</sup> In total, of 64 recurrent tumors, only 35 (55%) were re-treated and a complete coagulation was

obtained in only 23 cases (36%). One report described 64 patients with local recurrences, of which only 34 received re-treatment. <sup>109</sup> This report did not mention the success rate of re-treatment. Reasons for not considering re-treatment were unfavorable geometry<sup>108</sup> and diffuse metastases. <sup>18,107</sup>

The poor local results of patients with established recurrent tumor during follow-up after completion of one or more sessions of RFC should not be confused with the better results of repeat RFC in cases where incomplete tumor coagulation is detected on immediate follow-up imaging, a common practice after a percutaneous approach. For example, in a series of 364 hepatocellular carcinomas (HCC) smaller than 3.5 cm, 1 percutaneous session achieved a complete coagulation at immediate post-RFC CT in 77% of tumors. Immediately after the second session in the incompletely treated cases, 99.7% of tumors appeared completely coagulated on CT.<sup>110</sup>

#### **Importance of Number of Tumors**

In this meta-analysis, we defined the local recurrence rate on a tumor base, for reasons of technical comparability. What counts for a patient, however, is the patient-based local recurrence rate. The more tumors a patient has, the more he is at risk for having at least one local recurrence. The deleterious effect of every factor that increases local recurrence rate on a single tumor level is being amplified in patients with multiple tumors.

# Tumor-Dependent Factors

Size

Nearly all authors agree that size is an important factor determining local recurrence rate,  $^{3,12,22,24,31,37,49,52,54,58,63,70,80,83,94,99-102,105,108,109,111-114}$  with rare exceptions.  $^{5,103}$ 

Several factors may contribute to the higher local recurrence rate for larger tumors. First, the fact that the size of individual RFC lesions is limited. A single coagulation may be sufficient to cover a small tumor and its 1-cm safety margin at both sides, but not to cover a large tumor. Unfortunately, when more than one treatment session is needed to obtain a complete coagulation, a higher risk of local recurrence has been described.<sup>7</sup>

For large tumors, in mathematical models, a large number of precisely calculated overlapping coagulations is necessary. For example, to cover a 3-cm tumor and its safety margin with an electrode that produces a perfectly spherical coagulation of 3 cm, 14 overlapping coagulations are required. Many authors, however, restrict the number of overlapping coagulations to 2 or 3. The technique of overlap is not easy: using ultrasound, it is difficult to visualize the tumor after the first coagulation session due to the appearance of a hyperechogenic microbubble cloud. Performing the overlaps in a mathematically regular fashion is

difficult, especially percutaneously. As a result, nests of viable tumor cells will remain in the clefts between the incompletely fused coagulation zones. As an alternative to overlapping coagulations, new electrodes that claim to produce larger coagulation zones in a single session have been introduced recently.<sup>116</sup> Regrettably, no scientific data on size and geometry obtained by these electrodes<sup>116</sup> were available by January 1, 2004.

A second factor is that larger tumors more frequently have irregular borders than small tumors. They also more frequently present satellite lesions that are at a greater distance from the main tumor. This is true for colorectal metastases as well as for HCC. These satellite lesions are often invisible on pre-RFC imaging. If the coagulation is restricted to the main tumor without safety margin, spiky irregular extensions and satellites will be left untreated.

# **Pathology**

The impact of pathology on local recurrence rate is unclear in the literature. <sup>3,5,83,99,102,103</sup> The univariate analysis of all cases shows that local control is best for neuroendocrine metastases, followed by breast cancer metastases. Local recurrence rates for HCC and colorectal metastases were similar.

Differences in local recurrence rate between various tumor types may be due to differences in the mean natural growth rate. In this hypothesis, local recurrences of slow-growing tumors (such as neuroendocrine metastases) will appear later than recurrences of fast-growing tumors. For medium (3–5 cm) and large (>5 cm) HCC, an infiltrating growth pattern (irregular margins, peripheral portal invasion, extranodal growth) is associated with a clearly higher risk of local recurrence than a noninfiltrating growth pattern (smooth, well-circumscribed margins or surrounded by a capsule). For small HCC (≤3 cm), however, presence or absence of a capsule did not influence risk of local recurrence. 94,101

### **Proximity of Large Vessels**

The literature is not clear about the influence of the proximity of large vessels on the risk of local recurrence. Residual or recurrent tumor near large vessels was reported by 20 authors<sup>30,32,35,47,49,70,80,108,111,112,114,121–123,175</sup> and 3 comparative studies found an increased risk,<sup>5,12,63</sup> but 2 other studies did not.<sup>52,101</sup> This meta-analysis, however, clearly confirms the negative impact of the proximity of large vessels on the risk of local recurrence.

In animal experiments with perfused liver, a rim of viable tissue around the vessel is observed in 100% of vessels >5 mm; in 29% of vessels 3 to 5 mm, and in 3% of vessels <3 mm. 124 After percutaneous RFC or laser therapy, residual tumor was observed in 100% of tumors adjacent to the vena cava, in 57% of tumors adjacent to the portal vein, and in 33% of tumors adjacent to the hepatic veins. 121

Two strategies that may counter the perivascular heatsink<sup>4</sup> effect include the Pringle maneuver and manipulation of the electrode.

Pringle Maneuver. Compared with RFC in perfused liver, the very common distortion near blood vessels disappears almost completely with a Pringle maneuver. 116,125–127 Two biophysical phenomena govern the shape of an RFC lesion near a blood vessel. The first is the well-known heat-sink effect, in which the cooler blood carries away part of the generated heat and causes a type 1 distortion of the RFC lesion. 116 The second is the much less known attraction of the RFC current to the vessel because of the higher electrical conductivity of blood. With preserved blood flow, the dominating heat-sink effect annihilates the effect of the second phenomenon. With interrupted blood flow only, the second phenomenon will play a role, and it will cause a preferential perivascular heating, which is exactly what is needed.

A Pringle maneuver has to be used with caution, as it may cause hepatic vessel thrombosis. In a recent review of complications of RFC, the clinical risk of portal vein thrombosis was 0.2% in 3227 patients with normal blood flow versus 4.2% in 96 patients with a Pringle maneuver throughout the whole RFC procedure. 129 Interestingly, in a series of 123 patients treated with a short (2–3 minutes) Pringle maneuver, no patient developed a portal thrombosis despite the fact that 71.6% of the tumors were within 5 mm of large vessels 1; and the local recurrence rate after a median follow-up of 15 months was only 1.8%. The risk of hepatic vein thrombosis seems to be much lower (2 in 3670 patients) than for portal vein thrombosis. 129

Manipulation of the Electrode. Several tips and tricks have been described to counter the heat-sink effect of perivascular tumors. The first tip is to apply the current first to the deepest (ie, most central) part of the tumor, which contains the afferent vessels, to enhance coagulation of the devascularized remaining part of the tumor. Preferably, using color Doppler, the electrode tip is positioned precisely near the feeding vessel. 130,131 A second tip was recommended for the 4-prong model 30 RITA electrode, which is now less frequently used. When target temperatures were not reached at the 4 prongs due to the presence of a large vessel, the prongs were retracted after the first coagulation, the electrode was twisted 45°, and the prongs were redeployed to perform a second coagulation. 114,123,131 A third tip is to deploy the prongs only halfway, to concentrate current and heating in a smaller area, and to overcome tissue cooling by the blood flow. 58,132 This way, complete coagulation of 3 perivascular tumors has been reported. 132 A drawback of all 3 tips and tricks is that experimental evidence of their efficacy is lacking, and proof of their clinical efficacy is only anecdotal.

