sPlot open - An environmentally-balanced, open-access, global dataset of vegetation plots

This manuscript is still work in progress

This manuscript (<u>permalink</u>) was automatically generated from <u>fmsabatini/sPlotOpen_Manuscript@d1df59a</u> on October 28, 2020.

Authors

●Francesco Maria Sabatini^{1,2,†}, ⑤Jonathan Lenoir^{3,†}, ⑥Tarek Hattab⁴, Elise Arnst⁵, ⑥Milan Chytrý⁶, ⑤Jürgen Dengler^{7,8,9}, Valério De Patta Pillar¹⁰, Patrice De Ruffray¹¹, Stephan M. Hennekens¹², Ute Jandt², Florian Jansen¹³, ⑥Borja Jiménez-Alfaro¹⁴, ⑥Jens Kattge¹⁵, Aurora Levesley¹⁶, ⑥Oliver Purschke¹⁷, Brody Sandel¹⁸, Fahmida Sultana¹⁹, Marten Winter⁹, Svetlana Aćić²⁰, ⑥Emiliano Agrillo²¹, ⑥Miguel Alvarez²², Iva Apostolova²³, ⑥Mohammed A.S. Arfin Khan²⁴, Isabelle Aubin²⁵, ⑥Yves Bergeron²⁶, ⑥Erwin Bergmeier²⁷, ⑥Idoia Biurrun²⁸, Anne D. Bjorkman²⁹, ⑥Laura Casella³⁰, ⑥Luis Cayuela³¹, Tomáš Černý³², ⑥Victor Chepinoga³³, János Csiky³⁴, Renata Ćušterevska³⁵, Els De Bie³⁶, ⑥ Michele De Sanctis²¹, Panayotis Dimopoulos³⁷, Mohamed Abd El-Rouf Mousa El-Sheikh^{38,39}, Brian Enquist⁴⁰, Manfred Finckh⁴¹, Emmanuel Garbolino⁴², ⑥Melisa Giorgis⁴³, Valentin Golub⁴⁴, ⑥Alvaro G. Gutierrez⁴⁵, Mohamed Z. Hatim⁴⁶, ⑥Norbert Hölzel⁴⁷, Jürgen Homeier⁴⁸, Adrian Indreica⁴⁹, ⑥Kim Sarah Jacobsen⁵⁰, John Janssen¹², Birgit Jedrzejek⁵¹, ⑥Norbert Jürgens⁴¹, Zygmunt Kącki⁵², ⑥Ali Kavgaci⁵³, ⑥Michael Kessler⁵⁴, Andrey Korolyuk⁵⁵, Hjalmar Kühl^{9,56}, ⑥Flavia Landucci⁶, ⑥Ching-Feng Li⁵⁷, Hongyan Liu⁵⁸, Tatiana Lysenko⁵⁹, ⑥Corrado Marcenò²⁸, ⑨Jesper Erenskjold Moeslund⁶⁰, Jonas V. Müller⁶¹, ⑨Jérôme Munzinger⁶², Jalil Noroozi⁶³, ⑥Arkadiusz Nowak⁶⁴, Viktor Onyshchenko⁶⁵, ⑥ Gerhard E. Overbeck⁶⁶, Aníbal Pauchard⁶⁷, Robert K. Peet⁶⁸, ⑥Aaron Pérez-Haase^{69,70}, Tomáš Peterka⁶, Gwendolyn Peyre⁷¹, ⑥Oliver L. Phillips¹⁶, Vadim Prokhorov⁷², Valerijus Rašomavičius⁷³, Rasmus Revermann⁴¹, John S. Rodwell⁷⁴, Eszter Ruprecht⁷⁵, Solvita Rūsiṇar³⁶, Çyrus Samimi⁷⁷, Joop H.J. Schaminée¹², ⑥Marco Schmidt⁷⁸, ⑥Urban Šilc⁷⁹, Željko Škvorc⁸⁰, Anita Smyth⁸¹, Zvjezdana Stančić⁸², Zhiyao Tang⁵⁸, Ioannis Tsiripidis⁸³, Milan Valachovič⁸⁴, Kim André Vanselow⁸⁵, Kiril Vassilev²³, ⑥Eduardo Vélez-Mar

 $^{\boxtimes}$ — To whom correspondence should be addressed: francesco.sabatini@botanik.uni-halle.de † — These authors contributed equally to this work

- 1. German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig. Germany
- 2. Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Institute of Biology, Am Kirchtor 1, 06108, Halle, Germany
- 3. Unité de Recherche "Ecologie et Dynamique des Systèmes Anthropisés" (EDYSAN), UMR 7058 CNRS, Université de Picardie Jules Verne, 80037 Amiens Cedex 1, France
- 4. MARBEC, University of Montpellier, CNRS, IFREMER and IRD, Sète, France
- 5. Manaaki Whenua Landcare Research, PO Box 69040, 7640, Lincoln, New Zealand
- 6. Masaryk University, Department of Botany and Zoology, Kotlářská 2, 611 37, Brno, Czech Republic
- 7. Zurich University of Applied Sciences (ZHAW), Vegetation Ecology Group, Institute of Natural Resource Sciences (IUNR), Grüentalstr. 14, 8820, Wädenswil, Switzerland
- 8. University of Bayreuth, Plant Ecology, Bayreuth Center of Ecology and Environmental Research (BayCEER), Universitätsstr. 30, 95447, Bayreuth, Germany
- 9. German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Deutscher Platz 5e, 04103, Leipzig, Germany
- 10. Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Ecology, Av. Bento Gonçalves 9500, 91501-970, Porto Alegre, Brazil
- 11. IBMP, 12, rue du Général-Zimmer, 67084, Strasburg, France

- 12. Wageningen University and Research, Wageningen Environmental Research (Alterra), P.O.Box 47, 6700 AA, Wageningen, Netherlands
- 13. University of Rostock, Faculty of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Justus-von-Liebig-Weg 6, 18059, Rostock, Germany
- 14. University of Oviedo, Research Unit of Biodiversity (CSIC/UO/PA), C. Gonzalo Gutiérrez Quirós s/n, 33600, Mieres, Spain
- 15. Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Hans Knöll Str. 10, 07745, Jena, Germany
- 16. University of Leeds, School of Geography, Woodhouse Lane, LS2 9JT, Leeds, United Kingdom
- 17. NA,
- 18. Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark
- 19. Shahjalal University of Science & Technology, Forestry & Environmental Science, 3114, Sylhet, Bangladesh
- 20. Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Agrobotany, Nemanjina 6, 11080, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia
- 21. Sapienza University of Rome, Department of Environmental Biology, P.le Aldo Moro 5, 00185, Rome, Italy
- 22. University of Bonn, Plant Nutrition, INRES, Karlrobert-Kreiten-Str., 53115, Bonn, Germany
- 23. Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Department of Plant and Fungal Diversity and Resources, Acad. Georgi Bonchev 23, 1113, Sofia, Bulgaria
- 24. Shahjalal University of Science & Technology, Forestry & Environmental Science, Akhalia, 3114, Sylhet, Bangladesh
- 25. Canadian Forest Service, Natural Resources Canada, Great Lakes Forestry Centre, 1219 Queen St. East, P6A 2E5, Sault Ste Marie (Ontario), Canada
- 26. Université du Québec en Abitibi-Témiscamingue, Forest Research Institute, 445 boul. de l'Université, J9X5E4, Rouyn-Noranda, Canada
- 27. University of Göttingen, Vegetation Ecology and Phytodiversity, Untere Karspüle 2, 37073, Göttingen, Germany
- 28. University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, Plant Biology and Ecology, P.O. Box 644, 48080, Bilbao, Spain
- 29. Aarhus University, Section for Ecoinformatics & Biodiversity, Department of Bioscience, Ny Munkegade 114, 8000, Aarhus C, Denmark
- 30. ISPRA Italian National Institute for Environmental Protection and Research, Biodiversity Conservation Department, Via Vitaliano Brancati, 60, 00144, Roma, Italy
- 31. Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Department of Biology, Geology, Physics and Inorganic Chemistry, c/ Tulipán s/n, 28933, Móstoles, Spain
- 32. Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Department of Forest Ecology, Faculty of Forestry and Wood Sciences, Kamýcká 1176, 165 21, Praha 6 Suchdol, Czech Republic
- 33. V.B. Sochava Insitute of Geography SB RAS, Laboratory of Physical Geography and Biogeography, Ulan-Batorskaya, 1, 664033, Irkutsk, Russian Federation
- 34. University of Pécs, Department of Ecology, Ifjúság u. 6., 7624, Pécs, Hungary
- 35. Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Institute of Biology, Arhimedova 3, 1000, Skopje, Republic of Macedonia
- 36. Research Institute for Nature and Forest (INBO), Departement of Biodiversity and Natural Environment, Havenlaan 88, bus 73, 1000, Brussels, Belgium
- 37. University of Patras, Institute of Botany, Division of Plant Biology, Department of Biology, University Campus, 26504, Patras, Greece
- 38. College of Science, King Saud University, Botany and Microbiology Department, P.O. Box 2455, 11451, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
- 39. Damanhour University, Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Damanhour, Egypt
- 40. University of Arizona, Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, 1041 E. Lowell St., AZ 85721, Tucson, United States
- 41. University of Hamburg, Biodiversity, Ecology and Evolution of Plants, Institute for Plant Science & Microbiology, Ohnhorststr. 18, 22609, Hamburg, Germany
- 42. MINES ParisTech, Crisis and Risk research Centre (CRC), 1 rue Claude Daunesse, BP 207, 06904, Sophia Antipolis, France
- 43. Instituto Multidisciplinario de Biología Vegetal (IMBIV-CONICET), ECOLOGÍA VEGETAL Y FITOGEOGRAFÍA, Av. Vélez Sársfield 1611, 5000, Córdoba, Argentina
- 44. Institute of Ecology of the Volga River Basin, Laboratory of Phytocoenology, Komzina, 10, 445003, Toljatty, Russia
- 45. Universidad de Chile, Departamento de Ciencias Ambientales y Recursos Naturales Renovables, Facultad de Ciencias Agronomicas, Santa Rosa 11315, La Pintana, 8820808, Santiago, Chile
- 46. Tanta University, Botany, Faculty of Science, El Geish St., 31527, Tanta, Egypt
- 47. University of Muenster, Institute of Landscape Ecology, Heisenbergstr. 2, 48149, Münster, Germany
- 48. University of Göttingen, Plant Ecology and Ecosystems Research, Untere Karspüle 2, 37073, Göttingen, Germany
- 49. Transilvania University of Brasov, Department of Silviculture, Sirul Beethoven 1, 500123, Brasov, Romania
- 50. Ghent University, Dept Environment, Coupure Links 653, 9000, Ghent, Belgium
- 51. University of Münster, Institute of Landscape Ecology, Heisenbergstr. 2, 48149, Münster, Germany
- 52. University of Wrocław, Botanical Garden, Sienkiewicza 23, 50-335, Wrocław, Poland
- 53. Soutwest Anatolia Forest Research Institute, Silviculture and Forest Botany, POB 264, 07002, Antalya, Turkey
- 54. University of Zurich, Department of Systematic and Evolutionary Botany, Zollikerstrasse 107, 8008, Zurich, Switzerland
- 55. Central Siberian Botanical Garden, Siberian Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, Geosystem Laboratory, Zolotodolinskaya str. 101, 630090, Novosibirsk, Russian Federation
- 56. Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology (MPI-EVA), Primatology, Deutscher Platz 6, 04103, Leipzig, Germany

