

University of Debrecen, Faculty of Informatics

## Logic in computer science



## Zero-order logic

(propositional logic)

## semantics

September 22, 2022

# Truth values

The set  $\{0, 1\}$  is the *set of truth values* in the classical meaning, where

- 0 represents *false*,
- 1 represents *true*.

The  $\varrho : \text{Con} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  mapping (function) is called an *interpretation* of the zero-order language  $\mathcal{L}^{(0)} = \langle \text{LC}, \text{Con}, \text{Form} \rangle$ .

$$\varrho \in \{0, 1\}^{\text{Con}}$$

## Remark

The  $\varrho$  interpretation determines the truth value for the non-logical constants of the language.

Instead of using the above-defined function, an interpretation of the classical zero-order language is sometimes given as a subset of non-logical constants  $Con$ .

$$\{ a \mid a \in Con \text{ and } g(a) = 1 \}$$

Here the interpretation as a set contains those non-logical constants which said to be true.

# Truth value of a formula

The *truth value of a formula*  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to a given interpretation  $\varrho$  is denoted by  $|\mathcal{F}|_{\varrho}$  and is defined recursively as follows:

- if  $A \in \text{Con}$  then  $|A|_{\varrho} \Rightarrow \varrho(A)$ ,
- if  $B \in \text{Form}$  and  $C \in \text{Form}$  then

$$|\neg B|_{\varrho} \Rightarrow 1 - |B|_{\varrho}$$

$$|(B \wedge C)|_{\varrho} \Rightarrow \min(|B|_{\varrho}, |C|_{\varrho})$$

$$|(B \vee C)|_{\varrho} \Rightarrow \max(|B|_{\varrho}, |C|_{\varrho})$$

$$|(B \supset C)|_{\varrho} \Rightarrow \max(1 - |B|_{\varrho}, |C|_{\varrho})$$

$$|(B \equiv C)|_{\varrho} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |B|_{\varrho} = |C|_{\varrho}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# Truth table of connectives

$ B _e$	$ C _e$	$ \neg B _e$	$ (B \wedge C) _e$	$ (B \vee C) _e$	$ (B \supset C) _e$	$ (B \equiv C) _e$
0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	1		0	1	1	0
1	0	0	0	1	0	0
1	1		1	1	1	1

# Model

Let  $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Form}$  be an arbitrary set of formulae of a zero-order language  $\mathcal{L}^{(0)} = \langle \text{LC}, \text{Con}, \text{Form} \rangle$ .

- The interpretation  $\varrho$  is the *model* of the set of formulae  $\Gamma$  if  $|A|_{\varrho} = 1$  for all  $A \in \Gamma$ .

Let  $A \in \text{Form}$  be a formula of a zero-order language  $\mathcal{L}^{(0)} = \langle \text{LC}, \text{Con}, \text{Form} \rangle$ .

- The interpretation  $\varrho$  is the *model* of the formula  $A$  if the  $\varrho$  is the model of the singleton set  $\{A\}$ .

# Satisfiability (SAT)

Let  $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Form}$  be an arbitrary set of formulae of a  $\mathcal{L}^{(0)} = \langle \text{LC}, \text{Con}, \text{Form} \rangle$  zero-order language.

- The  $\Gamma$  set of formulae is *satisfiable*, if it has a model.
- The  $\Gamma$  set of formulae is *unsatisfiable*, if it is not satisfiable.

Let  $A \in \text{Form}$  be an arbitrary formula of a  $\mathcal{L}^{(0)} = \langle \text{LC}, \text{Con}, \text{Form} \rangle$  zero-order language.

- The formula  $A$  is *satisfiable* if the  $\{A\}$  singleton set is satisfiable.
- The formula  $A$  is *unsatisfiable* if the  $\{A\}$  singleton set is unsatisfiable.

# Semantic equivalence

Let  $\mathcal{L}^{(0)} = \langle LC, Con, Form \rangle$  be a zero-order language,  $\Gamma \subseteq Form$  be an arbitrary set of formulae, and  $A \in Form$  be an arbitrary formula of the language.

- The formula  $A$  is the *semantic consequence* of  $\Gamma$  if  $\Gamma \cup \{\neg A\}$  is unsatisfiable.

(Notation:  $\Gamma \models A$ )

- The formula  $A$  is *valid (tautology)* if  $\emptyset \models A$ .

(Notation:  $\models A$ )



# Logical equivalence

Let  $\mathcal{L}^{(0)} = \langle LC, Con, Form \rangle$  be a zero-order language and  $A \in Form$  and  $B \in Form$  be two formulas of  $\mathcal{L}^{(0)}$ .

The formula  $A$  and formula  $B$  are *logically equivalent*, if

$$A \models B \quad \text{and} \quad B \models A.$$

(Notation:  $A \Leftrightarrow B$ )