#### **Subcapsular Location**

A subcapsular location was found to significantly increase local recurrence rate in 2 studies, 52,101 which was confirmed in our meta-analysis. A third study, published after the deadline of inclusion in the meta-analysis, found no difference in local recurrence rate between subcapsular and nonsubcapsular tumors. 133 All subcapsular tumors of the meta-analysis, including those of the first 2 studies, 52,101 had been approached percutaneously under local anesthesia with or without sedation, whereas 75% of subcapsular tumors in the third study<sup>133</sup> had been approached surgically under general anesthesia. Therefore, 2 factors may account for the different outcome between these studies. First, it is possible that in the percutaneous approach, subcapsular tumors have been undertreated for fear of burning adjacent organs, diaphragm, or the abdominal wall. 52,101,112 Second, a percutaneous treatment of subcapsular tumors under local anesthesia with or without sedation can be painful, 133 which may have prevented a correct complete coagulation. 112 In conclusion, a subcapsular location is probably not a risk factor for local recurrence per se, but only if RFC is performed percutaneously. For this reason, as well as for the increased risk of bleeding and seeding when treated percutaneously, <sup>129</sup> a laparoscopic or open approach is favored for subcapsular tumors. 52,101,129

# Physician-Dependent Factors Approach

RFC was pioneered by interventional radiologists. They reported the first experiments of RFC on ex vivo liver<sup>134</sup> and in vivo animal livers<sup>135</sup> in 1990 and the first clinical results in 1992. Surgeons entered the field only in 1996. When RFC was first introduced clinically, it was entirely experimental and considered as a palliative treatment. In that context, the percutaneous route was justified as it was the least invasive and a less costly approach. Even today, the majority of RFC procedures are still performed percutaneously. In this meta-analysis, tumors were coagulated percutaneously in 67.9%, by laparotomy in 20.5%, and laparoscopically in 11.6%.

Given the absence of randomized trials, there is no consensus among experts about which approach is best. <sup>138</sup> Some authors that used more than one approach found better local control after a surgical approach, <sup>3,5,11,102,103,122,139</sup> while other reports found no statistically significant difference. <sup>1,12,22,54,63,111,114,140</sup> One author <sup>99</sup> found worse results after the percutaneous approach in the univariate analysis of his results, but not in the multivariate analysis.

In the present meta-analysis, a surgical approach (lap-arotomy or laparoscopy) clearly yielded statistically significantly (P < 0.001) superior results than a percutaneous approach, independent of the size of the tumors (Table 2).

Several factors may contribute to better results after a surgical approach. Intraoperative ultrasound greatly improves spatial resolution. The probe is placed directly on the liver surface, without sound attenuation by skin and subcutaneous tissue. Further, the acoustic window is much wider compared with external ultrasound, which is hampered by the interposition of ribs and bowel. 141 Many studies have demonstrated a ±30% increase in tumor detection rate by intraoperative ultrasound during laparoscopy<sup>18,80,114,175</sup> or laparotomy<sup>62,114,175</sup> compared with preoperative imaging. Several authors have reported improved visibility of the tumor itself, although no firm literature data are available, probably because this is much more difficult to quantify. These authors claim better tumor visualization compared with external ultrasound, especially of tumors located in the superior right lobe of the liver. <sup>63,71,106,142,175</sup> They also report better identification of tumor margins and small satellite nodules. 3,7,80,111,143 Improved visibility will lead to a more correct insertion of the electrodes and an increased chance of complete covering of the tumor, including its irregular margins, satellites, and a 1-cm safety margin.

RFC by a surgical approach allows an easy access to tumors located in the superior right lobe of the liver, which are often hard to reach percutaneously. 63,71,106,142,175 The surgical, especially the open approach, provides a larger degree of freedom for inserting the electrodes under an optimal angle, with mobilization of the liver if necessary. 142,144 In the percutaneous approach, the electrodes have to be inserted through a narrow access window, between ribs or subcostally.<sup>3</sup> In the laparoscopic approach, because of the pneumoperitoneum and the upward movement of the diaphragm, liver movement is minimal, facilitating precise electrode placement. 145 The surgical route allows multiple parallel reinsertions of the electrode in cases where overlapping coagulations are necessary, which is difficult percutaneously. 106 In the future, novel RFC electrodes that would allow a large and reliable coagulation zone with a single insertion could take away this current disadvantage of the percutaneous route.

Intraoperative RFC allows the use of a full Pringle maneuver, which has been shown to result in larger, more complete, and less distorted coagulation zones when compared with normal hepatic flow or interrupting the flow in the hepatic artery only. 116 Even if the surgeon does not perform a Pringle maneuver during laparoscopy, a 12-mm Hg pneumoperitoneum by itself causes a 40% decrease of portal vein flow, with a subsequent increase in RFC size. 146

For fear of burning adjacent organs, diaphragm, or the abdominal wall, subcapsular tumors are often undertreated by a percutaneous approach, leading to higher local recurrence rates compared with deeper tumors. 52,101,112

Finally, it appeared that an intended safety margin of 1 cm, which was found to be associated with less local recurrence in our univariate analysis, was used much less in the percutaneous approach than in the surgical approach (Table 3). The surgical route is used mainly by surgeons (although in some centers an interventional radiologists scrubs and performs the RFC), while the percutaneous route is used mainly by radiologists (although surgeons also perform percutaneous RFC for selected indications). It is likely that surgeons more rigorously apply the 1-cm oncologic margin in RFC because they have been using it in hepatic surgery for over 20 years.

While formal proof of the therapeutic value of RFC awaits the results of randomized trials such as the recently opened CLOCC 40004 trial (chemotherapy + local ablation versus chemotherapy) of the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer, there are currently good indications that RFC may potentially lead to curative treatment of individual metastases. If cure is possible, then the most reliable treatment protocol should be chosen, even if it is more invasive and costly. This meta-analysis indicates that RFC by laparoscopy or laparotomy results in superior local control, independent of tumor size. While some authors argue that a percutaneous approach is acceptable for small tumors, our data indicate that the superiority of the surgical approach is even more evident for small than for larger tumors (Table 2). The surgical route is the first choice approach for any patient who can tolerate a laparoscopy or laparotomy. The short-term benefits of less invasiveness for the percutaneous route do not outweigh the longer-term higher risk of local recurrence, as a local recurrence may jeopardize cure.

The percutaneous route remains valuable for certain indications. First, it is indicated for patients that are too fragile to undergo laparoscopy or laparotomy. Second, tumors that are invisible on ultrasound imaging can be treated by a CT- or MRI-guided percutaneous procedure. Third, it is not excluded that some highly specialized centers through expertise and patient selection can produce equivalent results by the percutaneous approach to those obtained after a surgical route. Such centers, however, are rare. For percutaneously treated tumors  $\leq 3$  cm, only one of 5 reports with at least 50 tumors came close (0%) to the 3.6% local recurrence rate of the surgical approach. The other 4 series obtained local recurrence rates between 13% and 26%.  $^{52,77,83,84}$ 

#### Margin

The importance of a 1-cm oncologic safety margin in RFC of liver metastases<sup>2,12,18,46,62,63,67,70,74,87,97,103,112,114,116,175</sup> and HCC<sup>12,18,22,46,63,70,87,97,103,112,114,116,133,175</sup> has been stressed mainly by surgeons. Some authors, mainly in the radiologic literature, have lowered the proposed safety margin to 0.5 cm for metastases<sup>5,13,17,23,25,30,39,41,42,55,60,61,80,88,104,113,175</sup> and HCC.<sup>5,8,11,17,23,25,39,41,42,57,80,88,94,96,104,175</sup> A third group of authors explicitly state that a margin is not necessary for HCC.<sup>13,113,175</sup>

At present, there is only one study relating the local recurrence rate to the peritumoral coagulation margin. In this short-term follow-up study, much less local recurrences were observed after RFC of HCC with a margin of 5 mm versus no margin. <sup>147</sup> Our meta-analysis found that local recurrence rates are higher when the physician does not aim at coagulating a peritumoral margin of 1 cm. Local recurrences at the edge of an initially complete radiologic coagulation have been reported by many authors. <sup>2,7,15–17,22,27,30,34,35,37,39,40,43,48,50,51,55–58,61,70,72–75,77,87–89,91,94,96</sup> The underlying reason may be that the tumor can microscopically extend further than macroscopically suspected.