- 57. National Taiwan University, School of Forestry and Resource Conservation, No. 101, Section 2, Kuang-Fu Road, 30013, Hsinchu. Taiwan
- 58. Peking University, College of Urban and Environmental Sciences, Yiheyuan Rd. 5, 100871, Beijing, China
- 59. Institute of Ecology of the Volga River Basin RAS, Dept. of the Phytodiversity Problems, Komzin str. 10, 445003, Togliatti,
- 60. Aarhus University, Department of Bioscience, Grenaavej 14, 8410, Roende, Denmark
- 61. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Conservation Science, Wakehurst Place, RH17 6TN, Ardingly, West Sussex, United Kingdom
- 62. IRD, CIRAD, CNRS, INRA, Université Montpellier, AMAP Botany and Modelling of Plant Architecture and Vegetation, Boulevard de la Lironde, 34398, Montpellier, France
- 63. University of Vienna, Department of Botany and Biodiversity Research, Rennweg 14, 1030, Vienna, Austria
- 64. Polish Academy of Sciences, Botanical Garden Center for Biological Diversity Conservation, Prawdziwka 2, 02-976, Warszawa, Poland
- 65. National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, M.G. Kholodny Institute of Botany, Tereshchenkivska 2, 01601, Kyiv, Ukraine
- 66. Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Department of Botany, Av. Bento Gonçalves 9500, 91501-970, Porto Alegre, Brazil
- 67. University of Concepción, Laboratorio de Invasiones Biológicas (LIB), Victoria 631, 4030000, Concepción, Chile
- 68. University of North Carolina, Department of Biology, CB3280, South Road, 27599-3280, Chapel Hill, NC, United States
- 69. University of Barcelona, Department of Evolutionary Biology, Ecology and Environmental Sciences, Diagonal 643, 08028, Barcelona, Spain
- 70. Center for Advanced Studies of Blanes, Spanish Research Council (CEAB-CSIC), Continental Ecology, Carrer d'accés a la Cala St. Francesc, 14, 17300, Blanes, Girona, Spain
- 71. University of the Andes, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Carrera 1 Este No. 19A-40, Edificio Mario Laserna, Piso 6, 111711, Bogota, Colombia
- 72. Kazan Federal University, Institute of Environmental Sciences, Kremlevskaya 18, 420008, Kazan, Russia
- 73. Nature Research Centre, Institute of Botany, Zaliuju Ezeru 49, 08406, Vilnius, Lithuania
- 74. NA, 7 Derwent Road, LA1 3ES, Lancaster, United Kingdom
- 75. Babeș-Bolyai University, Hungarian Department of Biology and Ecology, Faculty of Biology and Geology, Republicii street 42., 400015, Cluj-Napoca, Romania
- 76. University of Latvia, Department of Geography, 1 Jelgavas Street, 1004, Riga, Latvia
- 77. University of Bayreuth, Climatology, Bayreuth Center of Ecology and Environmental Research (BayCEER), Universitätsstr. 30, 95447, Bayreuth, Germany
- 78. Stadt Frankfurt am Main Der Magistrat, Palmengarten, Siesmayerstraße 61, 60323, Frankfurt am Main, Germany
- 79. Research Centre of Slovenian Academy of Sciences and Arts (ZRC SAZU), Institute of Biology, Novi trg 2, 1000, Ljubljana, Slovenia
- 80. University of Zagreb, Faculty of Forestry, Svetošimunska 25, 10000, Zagreb, Croatia
- 81. University of Adelaide, TERN, North Terrace, 5005, Adelaide, Australia
- 82. University of Zagreb, Faculty of Geotechnical Engineering, Hallerova aleja 7, 42000, Varaždin, Croatia
- 83. Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, School of Biology, 54124, Thessaloniki, Greece
- 84. Plant Science and Biodiversity Centre Slovak Academy of Sciences, Institute of Botany, Dubravska cesta 9, 84523, Bratislava, Slovakia
- 85. University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Department of Geography, Wetterkreuz 15, 91058, Erlangen, Germany
- 86. Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Department of Ecology, Av Bento Gonçalves 9500, 91501-970, Porto Alegre, Brazil
- 87. University of Perugia, Department of Chemistry, Biology and Biotechnology, Borgo XX giugno 74, 06124, Perugia, Italy
- 88. Universidade Regional de Blumenau, Departamento de Engenharia Florestal, Rua São Paulo, 3250, 89030-000, Blumenau, Brazil
- 89. University of Oulu, Ecology and Genetics Research Unit, Biodiversity Unit, Kaitoväylä 5, 90014, Oulu, Finland
- 90. Helmholtz Center for Environmental Research UFZ, Department of Physiological Diversity, Permoserstr. 15, 04318, Leipzig, Germany
- 91. Leuphana University of Lüneburg, Institute of Ecology, Universitätsallee 1, 21335, Lüneburg, Germany
- 92. University of Alberta, Department of Biological Sciences, Biological Sciences Building, T6G2E9, Edmonton, Canada
- 93. University of Alaska, Institute of Arctic Biology, P. O. Box 7570000, 99775, Fairbanks, United States
- 94. Addis Ababa University, Department of Geography & Environmental Studies, Sidist Kilo SQ, 150178, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia
- 95. Senckenberg Museum of Natural History Görlitz, Botany Department, PO Box 300 154, 02806, Görlitz, Germany
- 96. Technische Universität Dresden, International Institute Zittau, Markt 23, 02763, Zittau, Germany
- 97. Brown University, Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology/Brown University Herbarium, 34 Olive Street, 02912, Providence, United States
- 98. Vienna Institute for Nature Conservation & Analyses, Giessergasse 6/7, 1090, Vienna, Austria
- 99. Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL, Research Unit Forest Dynamics, Zürcherstrasse 111, 8903, Birmensdorf, Switzerland
- 00. Ufa Scientific Centre, Russian Academy of Sciences, Laboratory of Wild-Growing Flora, Botanical Garden-Institute, Mendeleev str., 195/3, 450080, Ufa, Russia

Abstract

Vegetation provides the foundation of life on Earth. Assessing biodiversity status and trends in plant communities is therefore critical to understand and quantify the effects of global change on ecosystems. Here, we present the largest dataset of vegetation plots (i.e. species co-occurrence or community composition data) ever released in open access. It contains information on 91,031 vegetation plots recording the cover or abundance of each plant species that occurs in a plot of a given surface area at the date of the botanical survey. Plots were derived from 103 local to regional datasets. To improve the representation of Earth's environmental conditions, plots were resampled from a larger pool of vegetation plots using an environmentally stratified sampling design. Each vegetation plot comes with information on community-weighted means and variances of key plant functional traits. Our open-access dataset can be used to explore global patterns of diversity at the plant community level, as ground truthing data in remote sensing applications or as a baseline for biodiversity monitoring.

Background & Summary

Biodiversity is facing a global crisis (1). As many as 1 million species are estimated to be already facing extinction, mostly as a consequence of anthropogenic impacts, land-use and climate change (1). The rates of biodiversity redistribution and homogenization are also accelerating (2; 3). Biological assemblages are becoming progressively more similar to each other globally, as local biodiversity and endemic species go extinct and are replaced by introduced exotic species or by more widespread and competitive native species (1; 3). This has profound potential impacts on human and ecosystem health (4; 5). For instance, many terrestrial and marine species are shifting their geographical distribution as a response to climate change (2), including animals hosting pathogens transmissible to humans (6; 7; 8).

Vegetation, i.e., the assemblage of plant species, is no exception to this biodiversity crisis (9; 10; 3). This is worrisome, since terrestrial vegetation accounts for 80% (450 Gt C) of the living biomass on Earth (11). Given the central role of vegetation in ecosystem productivity, stability and functioning (10), assessing biodiversity status and trends in plant communities is paramount, for other life compartments and human societies alike.

Monitoring plant biodiversity trends requires adequate data across a range of scales (12). Large independent collections of plant occurrence data do exist at the global or continental extent via the Botanical Information and Ecology Network (BIEN) (13), the Global Inventory of Floras and Traits (GIFT) (14) or the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF) (https://www.gbif.org/). However, all these occurrence-only databases either neglect how individual plant species co-occur and interact locally to form plant communities, or are collected at spatial resolutions (e.g., one-degree grid cells) which are too coarse to assess biodiversity trends at the most relevant scale of local plant communities (15).

Yet, there is a long-lasting tradition among botanists to record the cover or abundance of each plant species that occurs in a vegetation plot of a given size (i.e. surface area) at a given time (e.g. 16). Compared to species-level data, vegetation-plot data present many advantages. First, they contain information on which plant species co-occur together in the same locality at a given moment in time (17). This built-in feature of vegetation plots is a necessary prerequisite for testing hypotheses related to biotic interactions among plant species (i.e. plant-plant interactions). It can also provide crucial information on where and when a species is absent, therefore improving current species distribution models (18). Being spatially explicit, vegetation plots can be resurveyed through time to assess potential changes in plant species composition relative to a baseline (19; 20, 3). As they normally contain also information on the relative cover or abundance of each species, vegetation plots are more adequate to detect subtle biodiversity changes, compared to data based on the occurrence of individual species only (21).

Vegetation-plot data are very fragmented, though, as they typically stem from a myriad of research projects. As such, these data often suffer from the usual trade-off in biodiversity data: Collections have either fine-grain spatial resolutions but small spatial extents, or vice versa (22). Furthermore, with their disparate sampling protocols, standards and taxonomic resolutions, aggregating and harmonizing vegetation plot data proves extremely challenging (23). It is not surprising, therefore, that these data have only been rarely used in global-scale biodiversity research until recently (24; 25).

The sPlot initiative tries to close this data gap. It leverages on several existing local to regional vegetation-plot datasets, to create a harmonized and comprehensive global geo-database of terrestrial plant species assemblages (26). Established in 2013, sPlot currently contains more than 1.9 million vegetation plots, and is fully integrated with the TRY database (27), from which it derives information on plant functional traits. The sPlot database is increasingly being used to study continental- to global-scale vegetation patterns, such as the relative contribution of regional vs. local

factors on the global patterns of fern richness ($\frac{28}{29}$), the mechanisms underlying the spread and abundance of native vs. invasive tree species ($\frac{29}{29}$), and worldwide trait–environment relationships in plant communities ($\frac{23}{29}$).

Here, we provide an open-access data set composed of 91,031 plots, which is representative of the environmental space covered by the sPlot database. Plots stem from 103 databases, and span across 115 countries (Figure 1). This resampled dataset (sPlot Open - hereafter) is composed of: (1) plot-level information, including metadata and basic vegetation structure descriptors; (2) the species composition of each vegetation plot, including species cover or abundance information when available; and (3) community-level functional diversity indices derived from the TRY database (27).



Figure 1: Global map of sPlot Open (n = 91,031) and spatial distribution of vegetation plot density per hexagonal cell with a spatial resolution of approximately 70.000 km^2 . Map projection is Eckert IV.

Methods

Vegetation plot data sources

We started from the sPlot database v2.1 (created October 2016), which contains 1,121,244 vegetation plots and 23,586,216 species records stemming from 110 different vegetation-plot datasets of regional, national or continental extent. Some of the 110 datasets stem from regional or continental initiatives (see 26 for more information). For instance: 48 vegetation-plot datasets derive from the European Vegetation Archive (EVA) (17), three major African datasets from the Tropical African Vegetation Archive (TAVA), multiple vegetation datasets in the USA from the VegBank archive (30; 31). Data from other continents (South America, Asia) or countries were contributed as separate datasets. The metadata of each individual vegetation-plot dataset stored in sPlot are managed through the Global Index of Vegetation-Plot Databases (GIVD; 32), using the GIVD identifier as the unique dataset identifier.

Resampling method

Data in the sPlot database are unevenly distributed across continents and biomes (see 23). Mid-latitude regions in developing countries (mostly Europe, the USA and Australia) are overrepresented, while regions in the tropics and subtropics are underrepresented, which is a typical geographical bias in biodiversity data (e.g., 33; 2). To reduce this imbalance to the extent possible, we performed a stratified resampling approach, using several environmental variables available at the global extent as sampling strata. We considered 30 climatic and soil variables. For climate we complemented the 19 bioclimatic variables from CHELSA (34), as well as two variables reflecting growing-season warmth (growing degree days above 1 °C - GDD1 - and 5 °C - GDD5), which we calculated based on CHELSA bioclimatic variables. In addition we considered an index of aridity (AR) and a model for Potential Evapotranspiration (PET - 35). For soil, we extracted seven variables from the SOILGRIDS database (36), namely: soil organic carbon content in the fine earth fraction, cation exchange capacity, pH, as well as the fractions of coarse fragments, sand, silt and clay.

We stratified our sampling effort based on the following procedure. First we ran a global principal component analysis (PCA) of the 30 above-mentioned environmental variables. We considered the full environmental space of all terrestrial habitats on Earth at a spatial resolution of 2.5 arcmin, totaling 8,384,404 terrestrial grid cells, irrespective of whether a grid cell hosted vegetation plots from the sPlot database v2.1 or not. We then subdivided the environmental space represented by the first two principal components (PC1-PC2), accounting for 47% and 23% of the total variation on PC1 and PC2, respectively, into a 100 × 100 grid. This PC1-PC2 bidimensional space was subsequently used to balance our sampling effort across all PC1-PC2 grid cells for which vegetation plots are available. Before projecting vegetation plots from the sPlot database v2.1 onto this PC1-PC2 environmental space, we removed vegetation plots: from wetlands; from anthropogenic vegetation types; without geographical coordinates; and with a location uncertainty higher than 3 km for those having geographical coordinates. This led to a total of 799,400 out of the initial set of 1,121,244 vegetation plots. When projecting the 799,400 vegetation plots in the PC1-PC2 grid, we calculated how many vegetation plots occurred in each PC1-PC2 grid cell. For those grid cells with more than 50 vegetation plots (n = 858), we randomly selected up to 50 vegetation plots using the heterogeneity-constrained random resampling algorithm from [37]. This approach optimizes the selection of a random subset of vegetation plots that encompasses the highest variability in species composition while avoiding peculiar and rare communities, which may represent outliers. We based the quantification of variability in plant species composition among the 50 randomly selected vegetation plots by computing the mean and the variance of the Jaccard's dissimilarity index (38) between all possible pairs of vegetation plots for a given random selection of 50 vegetation plots (n = 1225). We chose this

dissimilarity index because it is not influenced by differences in species richness among vegetation plots. More precisely, for a given PC1-PC2 grid cell containing more than 50 vegetation plots, we generated 1,000 random selections of 50 vegetation plots and ranked the 1,000 random selections according to the mean (ascending order) and variance (descending order) value. Ranks from both sortings were summed for each random selection, and the random selection with the lowest summed rank was considered as the most representative of the focal grid cell. In case a grid cell contained fewer than 50 plots, we retained all of them. In this way, we reduced the imbalance towards oversampled climate types, while ensuring the resampled dataset to be representative of the entire environmental gradient covered by the sPlot database. We repeated the resampling procedure three times to get three different possibilities of a random selection of 50 vegetation plots per PC1-PC2 grid cell with, initially, more than 50 vegetation plots. Vegetation plots selected during the first iteration were our first choice, while we considered the vegetation plots additionally selected in the second and third iteration as reserves when asking for the permission to release the data as open access to each dataset's contributor(s).

Permission to release the data as open access

The resampling procedure resulted in a preliminary potential selection of 98,383 vegetation plots (first choice) and 51,634 vegetation plots flagged as reserves (second or third choice for the subset of PC1-PC2 grid cells with more than 50 vegetation plots available). Being the sPlot database a consortium of independent datasets, whose copyright belongs to the data contributor, we used this preliminary potential selection to ask each dataset's custodian (i.e., either the owner of a dataset or its authorized representative in case of a collective dataset) for permission to release the data of each selected vegetation plot as open access. For 8,070 vegetation plots, permission could not be granted, for instance because the data are unpublished, confidential or sensitive. For these vegetation plots, we used the reserve pool to randomly select replacements, for which such permission could be granted. We imposed the constraint that each vegetation plot in the reserve should belong to the same environmental stratum, i.e., the same PC1-PC2 grid cell, of the confidential vegetation plot. Note that 2,380 PC1-PC2 grid cells (11.7% of total) had one more confidential vegetation plots (median = 1, mean = 3.4, max = 171) that could not be replaced from the reserve pool.

Trait information

For each vegetation plot for which open access has been granted, we computed the community weighted means for eighteen plant functional traits derived from the TRY database v3.0 (27). These traits were selected among those traits that describe the leaf, wood and seed economics spectra (39; 40), and are known to either affect different key ecosystem processes or respond to macroclimatic drivers or both (26). The eighteen plant functional traits were: (1) leaf area [mm²]; (2) stem specific density [g cm⁻³]; (3) specific leaf area [m²kg⁻¹]; (4) leaf carbon concentration [mg g⁻¹]; (5) leaf nitrogen concentration [mg g⁻¹]; (6) leaf phosphorus concentration [mg g⁻¹]; (7) plant height [m]; (8) seed mass [mg]; (9) seed length [mm]; (10) leaf dry matter content [g g⁻¹]; (11) leaf nitrogen per area [g m⁻²]; (12) leaf N:P ratio [g g⁻¹]; (13) leaf δ ¹⁵N [per million]; (14) seed number per reproductive unit; (15) leaf fresh mass [g]; (16) stem conduit density [mm⁻²]; (17) dispersal unit length [mm]; and (18) conduit element length [µm].

Because missing values were particularly widespread in the species-trait matrix, we employed a gap-filling procedure based on hierarchical Bayesian modeling (R package 'BHPMF', 41; 42). Gap-filling was performed at the level of individual observations. We then log-transformed all gap-filled trait values and averaged each trait by taxon (i.e., at species, or genus level). Additional information on the gap-filling procedure are available in [26].

Community-weighted means (CWM) and the variances (CWV) were calculated for every plant functional trait j and every vegetation plot k as follows (43):

$$CWM_{j,k} = \sum_{i}^{n_k} p_{i,k} t_{i,j}$$
 (1)

$$CWV_{j,k} = \sum_i^{n_k} p_{i,k} (t_{i,j} - CWM_{j,k})^2$$
 (2)

where n_k is the number of species with trait information in vegetation plot k, $p_{i,k}$ is the relative abundance of species i in vegetation plot k calculated as the species' fraction in cover or abundance of total cover or abundance, and $t_{i,i}$ is the mean value of species i for trait j.

Data Records

The final dataset that is provided here as open access contains 91,031 vegetation plots from 115 countries and all continents except Antarctica (Figure 1) and stems from 103 constitutive datasets (Table 1). It only contains the species composition of vascular plants, while information on the composition of mosses or lichens was discarded since it was only available for a minority of plots (n = 4,963 and n = 3,045, respectively). Information on the size (surface area) of the vegetation survey is available for 61,898 vegetation plots, and ranges between 0.01 m² and 4 ha (mean = 270 m^2 ; median = 78.5 m^2). The average number of vascular plant species per vegetation plot ranges between 1 (i.e. monospecific stands) and 270 species (mean = 17.6; median = 13).

By reducing the overrepresentation of vegetation plots in specific environmental conditions, the resampling procedure described above strongly reduced the bias in the distribution of vegetation plots within the environmental niche space. Yet, due to the lack or scarcity of data from some geographical regions, like the tropics, the spatial distribution of vegetation plots remains unbalanced across geographical regions (Figure 1). This is evident when comparing the number of plots across continents or biomes. Europe is by far the best represented continent, with 53,884 vegetation plots. In contrast, Africa and South America have only 4,507 and 5,515 vegetation plots, respectively. The representation of biomes is equally unbalanced. The biomes 'Temperate midlatitudes' and 'Subtropics with winter rain' have 37,507 and 16,510 vegetation plots, respectively, while none of the other biomes have more than 10,000 vegetation plots (Figure 2).




Figure 2: Distribution of vegetation plots in climate space represented by mean annual temperature and mean annual precipitation superimposed onto Whittaker biomes (44)

Finally, the dataset contains a relatively balanced number of forest (n = 25,832) vs. non forest (n = 38,203) vegetation plots, with a minor proportion of plots remaining unassigned (n = 10,050). The assignment of plots to forests and non-forests is based on multiple lines of evidence, including the plot-level information on the cover of the tree layer, as well as traits of species composing a plot, such

as growth form and height. In short, a plot record was considered a forest if the cover of the tree layer, or alternatively, the sum of the relative cover of all tree taxa, was greater than 0.25. It was instead considered a non-forest record if the sum of relative cover of low-stature, non-tree and non-shrub taxa was greater than 0.90. For an extensive explanation on this classification scheme, we refer the reader to [26]. Even if the proportion of forest vs. non-forest vegetation plots is relatively well-balanced, the geographical distribution of vegetation plots belonging to different vegetation types is likely not balanced in the geographical space, as it depends on the idiosyncrasies of the constitutive datasets composing the sPlot database. For instance, the data from New Zealand only include plots collected in non-forest ecosystems, while data from Chile only refer to forests. We invite potential users to carefully read the description of each individual dataset in GIVD, or to contact the custodians of each dataset, before using sPlot Open.

Database Organization

sPlot Open is organized into three main matrices.

The **'header'** matrix contains plot level information for the 91,031 vegetation plots provided in this open access dataset, including metadata (e.g., plot ID, ownership, sampling date, geographical location, positional accuracy), sampling design information (e.g., the total surface area used during the vegetation survey), and a plot-level description of vegetation structure (e.g., vegetation type, percentage cover of each vegetation layer). For each vegetation plot we further provide information on the dataset it stems from, based on the IDs used in the <u>Global Index of Vegetation-Plot Databases</u>. A brief description of all the 43 variables contained in the header matrix is provided in Table <u>2</u>.

The 'DT' matrix contains data on the species composition of each plot. It is structured in a long format and contains 1,608,610 records, from 39,997 vascular plant taxa, mostly resolved at the species level. For each record we report both the taxon name as originally contributed by the data custodian (column 'Original_scpecies'), and the taxon name after taxonomic standardization (column 'Species'). For each entry, we report the species cover//abundance values. These follow different standards across the datasets constituting the sPlot database. We therefore provide both the cover//abundance value as reported in the original data (column 'Original_abundance'), together with the abundance scale that was originally used (column 'Abundance_scale'). This can take seven values: 'CoverPerc' = percentage cover, 'pa' = presence-absence, 'x_BA' = basal area (m²/ha, only for woody species), 'x_IC' = individual count, i.e., number of individuals in plot, 'x_SC' = stem count, i.e., number of stems in plot, 'x_IV' = importance value index, 'x_PF' = presence frequency. The great majority of entries, however, use the percentage cover scale (n= 1,397,109). Finally, for each entry we calculated a 'Relative_cover', i.e., the cover//abundance of a given taxon divided by the total cover//abundance of all taxa in that vegetation plot.

The **'CWM_CWV'** matrix contains the community-weighted means and variances calculated for each of the 18 functional traits mentioned above. It also contains three additional columns. The column *'Species_richness'* returns the number of species recorded in each plot. The columns *'Trait_coverage_cover'* and *'Trait_coverage_pa'* return respectively the proportion of total cover and species in a plot for which functional trait information was available.

Functional trait information was available for 20,932 species. The average proportion of species in each plot for which we have functional trait information is 0.88 (median = 1). For 47,177 plots the coverage is complete, while only in one plot we have no functional trait information for any of the occurring species. When considering relative cover, the average trait coverage is 0.89. As many as 68,234 and 74,388 plots have functional trait information for more than 80% of the species or 80% of relative cover, respectively.

sPlot Open contains two additional objects. The 'metadata' matrix contains plot-level metadata, which provide information on the origin of each individual vegetation plot. This object contains 15 columns, with information on Plot ID, dataset of origin (column 'GIVD_ID' - 32), author or surveyor names (columns 'Releve_author' and 'Releve_coauthor'), bibliographic references both at the dataset (column 'DB_BIBTEXKEY') and plot level ('Plot_Biblioreference' and 'BIBTEXKEY'). Similarly, the column 'Project_name' provide information on the project in which a vegetation plot was collected. When available, we also provide information on the numbering of the plots in the publication where they originally appeared (columns 'Nr_table_in_publ', 'Nr_releve_in_table'), or in the dataset where they were initially stored ('Original_nr_in_database'). In case of nested plots (n=1,786), we also provide the original plot and subplot IDs (columns: 'Original_plotID', 'Original_subplotID'). The last two columns report plot-level 'Remarks', and the unique identifier produced by Turboveg when the vegetation plot was first stored ('GUID').

Finally, the object **'references'**, contains all the bibliographic references formatted according to a BibTex standard. Each reference is tagged with a key corresponding to the fields 'DB_BIBTEXKEY' and 'BIBTEXKEY' in the metadata. We further provide an R function ('sPlotOpen_citation') to create reference lists, based on a selection of plots and\or datasets.