In HCC, viable satellite nodules were found in 57% of patients who underwent transplantation after RFC, despite a complete marginal necrosis of the main tumor. <sup>68</sup> In medium (3–5 cm) and large (>5 cm) HCC, microscopic tumor extends more than 2 cm beyond macroscopic borders in 67%. <sup>119</sup> In small HCC ( $\leq$ 3 cm), microscopic tumor extends more than 1 cm beyond macroscopic borders in 60%. <sup>119</sup> Even in the most favorable subgroup, nodular-type HCC of less than 2 cm, satellites 10 mm from the nodule were observed in 10% of cases and microscopic portal invasion in up to 25% of cases. <sup>148</sup>

In resected colorectal metastases, microscopic bile duct, portal, or hepatic vein invasion or peritumoral micrometastases is found in 31% to 50%, up until 9 to 21 mm from the macroscopic tumor edge. 118,149

The histologic data and the results of our meta-analysis provide the rationale to recommend a minimal safety margin of 1 cm for both primary and secondary liver tumors. A marginal coagulation of neuroendocrine metastases may be sufficient when the intent is purely palliative. <sup>10,18,40,54,93</sup>

TABLE 3. Intentional Margin According to Approach						
Approach	No. of Cases	No Margin	0.5 cm Margin	1 cm Margin	P	
Percutaneous	3046	88.4% (2692)	5.4% (165)	6.2% (189)	< 0.001	
Surgical	1248	28.8% (360)	13.6% (170)	57.5% (718)	< 0.001	

Correctly obtaining a minimal 1-cm coagulation margin at all sides is not that easy. First, in the transverse plane perpendicular to the electrode, the electrode tip may not be placed perfectly in the center. In an experimental study, the mean distance between the center of the tumor and the center of the thermal coagulation was  $3.8 \pm 1.5$  mm. <sup>150</sup> In patients, after satisfactory placement of an electrode under 2-dimensional imaging, 3-dimensional imaging disclosed unacceptable eccentric device placement in 40% to 45%. 151,152 Second, in the axial plane parallel to the electrode, the exact position of the electrode tip is not always easy to verify and a straight electrode may slide after placement. 123 Moreover, there are no data in the literature on the precise position of the coagulation zone in relation to the electrode tip. 116 Third, the diameter of coagulation lesions is rather variable, with a wide range between minimum and maximal diameter. 116,153 Data on size and geometry obtained by current RFC electrodes have recently been published. 116

The effect of the addition of all these small errors is illustrated by an experiment in which simulated 1-cm tumors were coagulated with an expandable electrode with an expected thermal coagulation diameter of 3 cm. Instead of obtaining a 1 cm safety margin around the tumor, the mean margin was only 0.16 cm with a positive margin in 23%. <sup>150</sup>

Reporting the extent of the resection margin is essential in any publication on hepatectomy for tumor. In the RFC literature, similar information on the peritumoral coagulation margin is inexistent, with a rare exception. <sup>147,154,155</sup> By comparing pre- and post-RFC CT/MR images with superimposing of hepatic anatomic landmarks, the minimal coagulation margin can be measured. <sup>154</sup> Some reports compared

pre-RFC tumor volumes with post-RFC coagulation volumes or pre-RFC tumor diameter with post-RFC coagulation diameter. These surrogate measures are not recommended: the margin can be positive when the coagulation zone is asymmetric or eccentric, even when the coagulation diameter exceeds the tumor diameter or the coagulation volume triples the tumor volume.

#### **Vascular Occlusion**

The clinical value of vascular occlusion has been regarded as controversial. For HCC treated percutaneously, 3 studies found better local control of HCC when RFC was combined with occlusion of the hepatic artery, <sup>8,96,156</sup> while 2 did not. <sup>50,52</sup> No studies were available that evaluate the influence of a Pringle maneuver on local recurrence for the surgical approach. In this meta-analysis, local control was better with vascular occlusion than with normal blood flow. The benefit of vascular occlusion is proven only for large tumors (Table 4).

The differences in local control rate can be explained by the negative effects of a perfusion-mediated tissue cooling on size and geometry of RFC lesions. 4,116 Compared with RFC in perfused liver, thermal coagulations are larger and more regular when performing RFC during blood flow interruption. These findings are more pronounced in case of complete interruption of inflow (Pringle maneuver) or outflow (occlusion of hepatic veins), than with partial occlusion, ie, only the hepatic artery or the portal vein. 104,116,125–127,157,158

A Pringle maneuver (clamping the hepatic artery and portal vein at the level of the porta hepatis) can be performed

TABLE 4.  Effect of Hepatic Artery Occlusion on Local Recurrence (Percutaneous Approach)						
Hepatic artery occlusion	39	9	23.1%	NS		
Normal flow	1,319	208	15.8%	NS		
>3 cm	No. of Cases	No. of Recurrences	% Recurrences	P		
Hepatic artery occlusion	105	17	16.2%	< 0.001		
Normal flow	164	67	40.9%	< 0.001		

Effect of Pringle on Local Recurrence (Surgical Approach)

≤3 cm*	No. of Cases	No. of Recurrences	% Recurrences	P
Pringle	50	0	0%	NS
Normal flow	109	6	5.5%	NS

<sup>\*</sup>For tumors >3 cm treated by a surgical approach, too few data were available for analysis.

during laparotomy  $^{22,30,62,63,70,74,85,93,99,143}$  as well as during laparoscopy.  $^{36,127,175}$ 

For patients treated by a percutaneous approach, a hepatic artery occlusion can be performed by balloon catheter occlusion, <sup>33,72,96,159</sup> by selective embolization, <sup>13,33,72,94</sup> or by chemoembolization. <sup>8,27,50,156</sup> Selective occlusion of a hepatic vein may in theory be as effective as a Pringle maneuver, <sup>116,126</sup> but it is less frequently performed. <sup>25,33,175</sup> Hypotensive anesthesia <sup>160</sup> yields larger coagulation lesions than normotensive anesthesia, but the effect on local recurrence rates has not yet been reported. Percutaneous balloon occlusion of the portal vein is still experimental <sup>161</sup> and unlikely to be of any benefit, given the predominantly arterial vascularization of liver tumors. <sup>162</sup>

Based on our current findings, vascular occlusion is recommended for the treatment of tumors >3 cm.

### Anesthesia

We found no studies that looked at the effect of the type of anesthesia on local recurrence, although one author reported higher coagulation volumes after RFC under general anesthesia versus local anesthesia with or without sedation. 112 Our meta-analysis of the whole series indicates that local control is superior for RFC performed during general anesthesia compared with local anesthesia with or without sedation (Table 1). As surgical cases are always done under general anesthesia, analysis was repeated for the percutaneous cases only, which showed no significant differences in local recurrence rate.

Procedures under local anesthesia with or without sedation are often painful, 1,122 especially when the diameter of the thermal lesion exceeds 3 cm, 163 when the tumor is superficial or in contact to pain-sensitive Glissonian structures, 112,133,163 when treatment power exceeds 100 W, or when electric current exceeds 1 to 1.5 Amp. 163 Pain may force the physician to lower the current intensity, to shorten coagulation duration, or to limit the number of overlapping coagulations. Incomplete tumor coagulation has been explicitly attributed to pain during a procedure under sedation. 112

For a procedure under local anesthesia, the patient has to breathe in deeply and then hold his breath during electrode insertion. Under general anesthesia, inadvertent breathing movements while inserting the electrode are prevented. The anesthetist can be asked for a short-lasting apnea to facilitate a difficult electrode positioning. 113

General anesthesia carries the additional advantage that systolic blood pressure can be lowered, aiming at a decreased liver blood flow and an increased coagulation diameter. 157,160

# **Electrodes**

At present, 6 companies have marketed RFC electrodes: Valleylab, Boulder, CO (formerly, Radionics); RITA Medical Systems, Mountain View, CA; Boston Scientific

(formerly, Radiotherapeutics), Natick, MA; Berchtold, Tuttlingen, Germany; Invatec, Roncadelle, Italy; and Celon AG Medical Instruments, Teltow, Germany. The influence of electrodes on local recurrence rate is unknown. Several studies found equal results between electrodes. 5,52,77,103 There are currently more than 28 RFC electrodes on the market, 116 each of which can be used with a number of different protocols. 116,164 Comparison of results with different electrodes in this meta-analysis was not possible.