With the exception of the 'reference' file (format .bib), all objects are provided in tab-delimited .txt files. All objects, including the 'sPlotOpen_citation' function are also compiled inside an .RData object.

Technical Validation

The sPlot database has a nested structure, and is composed of several individual datasets, each validated and maintained by its respective dataset custodian. In same cases, individual datasets are also collections, whose vegetation plots were provided by their respective owners (the person who performed the actual vegetation survey) or by someone who digitized the original data from the scientific or grey literature. We obviously have no direct control on the individual vegetation plots that we provide here in an open access dataset. Yet, each of these vegetation plots stem from trained professional botanists, or published scientific work, and are accompanied by detailed information on the sampling protocols used, thus ensuring data quality and reliability.

Before having been integrated into the sPlot database, each dataset was further checked for consistency and, if having a different format, was converted to a Turboveg 2 database (45). During this conversion, we checked that all datasets contained the required metadata information, and cross-checked that each plot was located within the geographic scopes of its respective dataset. Finally, we harmonized all the taxonomic names from all datasets, based on the sPlot's taxonomic backbone (Purschke 2017). This backbone matched all the taxonomic names (without nomenclatural authors) from all datasets in sPlot 2.1 and TRY v3.0 (27) to their resolved version based on the Taxonomic Name Resolution Service web application (TNRS version 4.0; 46; iPlant Collaborative, 2015). This allowed to (1) harmonize all datasets to a common nomenclature, and (2) link the sPlot database to the TRY database (27). All taxa originally denoted at taxonomic ranks lower than species, were aggregated at species level. Additional detail on the taxonomic resolution is reported in [26], while a description of the workflow, including R-code, is available in [47]

Usage Notes

The sPlot Open database can be downloaded from https://www.idiv.de (link to PlantHub). Users are invited to cite the original sources when using sPlot Open. For some datasets (e.g., AF-00-009, AF-CD-001) the identification of taxa at species level is still in progress. As a rule, we recommend sPlto Open users to get in touch with the custodian(s) of the data they are planning to use (custodian names are reported in https://www.idiv.de/sPlot). The use of data contained in BioTIME should cite original data citations in addition to the present paper. The data included in the present paper represent the subset of sPlot for which we were able to secure permission for making these data open. The additional data in sPlot are available under sPlot's Governance and Data Property Rules (www.idiv.de/sPlot).

Code Availability

The R code used to produce sPlot Open from the sPlot 2.1 database is contained in the *sPlotOpen_code* GitHub repository: (https://github.com/fmsabatini/sPlotOpen_Code/). This manuscript was produced using the Manubot workflow (48). The code for reproducing this manuscript is stored in the *sPlotOpen_manuscript* GitHub repository: (https://github.com/fmsabatini/sPlotOpen_Manuscript).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to thousands of vegetation scientists who sampled vegetation plots in the field or digitized them into regional, national or international databases. We also appreciate the support of the German Research Foundation for funding sPlot as one of the iDiv (DFG FZT 118, 202548816)

research platforms, and the organization of three workshops through the sDiv calls. We acknowledge this support with naming the database "sPlot", where the "s" refers to the sDiv synthesis workshops.

The study has been supported by the TRY initiative on plant traits (http://www.try-db.org). The TRY initiative and database is hosted, developed and maintained by J. Kattge and G. Bönisch (Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany). TRY is currently supported by DIVERSITAS/Future Earth and the German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig. Jens Kattge acknowledges support by the Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry (Jena, Germany), Future Earth, the German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig and the EU H2020 project BACI, Grant No 640176.

Isabelle Aubin was funded through Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry. Yves Bergeron was funded through Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. Idoia Biurrun was funded by the Basque Government (IT936-16). Anne Bjorkman thank the Herschel Island-Qikiqtaruk Territorial Park management, Catherine Kennedy, Dorothy Cooley, Jill F. Johnstone, Cameron Eckert and Richard Gordon for establishing the ecological monitoring programme. Funding was provided by Herschel Island-Qikiqtaruk Territorial Park. Luis Cayuela was supported by project BIOCON08_044 funded by Fundación BBVA. Milan Chytrý, Flavia Landucci, Corrado Marcenò and Tomáš Peterka were supported by the Czech Science Foundation (project no. 19-28491X). Brian Enquist thanks the following individuals and institutions for contributing data to sPlot via the SALVIAS database: Mauricio Bonifacino, Saara DeWalt, Timothy Killeen, Susan Letcher, Nigel Pitman, Cam Webb, The Missouri Botanical Garden, RAINFOR and the Amazon Forest Inventory Network. Alvaro G. Gutiérrez acknowledges FONDECYT 11150835, Project FORECOFUN-SSA PIEF-GA-2010-274798), CONICYT-PAI (82130046). Mohamed Z. Hatim thanks Kamal Shaltout for supervision, and Joop Schaminée for support and funding from the Prince Bernard Culture Fund Prize for Nature Conservation. Jürgen Homeier received funding from BMBF (Federal Ministry of Education and Science of Germany) and the German Research Foundation (DFG Ho3296-2, DFG Ho3296-4). Tatiana Lysenko was funded by Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant No. 16-04-00747a). Jérôme Munzinger was supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR) with grants INC (ANR-07-BDIV-0008), BIONEOCAL (ANR-07-BDIV-0006) & ULTRABIO (ANR-07-BDIV-0010), by National Geographic Society (Grant 7579-04), and with fundings and authorizations of North and South Provinces of New Caledonia. Gerhard E. Overbeck acknowledges support from Brazil's National Council of Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq, grant 310022/2015-0). Josep Peñuelas would like to acknowledge the financial support from the European Research Council Synergy grant ERC-SyG-2013-610028 IMBALANCE-P Oliver Phillips was funded by an ERC Advanced Grant (291585, "T-FORCES") and a Royal Society-Wolfson Research Merit Award. Valério D. Pillar has been supported by the Brazil's National Council of Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq, grant 307689/2014-0). Solvita Rūsiņa was supported by the University of Latvia grant AAP2016/B041//Zd2016/AZ03 within the "Climate change and sustainable use of natural resources". Franziska Schrodt was supported by a University of Minnesota Institute on the Environment Discovery Grant, a German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig grant (50170649_#7) and a University of Nottingham Anne McLaren Fellowship. Kim André Vanselow would like to thank W. Bernhard Dickoré for the help in the identification of plant species and acknowledge the financial support from the Volkswagen Foundation (AZ I/81 976) and the German Research Foundation (DFG VA 749/1-1, DFG VA 749/4-1). Evan Weiher was funded by NSF DEB-0415383, UWEC-ORSP, and UWEC-BCDT.

Author contributions

FMS wrote the first draft of the manuscript, with considerable input from JL and HB. JL and TH wrote the resampling algorithm. FMS set up the GiHub projects, curated the database, and produced the graphs. He also coordinated the sPlot consortium. SMH wrote the Turboveg v3 software, which holds

the sPlot database. JK provided the trait data from TRY and FS performed the trait data gap filling. HB secured the funding for sPlot as a strategic project of iDiv. All other authors contributed data. All authors contributed to revising the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Summary for policymakers of the global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services

IPBES

IPBES secretariat (2019) ISBN: <u>978-3-947851-13-3</u>

2. Species better track climate warming in the oceans than on land

Jonathan Lenoir, Romain Bertrand, Lise Comte, Luana Bourgeaud, Tarek Hattab, Jérôme Murienne, Gaël Grenouillet

Nature Ecology & Evolution (2020-05-25) https://doi.org/ggx3np

DOI: <u>10.1038/s41559-020-1198-2</u> · PMID: <u>32451428</u>

3. Replacements of small- by large-ranged species scale up to diversity loss in Europe's temperate forest biome

Ingmar R. Staude, Donald M. Waller, Markus Bernhardt-Römermann, Anne D. Bjorkman, Jörg Brunet, Pieter De Frenne, Radim Hédl, Ute Jandt, Jonathan Lenoir, František Máliš, ... Lander Baeten *Nature Ecology & Evolution* (2020-04-13) https://doi.org/ggrs73

DOI: <u>10.1038/s41559-020-1176-8</u> · PMID: <u>32284580</u>

4. Biodiversity redistribution under climate change: Impacts on ecosystems and human wellbeing

Gretta T. Pecl, Miguel B. Araújo, Johann D. Bell, Julia Blanchard, Timothy C. Bonebrake, I-Ching Chen, Timothy D. Clark, Robert K. Colwell, Finn Danielsen, Birgitta Evengård, ... Stephen E. Williams *Science* (2017-03-31) https://doi.org/f9xmpm

DOI: 10.1126/science.aai9214 · PMID: 28360268

5. Managing consequences of climate-driven species redistribution requires integration of ecology, conservation and social science

Timothy C. Bonebrake, Christopher J. Brown, Johann D. Bell, Julia L. Blanchard, Alienor Chauvenet, Curtis Champion, I-Ching Chen, Timothy D. Clark, Robert K. Colwell, Finn Danielsen, ... Gretta T. Pecl *Biological Reviews* (2018-02) https://doi.org/gc2dvc

DOI: 10.1111/brv.12344 · PMID: 28568902

6. Global trends in emerging infectious diseases

Kate E. Jones, Nikkita G. Patel, Marc A. Levy, Adam Storeygard, Deborah Balk, John L. Gittleman, Peter Daszak

Nature (2008-02) https://doi.org/cbxh9h

DOI: <u>10.1038/nature06536</u> · PMID: <u>18288193</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC5960580</u>

7. The range of Ixodes ricinus and the risk of contracting Lyme borreliosis will increase northwards when the vegetation period becomes longer

Thomas G. T. Jaenson, Elisabet Lindgren

Ticks and Tick-borne Diseases (2011-03) https://doi.org/fmn4dp

DOI: 10.1016/j.ttbdis.2010.10.006 · PMID: 21771536

8. Altitudinal Changes in Malaria Incidence in Highlands of Ethiopia and Colombia

A. S. Siraj, M. Santos-Vega, M. J. Bouma, D. Yadeta, D. R. Carrascal, M. Pascual

Science (2014-03-06) https://doi.org/f5vb47

DOI: 10.1126/science.1244325 · PMID: 24604201

9. A Significant Upward Shift in Plant Species Optimum Elevation During the 20th Century

J. Lenoir, J. C. Gegout, P. A. Marquet, P. de Ruffray, H. Brisse

Science (2008-06-27) https://doi.org/bnhhj8

DOI: 10.1126/science.1156831 · PMID: 18583610

10. The functional role of producer diversity in ecosystems

Bradley J. Cardinale, Kristin L. Matulich, David U. Hooper, Jarrett E. Byrnes, Emmett Duffy, Lars Gamfeldt, Patricia Balvanera, Mary I. O'Connor, Andrew Gonzalez

American Journal of Botany (2011-03) https://doi.org/fnh8qs

DOI: 10.3732/ajb.1000364 · PMID: 21613148

11. The biomass distribution on Earth

Yinon M. Bar-On, Rob Phillips, Ron Milo

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (2018-06-19) https://doi.org/cp29

DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1711842115 · PMID: 29784790 · PMCID: PMC6016768

12. Effective Biodiversity Monitoring Needs a Culture of Integration

Hjalmar S. Kühl, Diana E. Bowler, Lukas Bösch, Helge Bruelheide, Jens Dauber, David. Eichenberg,

Nico Eisenhauer, Néstor Fernández, Carlos A. Guerra, Klaus Henle, ... Aletta Bonn

One Earth (2020-10) https://doi.org/ghgk4w

DOI: 10.1016/j.oneear.2020.09.010

13. Cyberinfrastructure for an integrated botanical information network to investigate the ecological impacts of global climate change on plant biodiversity

Brian J Enquist, Rick Condit, Robert K Peet, Mark Schildhauer, Barbara M. Thiers

PeerJ (2018-01-13) https://doi.org/ghfnsx

DOI: <u>10.7287/peerj.preprints.2615v2</u>

14. GIFT - A Global Inventory of Floras and Traits for macroecology and biogeography

Patrick Weigelt, Christian König, Holger Kreft

Journal of Biogeography (2019-06-09) https://doi.org/gf38t6

DOI: 10.1111/jbi.13623

15. Distorted Views of Biodiversity: Spatial and Temporal Bias in Species Occurrence Data

Elizabeth H. Boakes, Philip J. K. McGowan, Richard A. Fuller, Ding Chang-qing, Natalie E. Clark, Kim O'Connor, Georgina M. Mace