A recent review<sup>116</sup> showed that scientific data about size and geometry of coagulations obtained by current commercial electrodes are scarce. Data on the most basic parameter, ie, the transverse diameter in perfused pig liver, were available for only 10 of the 28 electrodes on the market. The paucity of data on size and geometry of the coagulation zone was incriminated explicitly as cause of several local recurrences in a recent study.<sup>103</sup> The authors strongly recommend that new electrodes not be approved for release on the market without a complete set of experimental data on the size and geometry of the coagulation. Companies should also quickly provide data for those electrodes that are already for sale.<sup>116</sup>

For those electrodes for which experimental data are available, a substantial variability of coagulation diameters has been observed. An electrode used in the same experiment using the same treatment algorithms can yield coagulation lesions with a difference of up to 3.2 cm between minimal and maximal diameter. Overestimation of expected coagulation size may contribute to failure of local tumor control. Future research should focus on the development of novel electrodes that yield coagulations with more predictable diameters and shapes.

#### **Imaging**

In the meta-analysis, 98% of tumors have been treated under ultrasound guidance. Percutaneous RFC can also be performed under CT<sup>21,64,89,94,96,105</sup> or MRI. <sup>43,48,88,112,175</sup> Proponents of CT and MRI claim superiority in the treatment of tumors that are less conspicuous on ultrasound, particularly those in less accessible areas, such as the right hepatic dome. <sup>48,94,112</sup> MRI holds the prospect of on-line monitoring of the thermocoagulation process. <sup>48,112</sup> Contrast-enhanced color Doppler allows immediate recognition of remaining viable parts of HCC. <sup>20</sup> There are no comparative studies on outcome after each of these imaging modalities. The meta-analysis found no significant differences.

#### Physician's Experience

A recent study found significantly more local recurrences in the first group of 50 patients versus the second group of 50 patients treated with RFC in the same center. <sup>103</sup> The present meta-analysis confirms the importance of experience: authors who treated large numbers of tumors had significantly less local recurrences than authors who treated

fewer tumors. Measures to shorten the learning curve may include appraisal of the literature, visiting specialized centers, and participating in training workshops with animal models. Physicians have an ethical obligation to acquire a thorough understanding of the physics, the possible complications, and the correct application of RFC prior to using it in patients. Regrettably, a minimally prepared physician who performs his first RFC directly on a patient, guided only by a company representative, is not an exception.

# RFC Versus Surgery for Resectable Colorectal Metastases

The local recurrence rates obtained by RFC are encouraging in case of unresectable colorectal metastases. Nevertheless, no data from randomized trials are available evaluating the effect of RFC on overall survival when compared with chemotherapy alone. RFC for unresectable colorectal liver metastases seems justified only when such trials demonstrate a clear benefit on overall survival of RFC over chemotherapy. Currently such a trial (EORTC-CLOCC) is under way.

For patients with resectable colorectal metastases, current local recurrence rates are totally inacceptable, except maybe for the 3.6% local recurrence rate after RFC of small (≤3 cm) tumors by a surgical approach. Surgical RFC for such small resectable colorectal metastases could be acceptable in a randomized trial comparing resection with surgical RFC. For all other situations (percutaneous RFC and surgical RFC for medium and large tumors), RFC is contraindicated for resectable colorectal metastases. Sad cases of solitary, resectable, central lesions that were unsuccessfully treated with RFC and then progressed to incurability because of extension of tumor into major vasculature have been described. <sup>165</sup>

Yet the pressure on oncologists to refer their patients for minimally invasive techniques rather than for hepatic surgery becomes heavier. 60,166–169 On the other hand, surgeons that have no experience with hepatic surgery start to perform RFC on an occasional basis to treat patients with resectable tumors, rather than referring these patients to a center where the resectability of the tumor can be evaluated. An alarming survey from Germany reported that 25.9% of patients undergoing RFC had a resectable tumor. 170

The search for minimally invasive techniques is laudable. In oncology, however, the goal is not minimal invasiveness but cure. 164,165,171–174 Innovative, less invasive techniques in oncology are welcome, but they should obtain at least the same results as traditional more invasive techniques.

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors thank William A. Wagle, MD, for reviewing the manuscript and the following authors who kindly provided more details about their reported cases: G. Ber-

nardi, <sup>11</sup> K. P. de Jong, <sup>92</sup> D. Elias, <sup>30</sup> R. J. Fontana, <sup>31</sup> S. M. Lin, <sup>58</sup> J. Machi, <sup>63</sup> D. T. Nielsen, <sup>67</sup> O. Risse, <sup>70</sup> T. Shibata, <sup>75,77</sup> and M. Zago. <sup>97</sup>

#### **REFERENCES**

- Curley SA, Izzo F, Delrio P, et al. Radiofrequency ablation of unresectable primary and metastatic hepatic malignancies: results in 123 patients. *Ann Surg.* 1999;230:1–8.
- Pawlik TM, Izzo F, Cohen DS, et al. Combined resection and radiofrequency ablation for advanced hepatic malignancies: results in 172 patients. Ann Surg Oncol. 2003;10:1059–1069.
- Kuvshinoff BW, Ota DM. Radiofrequency ablation of liver tumors: influence of technique and tumor size. Surgery. 2002;132:605–611.
- Goldberg SN, Charboneau JW, Dodd GD, et al. Image-guided tumor ablation: proposal for standardization of terms and reporting criteria. *Radiology*. 2003;228:335–345.
- Lu DS, Raman SS, Limanond P, et al. Influence of large peritumoral vessels on outcome of radiofrequency ablation of liver tumors. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2003;14:1267–1274.
- Abraham J, Fojo T, Wood BJ. Radiofrequency ablation of metastatic lesions in adrenocortical cancer. *Ann Intern Med.* 2000;133:312– 313.
- Adam R, Hagopian EJ, Linhares M, et al. A comparison of percutaneous cryosurgery and percutaneous radiofrequency for unresectable hepatic malignancies. *Arch Surg.* 2002;137:1332–1339.
- 8. Anai H, Yamakado K, Kimura M, et al. Radiofrequency ablation combined with lipiodol-transcatheter arterial embolization for hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2003;14(suppl):90.
- Arch-Ferrer JE, Smith JK, Bynon S, et al. Radio-frequency ablation in cirrhotic patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Am Surg. 2003;69: 1067–1071.
- Berber E, Flesher N, Siperstein AE. Laparoscopic radiofrequency ablation of neuroendocrine liver metastases. World J Surg. 2002;26: 985–990.
- 11. Bernardi G, Roveda L, Trotta F, et al. Radio-frequency thermal ablation of primary hepatic tumours: comparison of different surgical approaches. *Surg Endosc.* 2002;16(suppl 1):185.
- Bowles BJ, Machi J, Limm WM, et al. Safety and efficacy of radiofrequency thermal ablation in advanced liver tumors. *Arch Surg*. 2001;136:864–869.
- Buscarini L, Buscarini E, Di Stasi M, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency thermal ablation combined with transcatheter arterial embolization in the treatment of large hepatocellular carcinoma. *Ultraschall Med.* 1999;20:47–53.
- Buscarini L, Buscarini E, Di Stasi M, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of small hepatocellular carcinoma: long-term results. *Eur Radiol*. 2001;11:914–921.
- Cabassa P, Ghirardi C, Simeone F, et al. Radio-frequency ablation of hepatocellular carcinoma: postprocedural assessment with spiral CT: one month versus 4 months in the assessment of local therapeutic efficacy. *Eur Radiol*. 2003;13(suppl 2):108.
- Chen Y, Wu MC, Chen H, et al. Percutaneous hepatic puncture radio frequency ablation in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Zhon-ghua Zhong Liu Za Zhi*. 2003;25:88–90.
- Chopra S, Dodd GD 3rd, Chanin MP, et al. Radiofrequency ablation of hepatic tumors adjacent to the gallbladder: feasibility and safety. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2003;180:697–701.
- Chung MH, Wood TF, Tsioulias GJ, et al. Laparoscopic radiofrequency ablation of unresectable hepatic malignancies: a phase 2 trial. Surg Endosc. 2001;15:1020–1026.
- Cioni D, Lencioni R, Neri E, et al. Radiofrequency thermal ablation of liver malignancies: assessment of treatment outcome by volume-rendered spiral CT. *Eur Radiol*. 2001;11(suppl A):172.
- Cioni D, Lencioni R, Rossi S, et al. Radiofrequency thermal ablation of hepatocellular carcinoma: using contrast-enhanced harmonic power doppler sonography to assess treatment outcome. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2001;177:783–788.
- 21. Crocetti L, Lencioni R, Neri E, et al. Volume-rendered spiral CT in the

- assessment of outcome of liver malignancies radiofrequency thermal ablation. Eur Radiol. 2001;11:C31.
- Curley SA, Izzo F, Ellis LM, et al. Radiofrequency ablation of hepatocellular cancer in 110 patients with cirrhosis. *Ann Surg.* 2000;232: 381–391.
- Cuschieri A, Bracken J, Boni L. Initial experience with laparoscopic ultrasound guided radiofrequency thermal ablation of hepatic tumours. *Endoscopy*. 1999;31:318–321.
- Danza F, Rossi P, Marino V, et al. Radio frequency interstitial thermal ablation of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Eur Radiol*. 2002;12(suppl 1): 122.
- de Baere T, Bessoud B, Dromain C, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of hepatic tumors during temporary venous occlusion. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2002;178:53–59.
- de Baere TJ, Elias D, Ducreux M, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency of liver metastases: single center experience over a five year period. ASCO Annual Meeting, May 31-June 3 2003, Chicago, Illinois. Abstract no 1387. Available at http://www.asco.org. Accessed January 30, 2004
- De Santis M. Long-term follow-up of combined treatment by chemoembolization and radiofrequency thermal ablation of medium-large hepatocellular carcinoma. *Eur Radiol*. 2002;12(suppl 1):425.
- Decker GA, Gores GJ, Roberts LR. Tumor seeding complicating radiofrequency ablation of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol*. 2003; 38:692
- Diche T, Boyer B, Lipski M, et al. MR imaging follow up of radiofrequency ablation of hepatocellular carcinoma: role of Mn-DPDP injection. *Eur Radiol*. 2000;10(suppl):D22.
- Elias D, Goharin A, El Otmany A, et al. Usefulness of intraoperative radiofrequency thermoablation of liver tumours associated or not with hepatectomy. Eur J Surg Oncol. 2000;26:763–769.
- Fontana RJ, Hamidullah H, Nghiem H, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency thermal ablation of hepatocellular carcinoma: a safe and effective bridge to liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl.* 2002;8:1165–1174.
- Francica G, Marone G. Ultrasound guided percutaneous treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma by radiofrequency hyperthermia with a 'cooled tip needle': a preliminary clinical experience. *Eur J Ultra*sound. 1999;9:145–153.
- Gasparini D, Sponza M, Marzio A, et al. Combined treatment, TACE and RF ablation, in HCC: preliminary results. *Radiol Med (Torino)*. 2002;104:412–420.
- 34. Gervais DA, Goldberg SN, Arellano RS, et al. Treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic patients awaiting transplantation: a new and evolving use of percutaneous radiofrequency ablation. *Radiology*. 2000;217(suppl):606–607.
- Giovannini M, Moutardier V, Danisi C, et al. Treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma using percutaneous radiofrequency thermoablation: results and outcomes in 56 patients. J Gastrointest Surg. 2003;7:791–716.
- Goletti O, Lencioni R, Armillotta N, et al. Laparoscopic radiofrequency thermal ablation of hepatocarcinoma: preliminary experience. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech. 2000;10:284–290.
- Guglielmi A, Ruzzenente A, Battocchia A, et al. Radiofrequency ablation of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic patients. *Hepatogas-troenterology*. 2003;50:480–484.
- Gulati MS, Batra Y, Paul SB, et al. Radiofrequency ablation: a new therapeutic modality for the management of hepatocellular cancer. *Trop Gastroenterol.* 2002;23:183–185.
- Hänsler J, Witte A, Strobel D, et al. Radio-frequency-ablation (RFA) with wet electrodes in the treatment of primary and secondary liver tumours. *Ultraschall Med.* 2003;24:27–33.
- Hellman P, Ladjevardi S, Skogseid B, et al. Radiofrequency tissue ablation using cooled tip for liver metastases of endocrine tumors. World J Surg. 2002;26:1052–1056.
- Holtkamp W, Müller W. Ultrasonically controlled percutaneous high frequency thermotherapy of liver tumors with perfused needle electrodes. Z Gastroenterol. 2000;38:221–227.
- Horigome H, Nomura T, Nakao H, et al. Percutaneous radio-frequency ablation therapy using a clustered electrode for malignant liver tumors. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. 2001;32:418–422.
- 43. Huppert PE, Trubenbach J, Schick F, et al. MRI guided percutaneous