PLoS Biology (2010-06-01) https://doi.org/brfdq6

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000385 · PMID: 20532234 · PMCID: PMC2879389

16. Versuch einer Übersicht über die Wiesentypen der Schweiz

F. G. Stebler, C. Schröter

Landwirt. Jahrb. Schweiz (1893)

17. European Vegetation Archive (EVA): an integrated database of European vegetation plots

Milan Chytrý, Stephan M. Hennekens, Borja Jiménez-Alfaro, Ilona Knollová, Jürgen Dengler, Florian Jansen, Flavia Landucci, Joop H. J. Schaminée, Svetlana Aćić, Emiliano Agrillo, ... Sergey Yamalov *Applied Vegetation Science* (2016-01) https://doi.org/bc7k

DOI: 10.1111/avsc.12191

18. Sample selection bias and presence-only distribution models: implications for background and pseudo-absence data

Steven J. Phillips, Miroslav Dudík, Jane Elith, Catherine H. Graham, Anthony Lehmann, John Leathwick, Simon Ferrier

Ecological Applications (2009-01) https://doi.org/dx4s78

DOI: <u>10.1890/07-2153.1</u> · PMID: <u>19323182</u>

19. Global environmental change effects on plant community composition trajectories depend upon management legacies

Michael P. Perring, Markus Bernhardt-Römermann, Lander Baeten, Gabriele Midolo, Haben Blondeel, Leen Depauw, Dries Landuyt, Sybryn L. Maes, Emiel De Lombaerde, Maria Mercedes Carón, ... Kris Verheyen

Global Change Biology (2018-04) https://doi.org/gc6mjp

DOI: 10.1111/gcb.14030 · PMID: 29271579

20. Accelerated increase in plant species richness on mountain summits is linked to warming

Manuel J. Steinbauer, John-Arvid Grytnes, Gerald Jurasinski, Aino Kulonen, Jonathan Lenoir, Harald Pauli, Christian Rixen, Manuela Winkler, Manfred Bardy-Durchhalter, Elena Barni, ... Sonja Wipf *Nature* (2018-04-04) https://doi.org/gdfwk3

DOI: 10.1038/s41586-018-0005-6 · PMID: 29618821

21. Exploring large vegetation databases to detect temporal trends in species occurrences

Ute Jandt, Henrik von Wehrden, Helge Bruelheide

Journal of Vegetation Science (2011-12) https://doi.org/d8b4jv

DOI: <u>10.1111/j.1654-1103.2011.01318.x</u>

22. Biodiversity data integration—the significance of data resolution and domain

Christian König, Patrick Weigelt, Julian Schrader, Amanda Taylor, Jens Kattge, Holger Kreft *PLOS Biology* (2019-03-18) https://doi.org/c3xz

DOI: <u>10.1371/journal.pbio.3000183</u> · PMID: <u>30883539</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC6445469</u>

23. Global trait-environment relationships of plant communities

Helge Bruelheide, Jürgen Dengler, Oliver Purschke, Jonathan Lenoir, Borja Jiménez-Alfaro, Stephan M. Hennekens, Zoltán Botta-Dukát, Milan Chytrý, Richard Field, Florian Jansen, ... Ute Jandt *Nature Ecology & Evolution* (2018-11-19) https://doi.org/gfj595

DOI: <u>10.1038/s41559-018-0699-8</u> · PMID: <u>30455437</u>

24. Big data for forecasting the impacts of global change on plant communities

Janet Franklin, Josep M. Serra-Diaz, Alexandra D. Syphard, Helen M. Regan *Global Ecology and Biogeography* (2017-01) https://doi.org/f9hdp3

DOI: 10.1111/geb.12501

25. Achievements and challenges in the integration, reuse and synthesis of vegetation plot data

Susan K. Wiser

Journal of Vegetation Science (2016-09) https://doi.org/ghfnr5

DOI: <u>10.1111/jvs.12419</u>

26. sPlot - A new tool for global vegetation analyses

Helge Bruelheide, Jürgen Dengler, Borja Jiménez-Alfaro, Oliver Purschke, Stephan M. Hennekens, Milan Chytrý, Valério D. Pillar, Florian Jansen, Jens Kattge, Brody Sandel, ... Andrei Zverev *Journal of Vegetation Science* (2019-04-08) https://doi.org/gfvhkm

DOI: 10.1111/jvs.12710

27. TRY plant trait database - enhanced coverage and open access

Jens Kattge, Gerhard Bönisch, Sandra Díaz, Sandra Lavorel, Iain Colin Prentice, Paul Leadley, Susanne Tautenhahn, Gijsbert D. A. Werner, Tuomas Aakala, Mehdi Abedi, ... Christian Wirth *Global Change Biology* (2020) https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/gcb.14904
DOI: 10.1111/gcb.14904

28. Global fern and lycophyte richness explained: How regional and local factors shape plot richness

Anna Weigand, Stefan Abrahamczyk, Isabelle Aubin, Claudia Bita-Nicolae, Helge Bruelheide, Cesar I. Carvajal-Hernández, Daniele Cicuzza, Lucas Erickson Nascimento da Costa, János Csiky, Jürgen Dengler, ... Michael Kessler

Journal of Biogeography (2019-12-30) https://doi.org/ggf4gr

DOI: 10.1111/jbi.13782

29. Similar factors underlie tree abundance in forests in native and alien ranges

Masha T. Sande, Helge Bruelheide, Wayne Dawson, Jürgen Dengler, Franz Essl, Richard Field, Sylvia Haider, Mark Kleunen, Holger Kreft, Joern Pagel, ... Tiffany M. Knight Global Ecology and Biogeography (2019-12) https://doi.org/ggftj7

DOI: <u>10.1111/geb.13027</u> · PMID: <u>32063745</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC7006795</u>

30. Vegetation-plot database of the Carolina Vegetation Survey

Richard K. Peet, Michael T. Lee, M. Forbes Boyle, Thomas R. Wentworth, Michael P. Schafale, Alan S. Weakley

Vegetation databases for the 21st century (2012) https://doi.org/10.7809/b-e.00081

31. VegBank - a permanent, open-access archive for vegetation-plot data

Richard K. Peet, M. T. Lee, M. D. Jennings, D. Faber-Langendoen Vegetation databases for the 21st century (2012) https://doi.org/10.7809/b-e.00080

32. The Global Index of Vegetation-Plot Databases (GIVD): a new resource for vegetation science

Jürgen Dengler, Florian Jansen, Falko Glöckler, Robert K. Peet, Miquel De Cáceres, Milan Chytrý, Jörg Ewald, Jens Oldeland, Gabriela Lopez-Gonzalez, Manfred Finckh, ... Nick Spencer *Journal of Vegetation Science* (2011-08) https://doi.org/ctx2s7

DOI: <u>10.1111/j.1654-1103.2011.01265.x</u>

33. Climate-related range shifts - a global multidimensional synthesis and new research directions

J. Lenoir, J.-C. Svenning

Ecography (2015-01) https://doi.org/f6xz9h

DOI: <u>10.1111/ecog.00967</u>

34. Climatologies at high resolution for the earth's land surface areas

Dirk Nikolaus Karger, Olaf Conrad, Jürgen Böhner, Tobias Kawohl, Holger Kreft, Rodrigo Wilber Soria-Auza, Niklaus E. Zimmermann, H. Peter Linder, Michael Kessler *Scientific Data* (2017-09-05) https://doi.org/gbvksk

DOI: <u>10.1038/sdata.2017.122</u> · PMID: <u>28872642</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC5584396</u>

35. Global High-Resolution Soil-Water Balance

Antonio Trabucco, Robert J. Zomer

figshare (2019) https://figshare.com/articles/Global_High-Resolution_Soil-

Water Balance/7707605/3

DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.7707605.v3

36. SoilGrids250m: Global gridded soil information based on machine learning

Tomislav Hengl, Jorge Mendes de Jesus, Gerard B. M. Heuvelink, Maria Ruiperez Gonzalez, Milan Kilibarda, Aleksandar Blagotić, Wei Shangguan, Marvin N. Wright, Xiaoyuan Geng, Bernhard Bauer-Marschallinger, ... Bas Kempen

PLOS ONE (2017-02-16) https://doi.org/f9qc5p

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0169748 · PMID: 28207752 · PMCID: PMC5313206

37. Heterogeneity-constrained random resampling of phytosociological databases

Attila Lengyel, Milan Chytrý, Lubomír Tichý

Journal of Vegetation Science (2011-02) https://doi.org/dvjzbz

DOI: <u>10.1111/j.1654-1103.2010.01225.x</u>

38. The relationship between species replacement, dissimilarity derived from nestedness, and nestedness

Andrés Baselga

Global Ecology and Biogeography (2012-12) https://doi.org/gddc72

DOI: 10.1111/j.1466-8238.2011.00756.x

39. A leaf-height-seed (LHS) plant ecology strategy scheme

Mark Westoby

Plant and Soil (1998-02-01) https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004327224729

DOI: <u>10.1023/a:1004327224729</u>

40. The world-wide "fast-slow" plant economics spectrum: a traits manifesto

Peter B. Reich

Journal of Ecology (2014-03) https://doi.org/gfc4z9

DOI: <u>10.1111/1365-2745.12211</u>

41. Uncertainty Quantified Matrix Completion Using Bayesian Hierarchical Matrix Factorization

Farideh Fazayeli, Arindam Banerjee, Jens Kattge, Franziska Schrodt, Peter B. Reich *Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE)* (2014-12) https://doi.org/ghfnw3

DOI: 10.1109/icmla.2014.56

42. BHPMF - a hierarchical Bayesian approach to gap-filling and trait prediction for macroecology and functional biogeography

Franziska Schrodt, Jens Kattge, Hanhuai Shan, Farideh Fazayeli, Julia Joswig, Arindam Banerjee, Markus Reichstein, Gerhard Bönisch, Sandra Díaz, John Dickie, ... Peter B. Reich *Global Ecology and Biogeography* (2015-12) https://doi.org/f76qw8

DOI: 10.1111/geb.12335

43. Scaling from Traits to Ecosystems

Brian J. Enquist, Jon Norberg, Stephen P. Bonser, Cyrille Violle, Colleen T. Webb, Amanda Henderson, Lindsey L. Sloat, Van M. Savage

Advances in Ecological Research (2015) https://doi.org/ghfnsw

DOI: <u>10.1016/bs.aecr.2015.02.001</u>

44. Communities and Ecosystems

R. H. Whittaker *Macmillan Publishing Co. Inc.* (1975)

45. TURBOVEG, a comprehensive data base management system for vegetation data

Stephan M. Hennekens, Joop H. J. Schaminée

Journal of Vegetation Science (2001-02-24) https://doi.org/cgmn6m

DOI: 10.2307/3237010

46. The taxonomic name resolution service: an online tool for automated standardization of plant names

Brad Boyle, Nicole Hopkins, Zhenyuan Lu, Juan Antonio Raygoza Garay, Dmitry Mozzherin, Tony Rees, Naim Matasci, Martha L Narro, William H Piel, Sheldon J Mckay, ... Brian J Enquist *BMC Bioinformatics* (2013-01-16) https://doi.org/gb8vxz

DOI: <u>10.1186/1471-2105-14-16</u> · PMID: <u>23324024</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC3554605</u>

47. Oliverpurschke/Taxonomic_Backbone: First Release Of The Workflow To Generate The Taxonomic Backbone For Splot V.2.1 And Try V.3.0

Oliver Purschke

Zenodo (2017-08-18) https://doi.org/ghf4ph

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.845445

48. Open collaborative writing with Manubot

Daniel S. Himmelstein, Vincent Rubinetti, David R. Slochower, Dongbo Hu, Venkat S. Malladi, Casey S. Greene, Anthony Gitter

PLOS Computational Biology (2019-06-24) https://doi.org/c7np

DOI: <u>10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007128</u> · PMID: <u>31233491</u> · PMCID: <u>PMC6611653</u>

49. Database Dry Grasslands in the Nordic and Baltic Region

Jürgen Dengler, Solvita Rūsiņa

Biodiversity & Ecology (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgvcv

DOI: 10.7809/b-e.00114

50. Vegetation-Plot Database of the University of the Basque Country (BIOVEG)

Idoia Biurrun, Itziar García-Mijangos, Juan Campos, Mercedes Herrera, Javier Loidi *Biodiversity & Ecology* (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgt9d

DOI: <u>10.7809/b-e.00121</u>

51. Balkan Dry Grasslands Database

Kiril Vassilev, Zora Dajiś, Renata Cušterevska, Erwin Bergmeier, Iva Apostolova *Biodiversity & Ecology* (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgvcw

DOI: <u>10.7809/b-e.00123</u>

52. The Mediterranean *Ammophiletea* Database: a comprehensive dataset of coastal dune vegetation

Corrado Marcenò, Borja Jiménez-Alfaro

Phytocoenologia (2016) https://doi.org/ghgt83

DOI: 10.1127/phyto/2016/0133

53. Local temperatures inferred from plant communities suggest strong spatial buffering of climate warming across Northern Europe

Jonathan Lenoir, Bente Jessen Graae, Per Arild Aarrestad, Inger Greve Alsos, W. Scott Armbruster, Gunnar Austrheim, Claes Bergendorff, H. John B. Birks, Kari Anne Bråthen, Jörg Brunet, ... Jens-Christian Svenning

Global Change Biology (2013-05) https://doi.org/f24bdd

DOI: <u>10.1111/gcb.12129</u> · PMID: <u>23504984</u>

54. **Balkan Vegetation Database: historical background, current status and future perspectives** Kiril Vassilev, Hristo Pedashenko, Alexandra Alexandrova, Alexandar Tashev, Anna Ganeva, Anna

Gavrilova, Asya Gradevska, Assen Assenov, Antonina Vitkova, Borislav Grigorov, ... Vladimir Vulchev *Phytocoenologia* (2016-06-01) https://doi.org/f8sjft

DOI: 10.1127/phyto/2016/0109

55. WetVegEurope: a database of aquatic and wetland vegetation of Europe

Flavia Landucci, Marcela Řezníčková, Kateřina Šumberová, Milan Chytrý, Liene Aunina, Claudia Biţă-Nicolae, Alexander Bobrov, Lyubov Borsukevych, Henry Brisse, Andraž Čarni, ... Wolfgang Willner *Phytocoenologia* (2015-07-01) https://doi.org/bdmw

DOI: <u>10.1127/phyto/2015/0050</u>

56. European Mire Vegetation Database: a gap-oriented database for European fens and bogs

Tomáš Peterka, Martin Jiroušek, Michal Hájek, Borja Jiménez-Alfaro

Phytocoenologia (2015-11-01) https://doi.org/f724p4

DOI: 10.1127/phyto/2015/0054

57. Vegetation Database of Albania

Michele De Sanctis, Giuliano Fanelli, Alfred Mullaj, Fabio Attorre

Phytocoenologia (2017-01-01) https://doi.org/ghgt85

DOI: 10.1127/phyto/2017/0178

58. Austrian Vegetation Database

Wolfgang Willner, Christian Berg, Paul Heiselmayer *Biodiversity & Ecology* (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgvcx

DOI: 10.7809/b-e.00125

59. Bulgarian Vegetation Database: historic background, current status and future prospects

Iva Apostolova, Desislava Sopotlieva, Hristo Pedashenko, Nikolay Velev, Kiril Vasilev *Biodiversity & Ecology* (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgvch

DOI: <u>10.7809/b-e.00069</u>

60. Swiss Forest Vegetation Database

Thomas Wohlgemuth

Biodiversity & Ecology (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgvcz

DOI: 10.7809/b-e.00131

61. Czech National Phytosociological Database: basic statistics of the available vegetation-plot data

M. Chytrý, M. Rafajová *Preslia* (2003)

62. VegMV - the vegetation database of Mecklenburg-Vorpommern

Florian Jansen, Jürgen Dengler, Christian Berg

Biodiversity & Ecology (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/gftw54

DOI: <u>10.7809/b-e.000</u>70

63. VegetWeb - the national online-repository of vegetation plots from Germany

Jörg Ewald, Rudolf May, Martin Kleikamp

Biodiversity & Ecology (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgvci

DOI: <u>10.7809/b-e.00073</u>

64. German Vegetation Reference Database (GVRD)

Ute Jandt, Helge Bruelheide

Biodiversity & Ecology (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgvc2

DOI: 10.7809/b-e.00146

65. Hellenic Natura 2000 Vegetation Database (HelNatVeg)

Panayotis Dimopoulos, Ioannis Tsiripidis

Biodiversity & Ecology (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgvc3

DOI: 10.7809/b-e.00177

66. Hellenic Woodland Database

Georgios Fotiadis, Ioannis Tsiripidis, Erwin Bergmeier, Panayotis Dimopolous *Biodiversity & Ecology* (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgvc4

DOI: <u>10.7809/b-e.00178</u>

67. Phytosociological Database of Non-Forest Vegetation in Croatia

Zvjezdana Stancic

Biodiversity & Ecology (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgt9f

DOI: 10.7809/b-e.00180

68. Hungarian Phytosociological database (COENODATREF): sampling methodology, nomenclature and its actual stage

K Lájer, Z. Botta-Dukát, J. Csiky, F. Horváth, F. Szmorad, I. Bagi, T. Rédei *Annali di Botanica, Nuova Serie* (2008)

69. VegItaly: The Italian collaborative project for a national vegetation database

F. Landucci, A. T. R. Acosta, E. Agrillo, F. Attorre, E. Biondi, V. E. Cambria, A. Chiarucci, E. Del Vico, M. De Sanctis, L. Facioni, ... R. Venanzoni

Plant Biosystems - An International Journal Dealing with all Aspects of Plant Biology (2012-12) https://doi.org/ghgt8x

Hittps://doi.org/grigtox

DOI: <u>10.1080/11263504.2012.740093</u>

70. Italian National Vegetation Database (BVN/ISPRA)

Laura Casella, Pietro Massimiliano Bianco, Pierangela Angelini, Emi Morroni *Biodiversity & Ecology* (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgvc6

DOI: <u>10.7809/b-e.00192</u>

71. Nationwide Vegetation Plot Database – Sapienza University of Rome: state of the art, basic figures and future perspectives

Emiliano Agrillo*, Nicola Alessi, Marco Massimi, Francesco Spada, Michele De Sanctis *Phytocoenologia* (2017-07-20) https://doi.org/gbsxm9

DOI: 10.1127/phyto/2017/0139

72. Semi-natural Grassland Vegetation Database of Latvia

Solvita Rūsiņa

Biodiversity & Ecology (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgt9g

DOI: <u>10.7809/b-e.00197</u>

73. Schatten voor de natuur. Achtergronden, inventaris en toepassingen van de Landelijke Vegetatie Databank

J. H. J. Schaminée, J. A. M. Janssen, R. Haveman, S. M. Hennekens, G. B. M. Heuvelink, H. P. J. Huiskes, E. J. Weeda *KNNV Uitgeverij* (2006)

74. The Polish Vegetation Database: structure, resources and development

Zygmunt Kącki, Michał Śliwiński

Acta Societatis Botanicorum Poloniae (2012) https://doi.org/f34f3k

DOI: 10.5586/asbp.2012.014

75. Romanian Forest Database: a phytosociological archive of woody vegetation

Adrian Indreica, Pavel Dan Turtureanu, Anna Szabó, Irina Irimia

Phytocoenologia (2017-12-01) https://doi.org/ghgt86

DOI: <u>10.1127/phyto/2017/0201</u>

76. The Romanian Grassland Database (RGD): historical background, current status and future perspectives

Kiril Vassilev, Eszter Ruprecht, Valeriu Alexiu, Thomas Becker, Monica Beldean, Claudia Biţă-Nicolae, Anna Mária Csergő, Iliana Dzhovanova, Eva Filipova, József Pál Frink, ... Jürgen Dengler *Phytocoenologia* (2018-03-01) https://doi.org/gc79hp

DOI: 10.1127/phyto/2017/0229

77. Vegetation Database Grassland Vegetation of Serbia

Svetlana Aćić, Milicia Petrović, Urban Šilc, Zora Dajić Stevanović *Biodiversity & Ecology* (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgt9h

DOI: 10.7809/b-e.00206

78. Lower Volga Valley Phytosociological Database

Alexey Sorokin, Valentin Golub, Kseniya Starichkova, Lyudmila Nikolaychuk, Viktoria Bondareva, Tatyana Ivakhnova

Biodiversity & Ecology (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgt9j

DOI: 10.7809/b-e.00207

79. Vegetation Database of the Volga and the Ural Rivers Basins

Tatiana Lysenko, Olga Kalmykova, Anna Mitroshenkova *Biodiversity & Ecology* (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgvc7

DOI: 10.7809/b-e.00208

80. Vegetation Database of Tatarstan

Vadim Prokhorov, Tatiana Rogova, Maria Kozhevnikova *Phytocoenologia* (2017-09-27) https://doi.org/ghgt84

DOI: <u>10.1127/phyto/2017/0172</u>

81. Vegetation Database of Slovenia

Urban Šilc

Biodiversity & Ecology (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgt9k

DOI: 10.7809/b-e.00215

82. Slovak Vegetation Database

Jozef Šibík

Biodiversity & Ecology (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgt9m

DOI: 10.7809/b-e.00216

83. The West African Vegetation Database

Marco Schmidt, Thomas Janßen, Stefan Dressler, Karen Hahn, Mipro Hien, Souleymane Konaté, Anne Mette Lykke, Ali Mahamane, Bienvenu Sambou, Brice Sinsin, ... Georg Zizka

Biodiversity & Ecology (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgvcf

DOI: 10.7809/b-e.00065

84. Zur Vegetationsökologie der Savannenlandschaften im Sahel Burkina Fasos

J. Müller

FB Biologie und Informatik, J.W. Goethe-Universität Frankfurt a.M (2003)

85. ForestPlots.net: a web application and research tool to manage and analyse tropical forest plot data

Gabriela Lopez-Gonzalez, Simon L. Lewis, Mark Burkitt, Oliver L. Phillips *Journal of Vegetation Science* (2011-08) https://doi.org/dz6zb3

DOI: <u>10.1111/j.1654-1103.2011.01312.x</u>

86. Plot-scale evidence of tundra vegetation change and links to recent summer warming

Sarah C. Elmendorf, Gregory H. R. Henry, Robert D. Hollister, Robert G. Björk, Noémie Boulanger-Lapointe, Elisabeth J. Cooper, Johannes H. C. Cornelissen, Thomas A. Day, Ellen Dorrepaal, Tatiana G. Elumeeva, ... Sonja Wipf

Nature Climate Change (2012-04-08) https://doi.org/f223nb

DOI: 10.1038/nclimate1465

87. Database of Masaryk University's Vegetation Research in Siberia

Milan Chytrý

Biodiversity & Ecology (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgvcp

DOI: 10.7809/b-e.00088

88. BIOTA Southern Africa Biodiversity Observatories Vegetation Database

Gerhard Muche, Ute Schmiedel, Norbert Jürgens

Biodiversity & Ecology (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgvcg

DOI: 10.7809/b-e.00066

89. Vegetation Database of the Okavango Basin

Rasmus Revermann, Amândio Luis Gomes, Francisco Maiato Gonçalves, Johannes Wallenfang, Torsten Hoche, Norbert Jürgens, Manfred Finckh

Phytocoenologia (2016-06-01) https://doi.org/ghgt82

DOI: 10.1127/phyto/2016/0103

90. Conventional tree height-diameter relationships significantly overestimate aboveground carbon stocks in the Central Congo Basin

Elizabeth Kearsley, Thales de Haulleville, Koen Hufkens, Alidé Kidimbu, Benjamin Toirambe, Geert Baert, Dries Huygens, Yodit Kebede, Pierre Defourny, Jan Bogaert, ... Hans Verbeeck

Nature Communications (2013-08-05) https://doi.org/ghgt8w

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms3269 · PMID: 23912554

91. Responses of plant functional types to environmental gradients in the south-west Ethiopian highlands

Desalegn Wana, Carl Beierkuhnlein

Journal of Tropical Ecology (2011-03-10) https://doi.org/b6mtmx

DOI: <u>10.1017/s0266467410000</u>799

92. Vegetation Database of Southern Morocco

Manfred Finckh

Biodiversity & Ecology (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgvcq

DOI: 10.7809/b-e.00094

93. **(Das Weidepotential im Gutu-Distrikt (Zimbabwe) – Möglichkeiten und Grenzen der** Modellierung unter Verwendung von Landsat TM-5

Karlsruher Schriften zur Geographie und Geoökologie (2003)

94. Classification of Korean forests: patterns along geographic and environmental gradients

Tomáš Černý, Martin Kopecký, Petr Petřík, Jong-Suk Song, Miroslav Šrůtek, Milan Valachovič, Jan Altman, Jiří Doležal

Applied Vegetation Science (2015-01) https://doi.org/ghgt8z

DOI: 10.1111/avsc.12124

95. Vegetation of Middle Asia – the project state of art after ten years of survey and future perspectives

Arkadiusz Nowak, Marcin Nobis, Sylwia Nowak, Agnieszka Nobis, Grzegorz Swacha, Zygmunt Kącki *Phytocoenologia* (2017-12-01) https://doi.org/gctffg

DOI: 10.1127/phyto/2017/0208

96. Vegetation of the woodland-steppe transition at the southeastern edge of the Inner Mongolian Plateau

Hongyan Liu, Haiting Cui, Richard Pott, Martin Speier Journal of Vegetation Science (2000-08) https://doi.org/cxr92b

DOI: 10.2307/3246582

97. Combined effects of livestock grazing and abiotic environment on vegetation and soils of grasslands across Tibet

Yun Wang, Gwendolyn Heberling, Eugen Görzen, Georg Miehe, Elke Seeber, Karsten Wesche *Applied Vegetation Science* (2017-07) https://doi.org/gbkd6v

DOI: <u>10.1111/avsc.12312</u>

98. Community assembly during secondary forest succession in a Chinese subtropical forest

Helge Bruelheide, Martin Böhnke, Sabine Both, Teng Fang, Thorsten Assmann, Martin Baruffol, Jürgen Bauhus, François Buscot, Xiao-Yong Chen, Bing-Yang Ding, ... Bernhard Schmid *Ecological Monographs* (2011-02) https://doi.org/dmwpsm

DOI: 10.1890/09-2172.1

99. Vegetation Database of Sinai in Egypt

Mohamed Hatim

Biodiversity & Ecology (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgvcr

DOI: 10.7809/b-e.00099

100. Eurosiberian meadows at their southern edge: patterns and phytogeography in the NW Tien Shan

Viktoria Wagner

Journal of Vegetation Science (2009-03-25) https://doi.org/ftq2r6

DOI: 10.1111/j.1654-1103.2009.01032.x

101. Plant communities of the southern Mongolian Gobi

Henrik von Wehrden, Karsten Wesche, Georg Miehe *Phytocoenologia* (2009-10-21) https://doi.org/ddvj9h

DOI: <u>10.1127/0340-269x/2009/0039-0331</u>

102. Wetland Vegetation Database of Baikal Siberia (WETBS)

Victor Chepinoga

Biodiversity & Ecology (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgvcs

DOI: 10.7809/b-e.00107

103. Eastern Pamirs – A vegetation-plot database for the high mountain pastures of the Pamir Plateau (Tajikistan)

Kim André Vanselow

Phytocoenologia (2016-06-01) https://doi.org/f952sp

DOI: 10.1127/phyto/2016/0122

104. Socotra Vegetation Database

Michele De Sanctis, Fabio Attorre

Biodiversity & Ecology (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgvct

DOI: 10.7809/b-e.00111

105. Terrestrial Ecosystem Research Infrastructures

Informa UK Limited

(2017-03-03) https://doi.org/ghgt87

DOI: <u>10.1201/9781315368252</u>

106. Structural and floristic diversity of mixed tropical rain forest in New Caledonia: new data from the New Caledonian Plant Inventory and Permanent Plot Network (NC-PIPPN)

Thomas Ibanez, Jérôme Munzinger, Gilles Dagostini, Vanessa Hequet, Frédéric Rigault, Tanguy Jaffré, Philippe Birnbaum

Applied Vegetation Science (2014-07) https://doi.org/f57bfw

DOI: 10.1111/avsc.12070

107. Managing biodiversity information: development of New Zealand's National Vegetation Survey databank

S. K. Wiser, P. J. Bellingham, L. E. Burrows New Zealand Journal of Ecology (2001)

108. Species Richness, Forest Structure, and Functional Diversity During Succession in the New Guinea Lowlands

Timothy J. S. Whitfeld, Jesse R. Lasky, Kipiro Damas, Gibson Sosanika, Kenneth Molem, Rebecca A. Montgomery

Biotropica (2014-09) https://doi.org/f6hf36

DOI: 10.1111/btp.12136

109. The Tree Biodiversity Network (BIOTREE-NET): prospects for biodiversity research and conservation in the Neotropics

Luis Cayuela, Lucía Gálvez-Bravo, Ramón Pérez Pérez, Fábio de Albuquerque, Duncan Golicher, Rakan Zahawi, Neptalí Ramírez-Marcial, Cristina Garibaldi, Richard Field, José Rey Benayas, ... Regino Zamora

Biodiversity & Ecology (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgvck

DOI: <u>10.7809/b-e.00078</u>

110. Timberline meadows along a 1000-km transect in NW North America: species diversity and community patterns

Viktoria Wagner, Toby Spribille, Stefan Abrahamczyk, Erwin Bergmeier

Applied Vegetation Science (2014-01) https://doi.org/f5mpvm

DOI: <u>10.1111/avsc.12045</u>

111. How resilient are northern hardwood forests to human disturbance? An evaluation using a plant functional group approach

I. Aubin, S. Gachet, C. Messier, A. Bouchard *Ecoscience* (2007)

112. Vegetation and altitudinal zonation in continental West Greenland

B. Sieg, B. Drees, F. J. A. Daniëls *Meddelelser om Grønland Bioscience* (2006)

113. VegBank - a permanent, open-access archive for vegetation-plot data

Robert Peet, Michael Lee, Michael Jennings, Don Faber-Langendoen *Biodiversity & Ecology* (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgvcm

DOI: <u>10.7809/b-e.00080</u>

114. Vegetation-plot database of the Carolina Vegetation Survey

Robert Peet, Michael Lee, Forbes Boyle, Thomas Wentworth, Michael Schafale, Alan Weakley *Biodiversity & Ecology* (2012-09-10) https://doi.org/ghgvcn

DOI: 10.7809/b-e.00081

115. The Alaska Arctic Vegetation Archive (AVA-AK)

Donald A. Walker, Amy L. Breen, Lisa A. Druckenmiller, Lisa W. Wirth, Will Fisher, Martha K. Raynolds, Jozef Šibík, Marilyn D. Walker, Stephan Hennekens, Keith Boggs, ... Donatella Zona *Phytocoenologia* (2016-09-01) https://doi.org/f877ht

DOI: 10.1127/phyto/2016/0128

116. VegPáramo, a flora and vegetation database for the Andean páramo

Gwendolyn Peyre, Henrik Balslev, David Martí, Petr Sklenář, Paul Ramsay, Pablo Lozano, Nidia Cuello, Rainer Bussmann, Omar Cabrera, Xavier Font *Phytocoenologia* (2015-07-01) https://doi.org/f7m9cj

DOI: 10.1127/phyto/2015/0045

117. The Floristic and Forest Inventory of Santa Catarina State (IFFSC): methodological and operational aspects

A. C. Vibrans, L. Sevgnani, D. V. Lingner, A. L. Gasper, S. Sabbagh *Pesquisa Florestal Brasileira* (2010)

118. Plant Invasions in Protected Areas

Springer Netherlands

(2013) https://doi.org/ghgt8v
DOI: 10.1007/978-94-007-7750-7

Supplementary Material

Table 1: List of databases contributing to the open access dataset extracted from the sPlot database. Databases are ordered based on their ID in the Global Index of Vegetation Databases (GVID ID).

GIVD ID	Dataset name	Custodian	Deputy custodian	Nr. OA plots	Ref
00-00-004	Vegetation Database of Eurasian Tundra	Risto Virtanen		600	
00-RU-003	Database Meadows and Steppes of Southern Ural	Sergey Yamalov	Mariya Lebedeva	99	
00-TR-001	Forest Vegetation Database of Turkey - FVDT	Ali Kavgacı		15	
EU-00-002	Nordic-Baltic Grassland Vegetation Database (NBGVD)	Jürgen Dengler	Łukasz Kozub	ıb 931	
EU-00-011	Vegetation-Plot Database of the University of the Basque Country (BIOVEG)	Idoia Biurrun	Itziar García- Mijangos	1694	<u>50</u>
EU-00-013	Balkan Dry Grasslands Database	Kiril Vassilev	Armin Macanović	224	<u>51</u>
EU-00-016	Mediterranean Ammophiletea Database	Corrado Marcenò	Borja Jiménez- Alfaro	3713	<u>52</u>
EU-00-017	European Coastal Vegetation Database	John Janssen		1369	
EU-00-018	The Nordic Vegetation Database	Jonathan Lenoir	Jens-Christian Svenning	1755	<u>53</u>
EU-00-019	Balkan Vegetation Database	Kiril Vassilev	Hristo Pedashenko	211	<u>54</u>
EU-00-020	WetVegEurope	Flavia Landucci		61	<u>55</u>
EU-00-022	European Mire Vegetation Database	Tomáš Peterka	Martin Jiroušek	1843	<u>56</u>
EU-AL-001	Vegetation Database of Albania	Michele De Sanctis	Giuliano Fanelli	99	<u>57</u>
EU-AT-001	Austrian Vegetation Database	Wolfgang Willner	Christian Berg	950	<u>58</u>
EU-BE-002	INBOVEG	Els De Bie		48	
EU-BG-001	Bulgarian Vegetation Database	Iva Apostolova	Desislava Sopotlieva	74	<u>59</u>
EU-CH-005	Swiss Forest Vegetation Database	Thomas Wohlgemuth		1409	<u>60</u>
EU-CZ-001	Czech National Phytosociological Database	Milan Chytrý	Ilona Knollová	579	<u>61</u>
EU-DE-001	VegMV	Florian Jansen	Christian Berg	5	<u>62</u>
EU-DE-013	VegetWeb Germany	Florian Jansen	Jörg Ewald	199	<u>63</u>
EU-DE-014	German Vegetation Reference Database (GVRD)	Ute Jandt	Helge Bruelheide	286	<u>64</u>
EU-DK-002	National Vegetation Database of Denmark	Jesper Erenskjold Moeslund	Rasmus Ejrnæs	1181	
EU-ES-001	Iberian and Macaronesian Vegetation Information System (SIVIM) - Wetlands	Aaron Pérez-Haase	Xavier Font	292	
EU-FR-003	SOPHY	Emmanuel Garbolino	Patrice De Ruffray	13322	