- radiofrequency ablation of hepatic neoplasms: technical and clinical experiences. *Rofo Fortschr Geb Rontgenstr Neuen Bildgeb Verfahr*. 2000;172:692–700.
- Ishikawa T, Kohno T, Shibayama T, et al. Thoracoscopic thermal ablation therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma located beneath the diaphragm. *Endoscopy*. 2001;33:697–702.
- Jacobs IA, Chang CK, Salti G. Hepatic radiofrequency ablation of metastatic ovarian granulosa cell tumors. Am Surg. 2003;69:416–418.
- Jung EM, Clevert DA, Rupp N. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound with Optison in percutaneous thermoablation of liver tumors. *Rofo Fortschr Geb Rontgenstr Neuen Bildgeb Verfahr*. 2003;175:1403–1412.
- Kainuma O, Asano T, Aoyama H. Recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma successfully treated with radiofrequency thermal ablation. *J Hepato*biliary Pancreat Surg. 1999;6:190–194.
- Kelekis AD, Terraz S, Roggan A, et al. Percutaneous treatment of liver tumors with an adapted probe for cooled-tip, impedance-controlled radio-frequency ablation under open-magnet MR guidance: initial results. *Eur Radiol*. 2003;13:1100–1105.
- 49. Kessler A, Blank A, Merhav H, et al. Minimally invasive techniques in the treatment of liver tumors. *Isr Med Assoc J.* 2002;4:1106–1110.
- Kitamoto M, Imagawa M, Yamada H, et al. Radiofrequency ablation in the treatment of small hepatocellular carcinomas: comparison of the radiofrequency effect with and without chemoembolization. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2003;181:997–1003.
- Koda M, Maeda Y, Matsunaga Y, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma with sarcomatous change arising after radiofrequency ablation for welldifferentiated hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatol Res.* 2003;27:163– 167.
- Komorizono Y, Oketani M, Sako K, et al. Risk factors for local recurrence of small hepatocellular carcinoma tumors after a single session, single application of percutaneous radiofrequency ablation. *Cancer*. 2003;97:1253–1262.
- Kormann J, Ockert D, Bunk A. Radiofrequency ablation of liver tumours. Zentralbl Chir. 2001;126:576–585.
- Kosari K, Gomes M, Hunter D, et al. Local, intrahepatic, and systemic recurrence patterns after radiofrequency ablation of hepatic malignancies. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2002;6:255–263.
- Langenhoff BS, Oyen WJG, Jager GJ, et al. Efficacy of Fluorine-18deoxyglucose Positron Emission Tomography in detecting tumor recurrence after local ablative therapy for liver metastases: a prospective study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2002;20:4453–4445.
- Lencioni RA, Allgaier HP, Cioni D, et al. Small hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhosis: randomized comparison of radio-frequency thermal ablation versus percutaneous ethanol injection. *Radiology*. 2003;228: 235–240.
- Lim HK, Choi D, Lee WJ, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma treated with percutaneous radio-frequency ablation: evaluation with follow-up multiphase helical CT. *Radiology*. 2001;221:447–454.
- 58. Lin SM, Lin CJ, Chung HJ, et al. Power rolloff during interactive radiofrequency ablation can enhance necrosis when treating hepatocellular carcinoma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2003;180:151–157.
- Liu C, Frilling A, Dereskewitz C, et al. Tumor seeding after fine needle aspiration biopsy and percutaneous radiofrequency thermal ablation of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Dig Surg.* 2003;20:460–463.
- Livraghi T, Solbiati L, Meloni F, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of liver metastases in potential candidates for resection: the 'test-of-time approach.' *Cancer*. 2003;97:3027–3035.
- Ludwig V, Hopper OW, Martin WH, et al. [18F] Fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography surveillance of hepatic metastases from prostate cancer following radiofrequency ablation: a case report. *Am Surg.* 2003;69:593–598.
- Machi J, Oishi AJ, Morioka WK, et al. Radiofrequency thermal ablation of synchronous metastatic liver tumors can be performed safely in conjunction with colorectal cancer resection. *Cancer J.* 2000; 6(suppl 4):344–350.
- Machi J, Uchida S, Sumida K, et al. Ultrasound-guided radiofrequency thermal ablation of liver tumors: percutaneous, laparoscopic, and open surgical approaches. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2001;5:477–489.
- 64. Mahnken AH, Tacke J, Bucker A, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of liver malignancies: first experience with a 200-W radiofre-

- quency generator. Rofo Fortschr Geb Rontgenstr Neuen Bildgeb Verfahr. 2002;174:216-223.
- Morimoto M, Sugimori K, Shirato K, et al. Treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma with radiofrequency ablation: radiologic-histologic correlation during follow-up periods. *Hepatology*. 2002;35:1467–1475.
- Neeman Z, Libutti SK, Patti JW, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of hepatocellular carcinoma in the presence of portal vein thrombosis. Clin Imaging. 2003;27:417–420.
- 67. Nielsen DT, Laursen HB, Rokkjaer M, et al. Radiofrequency ablation of malignant liver tumors. *Ugeskr Laeger*. 2002;164:4642–4645.
- Pulvirenti A, Garbagnati F, Regalia E, et al. Experience with radiofrequency ablation of small hepatocellular carcinomas before liver transplantation. *Transplant Proc.* 2001;33:1516–1517.
- Rhim H, Kim YS. Intrahepatic regional portal blood flow modulation using percutaneous US-monitoring portal vein compression during radiofrequency thermal ablation. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol*. 2003; 26:416–418.
- Risse O, Sengel C, Penillon S, et al. Radiofrequency ablation of malignant hepatic tumors: preliminary experience apropos of 25 cases. *Ann Chir*. 2001;126:118–126.
- Rossi S, Buscarini E, Gabargnati R, et al. Percutaneous treatment of small hepatic tumors by an expandable RF needle electrode. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1998;170:1015–1022.
- Rossi S, Garbagnati F, Lencioni R, et al. Percutaneous radio frequency thermal ablation of nonresectable hepatocellular carcinoma after occlusion of tumor blood supply. *Radiology*. 2000;217:119–126.
- Rossi M, Pepino D, Bezzi M, et al. Mid-term results alter RF thermal ablation of HCC by using cooled tip electrodes. *Radiology*. 2001; 221(suppl):248.
- 74. Scaife CL, Curley SA, Izzo F, et al. Feasibility of adjuvant hepatic arterial infusion of chemotherapy after radiofrequency ablation with or without resection in patients with hepatic metastases from colorectal cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2003;10:348–354.
- Shibata T, Iimuro Y, Yamamoto Y, et al. Small hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of radio-frequency ablation and percutaneous microwave coagulation therapy. *Radiology*. 2002;223:331–337.
- Shibata T, Iimuro Y, Ikai I, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation therapy after intrathoracic saline solution infusion for liver tumor in the hepatic dome. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2002;13:313

  –315.
- Shibata T, Shibata T, Maetani Y, et al. RFA for hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of retractable electrode and internally cooled-tip electrode. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2003;14(suppl):50.
- Shiozawa K, Ishii K, Mori T, et al. Heterochronous development of intrahepatic cholangiocellular carcinoma following hepatocellular carcinoma in a hepatitis B virus carrier. *Intern Med.* 2001;40:624–630.
- Shirato K, Morimoto M, Tomita N, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma: percutaneous radiofrequency ablation using expandable needle electrodes and the double-insertion technique. *Hepatogastroenterology*. 2002;49:1481–1483.
- Siperstein A, Garland A, Engle K, et al. Local recurrence after laparoscopic radiofrequency thermal ablation of hepatic tumors. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2000;7:106–113.
- Sironi S, Livraghi T, Meloni F, et al. Small hepatocellular carcinoma treated with percutaneous RF ablation: MR imaging follow up. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1999;173:1225–1229.
- Slakey DP. Radiofrequency ablation of recurrent cholangiocarcinoma. Am Surg. 2002;68:395–397.
- 83. Solbiati L, Livraghi T, Ierace T, et al. Local control of focal liver malignancies treated with RF ablation: initial report of the Italian Multicenter Cooled tip RF Study Group. *Radiology*. 2000;217(suppl): 228
- Solbiati L, Ierace T, Livraghi T, et al. Outcome and long-term survival of patients with liver metastases from colorectal cancer treated with percutaneous cool-tip radiofrequency ablation. *Radiology*. 2001;221(suppl):625– 626
- Stella M, Minuto MN, Pasqualini M, et al. Intraoperative use of radiofrequency thermoablation of liver tumors: considerations on indications and related therapeutic aspects. *Ann Ital Chir*. 2002;73:511– 516
- 86. Tepetes K, Tsamandas AC, Ravazoula P, et al. Survival for 5 years

- after repeat liver resections and multimodality treatment for metastatic intestinal leiomyosarcoma: report of a case. *Surg Today*. 2002;32:925–928
- 87. Topal B, Aerts R, Penninckx F. Laparoscopic radiofrequency ablation of unresectable liver malignancies: feasibility and clinical outcome. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech. 2003;13:11–15.
- Trübenbach J, Pereira PL, Schick F, et al. MRI guided radiofrequency ablation of liver tumours: a valuable and minimally invasive therapeutic option. *Minim Invasive Ther Allied Technol*. 1998;7:533–539.
- Trübenbach J, König CW, Duda SH, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of hepatic neoplasms using a clustered electrode first clinical results. *Rofo Fortschr Geb Rontgenstr Neuen Bildgeb Verfahr*. 2000;172:905–910.
- Veltri A, Brunello F, Hosseinollhai P, et al. Percutaneous ethanol injection (PEI) and radiofrequency induced thermal ablation (RITA) for small hepatocellular carcinoma: comparative study. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2001;12(suppl):25.
- Veltri A, Barisone F, Falco M, et al. Local efficacy of Radiofrequency Intersitial Thermal Ablation (RITA) for newly diagnosed vs recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). *Radiology*. 2001;221(suppl):250.
- Verhoeven BH, Haagsma EB, Appeltans BM, et al. Hyperkalaemia after radiofrequency ablation of hepatocellular carcinoma. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2002;14:1023–1024.
- 93. Wessels FJ, Schell SR. Radiofrequency ablation treatment of refractory carcinoid hepatic metastases. *J Surg Res.* 2001;95:8–12.
- Yamakado K, Nakatsuka A, Ohmori S, et al. Radiofrequency ablation combined with chemoembolization in hepatocellular carcinoma: treatment response based on tumor size and morphology. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2002;13:1225–1232.
- Yamakado K, Nakatsuka A, Akeboshi M, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of liver neoplasms adjacent to the gastrointestinal tract after balloon catheter interposition. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2003;14: 1183–1186.
- Yamasaki T, Kurokawa F, Shirahashi H, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation therapy for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma during occlusion of hepatic blood flow: comparison with standard percutaneous radiofrequency ablation therapy. *Cancer*. 2002;95:2353–2360.
- Zago M, Bisagni P, Bona S, et al. Laparoscopic radiofrequency ablation of liver tumours: what are its indications? *Surg Endosc.* 2002; 16(suppl 1):184.
- Zheng RQ, Kudo M, Minami Y, et al. Stage IV hepatocellular carcinoma with portal venous tumor thrombus: complete response after combined therapy of repeated arterial chemoembolization and radiofrequency ablation. *J Gastroenterol*. 2003;38:406–409.
- Bleicher RJ, Allegra DP, Nora DT, et al. Radiofrequency ablation in 447 complex unresectable liver tumors: lessons learned. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2003;10:52–58.
- Chan RP, Asch M, Kachura J, et al. Radiofrequency ablation of malignant hepatic neoplasms. Can Assoc Radiol J. 2002;53:272– 278.
- 101. Hori T, Nagata K, Hasuike S, et al. Risk factors for the local recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma after a single session of percutaneous radiofrequency ablation. *J Gastroenterol*. 2003;38:977–981.
- 102. Ossip MG, Kachura JR, Ho CS, et al. Radiofrequency ablation of liver tumors: local progression-free survival and factors for failure of effectiveness. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2004;15(suppl):208.
- 103. Poon RT, Ng KK, Lam CM, et al. Learning curve for radiofrequency ablation of liver tumors: prospective analysis of initial 100 patients in a tertiary institution. *Ann Surg*. 2004;239:441–449.
- 104. Goldberg SN, Gazelle GS, Compton CC, et al. Treatment of intrahepatic malignancy with radiofrequency ablation: radiologic-pathologic correlation. *Cancer*. 2000;88:2452–2463.
- Harrison LE, Koneru B, Baramipour P, et al. Locoregional recurrences are frequent after radiofrequency ablation for hepatocellular carcinoma. J Am Coll Surg. 2003;197:759–764.
- 106. Rossi S, Di Stasi M, Buscarini E, et al. Percutaneous RF interstitial thermal ablation in the treatment of hepatic cancer. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1996;167:759–768.
- 107. Bilchik AJ, Rose DM, Allegra DP, et al. Radiofrequency ablation: a

- minimally invasive technique with multiple applications. *Cancer J Sci Am.* 1999;5:356–361.
- Solbiati L, Goldberg SN, Ierace T, et al. Hepatic metastases: percutaneous radio-frequency ablation with cooled-tip electrodes. *Radiology*. 1997;205:367–373.
- Solbiati L, Livraghi T, Goldberg SN, et al. Percutaneous radio-frequency ablation of hepatic metastases from colorectal cancer: long-term results in 117 patients. *Radiology*. 2001;221:159–166.
- Rossi S, Rosa L, Mongiovetti M, et al. Radiofrequency thermal ablation (RFTA) of nonresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) nodules in cirrhosis. *J Hepatol*. 2001;34(suppl 1):11.
- Bilchik AJ, Wood TF, Allegra DP. Radiofrequency ablation of unresectable hepatic malignancies: lessons learned. *Oncologist*. 2001;6: 24–33
- 112. Kettenbach J, Kostler W, Rucklinger E, et al. Percutaneous saline-enhanced radiofrequency ablation of unresectable hepatic tumors: initial experience in 26 patients. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2003;180:1537–1545
- Livraghi T, Goldberg SN, Lazzaroni S, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma: radio frequency ablation of medium and large lesions. *Radiology*. 2000;214:761–768.
- Wood TF, Rose DM, Chung M, et al. Radiofrequency ablation of 231 unresectable hepatic tumors: indications, limitations, and complications. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2000;7:593–600.
- Dodd GD, Frank MS, Aribandi M, et al. Computer analysis of the size of the thermal injury created by overlapping ablations. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2001;177:777–782.
- Mulier S, Ni Y, Miao Y, et al. Size and geometry of hepatic radiofrequency lesions. Eur J Surg Oncol. 2003;29:867–878.
- Nanko M, Shimada H, Yamaoka H, et al. Micrometastatic colorectal cancer lesions in the liver. Surg Today. 1998;28:707–713.
- 118. Shirabe Takemaya K, Gion T, et al. Analysis of prognostic factors in hepatic resection for metastatic colorectal carcinoma with special reference to the surgical margin. Br J Surg. 1997;84:1077–1080.
- Lai ECS, You KT, Ng IOL, et al. The pathological basis of resection margin for hepatocellular carcinoma. World J Surg. 1993;17:786– 701
- 120. Maeda T, Takenaka K, Adachi E, et al. Small hepatocellular carcinoma of single nodular type: a specific reference to its surrounding cancerous area undetected radiologically and macroscopically. *J Surg Oncol*. 1995;60:75–79.
- Gillams AR, Lees WR. The importance of large vessel proximity in thermal ablation of liver tumours. *Radiology*. 1999;213(suppl):123.
- 122. Jiao LR, Hansen PD, Havlik R, et al. Clinical short term results of radiofrequency ablation in primary and secondary liver tumors. Am J Surg. 1999;177:303–306.
- Scudamore CH, Shung IL, Patteron EJ, et al. Radiofrequency ablation followed by resection of malignant liver tumors. Am J Surg. 1999;177: 411–417.
- 124. Lu DS, Raman SS, Vodopich DJ, et al. Effect of vessel size on creation of hepatic radiofrequency lesions in pigs: assessment of the 'heat sink' effect. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2002;178:47–51.
- Chinn SB, Lee FT Jr, Kennedy GD, et al. Effect of vascular occlusion on radiofrequency ablation of the liver: results in a porcine model. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2001;176:789–795.
- 126. Rossi S, Garbagnati F, De Francesco I, et al. Relationship between the shape and size of radiofrequency induced thermal lesions and hepatic vascularization. *Tumori*. 1999;85:137–141.
- 127. Scott DJ, Fleming JB, Watumull LM, et al. The effect of hepatic inflow occlusion on laparoscopic radiofrequency ablation using simulated tumors. *Surg Endosc.* 2002;16:1286–1291.
- Tungjitkusolmun S, Staelin ST, Haemmerich D, et al. Three-dimensional finite-element analyses for radio-frequency hepatic tumor ablation. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.* 2002;49:3–9.
- 129. Mulier S, Mulier P, Ni Y, et al. Complications of radiofrequency coagulation of liver tumours. *Br J Surg*. 2002;89:1206–1222.
- 130. Curley SA. Radiofrequency ablation of malignant liver tumors. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2003;10:338–347.
- 131. Siperstein AE, Rogers SJ, Hansen PD, et al. Laparoscopic thermal