GIVD ID	Dataset name	Custodian	Deputy custodian	Nr. OA plots	Ref
EU-GB-001	UK National Vegetation Classification Database	John S. Rodwell		5457	
EU-GR-001	KRITI	Erwin Bergmeier		43	
EU-GR-005	Hellenic Natura 2000 Vegetation Database (HelNatVeg)	Panayotis Dimopoulos	loannis Tsiripidis	777	<u>65</u>
EU-GR-006	Hellenic Woodland Database	Ioannis Tsiripidis	Georgios Fotiadis	4	<u>66</u>
EU-HR-001	Phytosociological Database of Non-Forest Vegetation in Croatia	Zvjezdana Stančić		213	<u>67</u>
EU-HR-002	Croatian Vegetation Database	Željko Škvorc	Daniel Krstonošić	688	
EU-HU-003	CoenoDat Hungarian Phytosociological Database	János Csiky	Zoltán Botta-Dukát	17	<u>68</u>
EU-IT-001	Vegltaly	Roberto Venanzoni	Flavia Landucci	2712	<u>69</u>
EU-IT-010	Italian National Vegetation Database (BVN/ISPRA)	Laura Casella	Pierangela Angelini	155	<u>70</u>
EU-IT-011	Vegetation-Plot Database Sapienza University of Rome (VPD-Sapienza)	Emiliano Agrillo	Fabio Attorre	1003	<u>71</u>
EU-LT-001	Lithuanian Vegetation Database	Valerijus Rašomavičius	Domas Uogintas	119	
EU-LV-001	Semi-natural Grassland Vegetation Database of Latvia	Solvita Rūsiņa		306	<u>72</u>
EU-MK-001	Vegetation Database of the Republic of Macedonia	Renata Ćušterevska		10	
EU-NL-001	Dutch National Vegetation Database	Joop H.J. Schaminée	Stephan M. Hennekens	10223	<u>73</u>
EU-PL-001	Polish Vegetation Database	Zygmunt Kącki	Grzegorz Swacha	464	<u>74</u>
EU-RO-007	Romanian Forest Database	Adrian Indreica	Pavel Dan Turtureanu	60	<u>75</u>
EU-RO-008	Romanian Grassland Database	Eszter Ruprecht	Kiril Vassilev	44	<u>76</u>
EU-RS-002	Vegetation Database Grassland Vegetation of Serbia	Svetlana Aćić	Zora Dajić Stevanović	57	<u>77</u>
EU-RU-002	Lower Volga Valley Phytosociological Database	Valentin Golub	Viktoria Bondareva	149	<u>78</u>
EU-RU-003	Vegetation Database of the Volga and the Ural Rivers Basins	Tatiana Lysenko		96	<u>79</u>
EU-RU-011	Vegetation Database of Tatarstan	Vadim Prokhorov	Maria Kozhevnikova	94	80
EU-SI-001	Vegetation Database of Slovenia	Urban Šilc	Filip Küzmič	435	<u>81</u>
EU-SK-001	Slovak Vegetation Database	Milan Valachovič	Jozef Šibík	893	<u>82</u>
EU-UA-006	Vegetation Database of Ukraine and Adjacent Parts of Russia	Viktor Onyshchenko	Vitaliy Kolomiychuk	479	
AF-00-001	West African Vegetation Database	Marco Schmidt	Georg Zizka	184	<u>83</u>
AF-00-008	PANAF Vegetation Database	Hjalmar Kühl	TeneKwetche Sop	942	

GIVD ID	Dataset name	Custodian	Deputy custodian	Nr. OA plots	Ref
AF-BF-001	Sahel Vegetation Database	Jonas V. Müller	Marco Schmidt	279	<u>84</u>
00-00-001	ForestPlots.net	Oliver L. Phillips	Aurora Levesley	108	<u>85</u>
00-00-003	SALVIAS	Brian Enquist	Brad Boyle	2860	
00-00-005	Tundra Vegetation Plots (TundraPlot)	Anne D. Bjorkman	Sarah Elmendorf	227	<u>86</u>
00-RU-002	Database of Masaryk University`s Vegetation Research in Siberia	Milan Chytrý		128	
AF-00-003	BIOTA Southern Africa Biodiversity Observatories Vegetation Database	Norbert Jürgens	Ute Schmiedel	562	
AF-00-006	SWEA-Dataveg	Miguel Alvarez	Michael Curran	1211	
AF-00-009	Vegetation Database of the Okavango Basin	Rasmus Revermann	Manfred Finckh	202	<u>89</u>
AF-CD-001	Forest Database of Central Congo Basin	Kim Sarah Jacobsen	Hans Verbeeck	97	<u>90</u>
AF-ET-001	Vegetation Database of Ethiopia	Desalegn Wana	Anke Jentsch	59	<u>91</u>
AF-MA-001	Vegetation Database of Southern Morocco	Manfred Finckh		266	<u>92</u>
AF-ZW-001	Vegetation Database of Zimbabwe	Cyrus Samimi		17	<u>93</u>
AS-00-001	Korean Forest Database	Tomáš Černý	Jiri Dolezal	766	94
AS-00-003	Vegetation of Middle Asia	Arkadiusz Nowak	Marcin Nobis	128	<u>95</u>
AS-00-004	Rice Field Vegetation Database	Arkadiusz Nowak		31	
AS-BD-001	Tropical Forest Dataset of Bangladesh	Mohammed A.S. Arfin Khan	Fahmida Sultana	82	
AS-CN-001	China Forest-Steppe Ecotone Database	Hongyan Liu	Fengjun Zhao	97	<u>96</u>
AS-CN-002	Tibet-PaDeMoS Grazing Transect	Karsten Wesche	2		<u>97</u>
AS-CN-003	Vegetation Database of the BEF China Project	Helge Bruelheide		18	<u>98</u>
AS-CN-004	Vegetation Database of the Northern Mountains in China	Zhiyao Tang		70	
AS-EG-001	Vegetation Database of Sinai in Egypt	Mohamed Z. Hatim		98	<u>99</u>
AS-ID-001	Sulawesi Vegetation Database	Michael Kessler		24	
AS-IR-001	Vegetation Database of Iran	Jalil Noroozi	Parastoo Mahdavi	105	
AS-KZ-001	Database of Meadow Vegetation in the NW Tien Shan Mountains	Viktoria Wagner		3	100
AS-MN-001	Southern Gobi Protected Areas Database	Henrik von Wehrden	Karsten Wesche	688	<u>101</u>
AS-RU-001	Wetland Vegetation Database of Baikal Siberia (WETBS)	Victor Chepinoga		6	<u>102</u>
AS-RU-002	Database of Siberian Vegetation (DSV)	Andrey Korolyuk	Andrei Zverev	2150	

GIVD ID	Dataset name	Custodian	Deputy custodian	Nr. OA plots	Ref
AS-RU-004	Database of the University of Münster - Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research Group's Vegetation Research in Western Siberia and Kazakhstan	Norbert Hölzel	Wanja Mathar	85	
AS-SA-001	Vegetation Database of Saudi Arabia	Mohamed Abd El- Rouf Mousa El- Sheikh		607	
AS-TJ-001	Eastern Pamirs	Kim André Vanselow		174	<u>103</u>
AS-TW-001	National Vegetation Database of Taiwan	Ching-Feng Li	Chang-Fu Hsieh	897	
AS-YE-001	Socotra Vegetation Database	Michele De Sanctis	Fabio Attorre	190	<u>104</u>
AU-AU-002	AEKOS	Anita Smyth	Ben Sparrow	7443	<u>105</u>
AU-NC-001	New Caledonian Plant Inventory and Permanent Plot Network (NC-PIPPN)	Jérôme Munzinger	Philippe Birnbaum	98	<u>106</u>
AU-NZ-001	New Zealand National Vegetation Databank	Susan Wiser		983	<u>107</u>
AU-PG-001	Forest Plots from Papua New Guinea	Timothy Whitfeld	George D. Weiblen	53	<u>108</u>
NA-00-002	Tree Biodiversity Network (BIOTREE-NET)	Luis Cayuela		208	<u>109</u>
NA-CA-003	Database of Timberline Vegetation in NW North America	Viktoria Wagner	Toby Spribille	38	<u>110</u>
NA-CA-004	Understory of Sugar Maple Dominated Stands in Quebec and Ontario (Canada)	Isabelle Aubin		9	<u>111</u>
NA-CA-005	Boreal Forest of Canada	Yves Bergeron	Louis De Grandpré	44	
NA-GL-001	Vegetation Database of Greenland	Birgit Jedrzejek	Fred J.A. Daniëls	340	<u>112</u>
NA-US-002	VegBank	Robert K. Peet	Michael T. Lee	6456	<u>113</u>
NA-US-006	Carolina Vegetation Survey Database	Robert K. Peet	Michael T. Lee	2317	<u>114</u>
NA-US-014	Alaska-Arctic Vegetation Archive	Donald A. Walker	Amy Breen	467	<u>115</u>
SA-00-002	VegPáramo	Gwendolyn Peyre	Xavier Font	1591	<u>116</u>
SA-AR-002	Vegetation Database of Central Argentina	Melisa Giorgis	Alicia Acosta	42	
SA-BO-003	Bolivia Forest Plots	Michael Kessler	Sebastian Herzog	18	
SA-BR-002	Forest Inventory, State of Santa Catarina, Brazil (IFFSC Project)	Alexander Christian Vibrans	André Luis de Gasper	1345	<u>117</u>
SA-BR-003	Grasslands of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil	Eduardo Vélez- Martin	Valério De Patta Pillar	271	
SA-BR-004	Grassland Database of Campos Sulinos	Gerhard E. Overbeck	Valério De Patta Pillar	111	
SA-CL-002	SSAForests_Plots_db	Alvaro G. Gutierrez		163	
SA-CL-003	Chilean Park Transects - Fondecyt 1040528	Aníbal Pauchard	Alicia Marticorena	33	<u>118</u>
SA-EC-001	Ecuador Forest Plot Database	Jürgen Homeier		156	

Table 2: Description of the variables contained in the 'header' matrix, together with their range (if numeric) or possible levels (if nominal or binary). Variable types can be n - nominal (i.e. qualitative variable), q - quantitative, or b - binary (i.e., boolean), or d - date.

Variable	Range/Levels	Unit of Measurement	Nr. Records	Ty pe
GIVD_ID			91031	n
Dataset			91031	n
Continent	Africa, Asia, Australia, Europe, North America, Oceania, South America		90729	n
Country			91031	n
Biome	Alpine, Boreal zone, Dry midlatitudes, Dry tropics and subtropics, Polar and subpolar zone, Subtrop. with year-round rain, Subtropics with winter rain, Temperate midlatitudes, Tropics with summer rain, Tropics with year-round rain		91031	n
Date	-29764 - 16469		75798	q
Latitude	-54.73863 - 80.149116	° (WGS84)	91031	q
Longitude	-162.741433 - 179.590053	° (WGS84)	91031	q
Location_uncertainty	1 - 2500	m	91002	q
Releve_area	0.01 - 40000	m ²	61898	q
Herbs_identified	FALSE = 4876; TRUE = 6323		11199	b
Plant_recorded	All trees & dominant understory, All vascular plants, All vascular plants and dominant cryptogams, All woody plants, Dominant trees, Only dominant species, Dominant woody plants >= 2.5 cm dbh, Woody plants >= 10 cm dbh, Woody plants >= 1 cm dbh, Woody plants >= 2.5 cm dbh, Woody plants >= 2.5 cm dbh, Woody plants >= 2.5 cm dbh, Woody plants >= 5 cm dbh, NA		91015	n
Elevation	-25 - 4819	m a.s.l.	52121	q
Aspect	0 - 360	0	30796	q
Slope	0 - 99	0	37784	q
is_forest	FALSE = 20396; TRUE = 25832		46228	b
is_nonforest	FALSE = 50870; TRUE = 38203		89073	b
ESY			55457	n
Naturalness	1 - 2		68011	q
Forest	FALSE = 38295; TRUE = 23735		62030	b
Shrubland	FALSE = 38233; TRUE = 11081		49314	b
Grassland	FALSE = 10213; TRUE = 46947		57160	b
Sparse_vegetation	FALSE = 33381; TRUE = 11315		44696	b
Wetland	FALSE = 29078; TRUE = 18038		47116	b
Cover_total	1 - 313	%	24712	q
Cover_tree_layer	0.5 - 150	%	7245	q
Cover_shrub_layer	0.5 - 145	%	10197	q
Cover_herb_layer	0.2 - 180	%	26679	q
Cover_moss_layer	1 - 100	%	9643	q

Variable	Range/Levels	Unit of Measurement	Nr. Records	Ty pe
Cover_lichen_layer	1 - 95	%	734	q
Cover_algae_layer	1 - 100	%	221	q
Cover_litter_layer	1 - 100	%	4500	q
Cover_bare_rocks	1 - 100	%	1897	q
Cover_cryptogams	1 - 95	%	593	q
Cover_bare_soil	0.1 - 99	%	1412	q
Height_trees_highest	1 - 99	m	6115	q
Height_trees_lowest	1 - 90	m	221	q
Height_shrubs_highest	0.1 - 9.9	m	2880	q
Height_shrubs_lowest	0.1 - 9	m	328	q
Height_herbs_average	0.1 - 440	cm	10125	q
Height_herbs_lowest	1 - 250	cm	2785	q
Height_herbs_highest	1 - 600	cm	1733	q