- ablation of hepatic neuroendocrine tumor metastases. *Surgery*. 1997; 122:1147–1155.
- 132. Horigome H, Nomura T, Nakao H, et al. Half-deployed method: percutaneous radiofrequency ablation therapy using clustered electrodes for malignant liver tumors proximal to large vessels. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2001;177:948.
- Poon RT, Ng KK, Lam CM, et al. Radiofrequency ablation for subcapsular hepatocellular carcinoma. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2004;11:281– 289
- McGahan JP, Browning PD, Brock JM, et al. Hepatic ablation using radiofrequency electrocautery: preliminary investigation. *Invest Radiol*. 1990;25:267–270.
- 135. Rossi S, Fornari F, Pathies C, et al. Thermal lesions induced by 480 KHz localized current field in guinea pig and pig liver. *Tumori*. 1990;76:54–57.
- 136. Buscarini L, Fornari F, Rossi S. Interstitial radiofrequency hyperthermia in the treatment of small hepatocellular carcinoma: percutaneous US guidance of electrode needle. In: Anderegg et al., eds. *Ultraschall Diagnostik 91*. Berlin: Springer, 1992:218–222.
- Miao Y, Ni Y, Mulier P, et al. Radiofrequency liver ablation with saline infusion through a screw tip cannulated electrode. *Radiology*. 1996; 201(suppl):422.
- 138. Tanabe KK, Curley SA, Dodd GD, et al. Radiofrequency ablation: the experts weigh in. *Cancer*. 2004;100:641–650.
- Choy PY, Koea J, McCall J, et al. The role of radiofrequency ablation in the treatment of primary and metastatic tumours of the liver: initial lessons learned. N Z Med J. 2002;115:U128.
- 140. Suh S, Won J, Lee D, et al. Radio-frequency ablation of liver metastasis: comparison of percutaneous ablation and intraoperative ablation. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2004;15(suppl):219.
- Silas AM, Kruskal JB, Kane RA. Intraoperative ultrasound. *Radiol Clin North Am.* 2001;39:429–448.
- 142. Rose SC, Hassanein TI, Bouvet M, et al. Delivery of radiofrequency ablation probes to the targeted liver malignancy: using all the players on the field. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2002;13:1060–1061.
- 143. Mahvi DP, Lee FT. Radiofrequency ablation of hepatic malignancies: is heat better than cold? *Ann Surg.* 1999;230:9–11.
- 144. Poon RT, Fan ST, Tsang FH, et al. Locoregional therapies for hepatocellular carcinoma: a critical review from the surgeon's perspective. *Ann Surg.* 2002;235:466–486.
- 145. Siperstein A, Garland A, Engle K, et al. Laparoscopic radiofrequency ablation of primary and metastatic liver tumors: technical considerations. Surg Endosc. 2000;14:400–405.
- Smith MK, Mutter D, Forbes LE, et al. The physiologic effect of the pneumoperitoneum on radiofrequency ablation. *Surg Endosc*. 2004;18: 35–38.
- 147. Kudo M. Local ablation therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma: current status and future perspectives. *J Gastroenterol*. 2004;39:205–214.
- 148. Kojiro M. The evolution of pathologic features of hepatocellular carcinoma. In: Tabor E, ed. *Viruses and Liver Cancer*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2002:113–122.
- Ambiru S, Miyazaki M, Isono T, et al. Hepatic resection for colorectal metastases: analysis of prognostic factors. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 1999;42: 632–639.
- Scott DJ, Young WN, Watumull LM, et al. Accuracy and effectiveness of laparoscopic versus open hepatic radiofrequency ablation. Surg Endosc. 2000;14(suppl):162.
- Antoch G, Kuehl H, Vogt FM, et al. Value of CT volume imaging for optimal placement of radiofrequency ablation probes in liver lesions. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2002;13:1155–1161.
- 152. Rose SC, Hassanein TI, Easter DW, et al. Value of three-dimensional US for optimizing guidance for ablating focal liver tumors. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2001;12:507–515.
- 153. Denys AL, de Baere T, Kuoch V, et al. Radio-frequency tissue ablation of the liver: in vivo and ex vivo experiments with four different systems. *Eur Radiol*. 2003;13:2346–2352.
- 154. Heaney CJ, Charboneau JW, Callstrom MR, et al. Superimposed image analysis of pre- and immediate post-RFA contrast-enhanced CT predicts local control of HCC. Radiological Society of North America,

- 89<sup>th</sup> Scientific Assembly and Annual Meeting Program, November 30-December 5, 2003, McCormick Palace, Chicago, 670.
- Solbiati L, Goldberg SN, Livraghi T, et al. Radiofrequency thermal ablation: increased treatment effect with saline pre-treatment. *Radiology*. 2000;217(suppl):607.
- Zhang Z, Wu M, Chen H, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation combined with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Zhonghua Wai Ke Za Zhi*. 2002;40:826–829.
- 157. Goldberg SN, Hahn PF, Tanabe KK, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency tissue ablation: does perfusion mediated tissue cooling limit coagulation necrosis? *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 1998;9:101–111.
- Patterson EJ, Scudamore CH, Owen DA, et al. Radiofrequency ablation of porcine liver in vivo: effects of blood flow and treatment time on lesion size. *Ann Surg.* 1998;227:559–565.
- Nicoli N, Casaril A, Marchiori L, et al. Intraoperative and percutaneous radiofrequency thermal ablation in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Chir Ital*. 2000;52:29–40.
- Lees WR, Gillams AR, Schumillian C, et al. Hypotensive anaesthesia improves the effectiveness of radiofrequency ablation in the liver. *Radiology*. 2000;217(suppl):228–229.
- Asch MR, Kachura JR, Gallinger S. Safety and effect of percutaneous temporary portal vein occlusion on the size of thermal lesion in porcine livers. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2002;13(suppl 2):61.
- Nakashima Y, Nakashima O, Hsia CC, et al. Vascularization of small hepatocellular carcinomas: correlation with differentiation. *Liver*. 1999;19:12–18.
- 163. Solbiati L, Ierace T, Goldberg SN, et al.Radiofrequency thermal ablation of liver metastases. In: Bartolozzi C, Lencioni R, eds. *Liver Malignancies: Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology*. New York: Springer, 1999:339–353.
- 164. Helton WS. Minimizing complications with radiofrequency ablation for liver cancer: the importance of properly controlled clinical trials and

- standardized reporting. Ann Surg. 2004;239:459-463.
- 165. Fong Y. A promising technique for liver cancer? Cancer J. 1999;5: 339–340.
- 166. Gazelle GS, Lester JS, Goldberg SN, et al. RF ablation vs hepatic resection in patients with suspected crc liver metastases: a decision-analytic model to assess cost-effectiveness. *Radiology*. 2002;225(suppl):488.
- Gillams AR, Lees WR, Ledermann JR, et al. Image guided ablation of colorectal liver metastases: time for a randomized, contrilled trial versus hepatic resection. *Radiology*. 1999:213(suppl):212–213.
- 168. Livraghi T, Gazelle GS. Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of liver metastases in potential candidates for resection: the 'test-of-time' approach [Reply]. *Cancer*. 2003;98:2304–2305.
- Oshowo A, Gillams A, Harrison E, et al. Comparison of resection and radiofrequency ablation for treatment of solitary colorectal liver metastases. Br J Surg. 2003;90:1240–1243.
- Birth M, Hildebrand P, Dahmen G, et al. Present state of radio frequency ablation of liver tumors in Germany. *Chirurg*. 2004;75:417– 423
- 171. Abdalla EK, Vauthey JN, Ellis LM, et al. Recurrence and outcomes following hepatic resection, radiofrequency ablation, and combined resection/ablation for colorectal liver metastases. *Ann Surg.* 2004;239: 818–825.
- 172. Bilchik AJ, Faries M. Radiofrequency ablation of hepatic malignancies: inexpensive and minimally invasive but should it replace resection? *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2003;10:1002–1004.
- 173. Elias D. Radiofrequency: storm looming over hepatic surgery. Ann Chir. 2000;125:815–817.
- 174. Figueras J, Llado L. Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of liver metastases in potential candidates for resection. The 'test-of-time' approach. *Cancer*. 2003;98:2303–2305.
- 175. Full list of references is available from the corresponding author upon request.