

A General Purpose Local Search Solver

October 21, 2015

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 3 |
| 1.1 | Mixed Integer Programming | 3 |
| 1.2 | Constraint Programming | 3 |
| 1.3 | Heuristics and Local Search | 3 |
| 1.3.1 | Construction Heuristics | 3 |
| 1.3.2 | Local Search and Neighborhoods | 3 |
| 1.3.3 | Metaheuristics | 3 |
| 2 | Modeling in CBLS | 3 |
| 2.1 | Types of Modeling (not sure this should be here) | 3 |
| 2.2 | Variables | 3 |
| 2.3 | Constraints | 3 |
| 2.4 | Considered Constraints (Not sure about the title and not finished yet) | 4 |
| 2.5 | Invariants and One-way Constraints | 5 |
| 3 | Previous Work | 5 |
| 3.1 | Comet | 5 |
| 3.2 | Gecode | 5 |
| 3.3 | LocalSolver | 5 |
| 3.4 | OscAR | 5 |
| 4 | Preprocessing and Simplification | 5 |
| 4.1 | Domain Reduction | 5 |
| 4.2 | Initial Solution | 5 |
| 5 | Structuring Local Search Model | 5 |
| 5.1 | Simplification | 5 |
| 5.2 | Dependency Digraph | 8 |

| | | |
|----------|-----------------------------------|----------|
| 6 | Local Search Engine | 8 |
| 6.1 | Neighborhoods | 8 |
| 6.1.1 | Neighborhood Operations | 8 |
| 6.2 | Metaheuristics | 8 |
| 7 | Tests | 8 |
| 8 | Results | 8 |
| 9 | Conclusion | 8 |

1 Introduction

1.1 Mixed Integer Programming

1.2 Constraint Programming

1.3 Heuristics and Local Search

1.3.1 Construction Heuristics

1.3.2 Local Search and Neighborhoods

1.3.3 Metaheuristics

2 Modeling in CBLS

2.1 Types of Modeling (not sure this should be here)

2.2 Variables

Models contains variables X that is a set of n variables $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Each variable $x_i \in X$ has a *domain* $D(x_i) \in D$ where D is an n -tuple of domains $D = \langle D_1, D_2, \dots, D_n \rangle$ such that $x_i \in D_i$. The variables $x_i \in X$ of the models that will be discussed in this thesis all have their domain restricted to a finite discrete domain $D_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z} : \forall D_i \in D$. The value of a variable x is denoted $V(x)$ and we will denote integer variables as $y_i \in Y \subseteq X$.

2.3 Constraints

The variables will be restricted by C that is a set of m constraints $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m\}$. The set of variables to which the constraint c_j (**Drop subscript j?**) applies is called its *scope* and is denoted $X(c_j) = \{c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_{|X(c_j)|}}\}$. The size of a scope $|X(c)|$ is called the *arity* $\alpha(c)$. The constraint c_j is a subset of the cartesian product of the domains of the variables in the scope $X(c_j)$ of c_j . $c_j \subseteq D(x_{i_1}) \times D(x_{i_2}) \times \dots \times D(x_{i_{\alpha(c_j)}})$. The Constraint Satisfaction Problem (CSP) can then be defined as a triple $\mathbb{P} = \langle X, D, C \rangle$. A *solution* to the CSP \mathbb{P} is a n -tuple $\mathcal{T} = \langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n \rangle$ where $\tau_i \in D_i$. The solution is feasible if \mathcal{T} can be projected onto c_j for all $c_j \in C$. (**Er det helt rigtigt?**)

The questions to a CSP could be to report all feasible solutions $sol(P)$, any feasible solution \mathcal{T} or if there exists a solution \mathcal{T} or not.

The CSP \mathbb{P} can be expanded to a Constraint Satisfaction Optimization Problem (CSOP) \mathbb{P}' with an objective function $f(\mathcal{T})$ that evaluates the quality of

the solution \mathcal{T} , $\mathbb{P}' = \langle X, D, C, f(\mathcal{T}) \rangle$. The task is then to find a solution $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ that gives minimum or maximum value of $f(\hat{\mathcal{T}})$ depending on the requirements of the problem.

2.4 Considered Constraints (Not sure about the title and not finished yet)

While Constraint Programming often offers a wide selection of constraints to use, this work focuses mostly on the constraint **Linear** that is defined by a left hand side, a relation R and a right hand side, which is a bound b . The left hand side is a linear function of decision variables multiplied by coefficients. The relation R between left hand side and right hand side is restricted to be one of the six $R \in \{<, \leq, >, \geq, =, \neq\}$

A linear constraint c can be described as:

$$\sum_{x_j \in X(c)} a(x_j) \cdot x_j \ R \ b(c) \quad R \in \{<, \leq, >, \geq, =, \neq\} \quad (1)$$

The coefficients $A(c)$ are the coefficients of the variables in the scope of c . The decision variables $X(c)$ are the variables that c applies to. The bound B_c is the bound for the left hand side in constraint c .

(Following should be a note about CSP is a superset of MIP,IP,BP)

MIP, IP and BP are restricted to use the linear constraint and the model can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Minimize } \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \\ & \text{Subject to } \mathbf{Ax} \leq \mathbf{b} \\ & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{D} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

2.5 Invariants and One-way Constraints

3 Previous Work

3.1 Comet

3.2 Gecode

3.3 LocalSolver

3.4 Oscan

4 Preprocessing and Simplification

4.1 Domain Reduction

4.2 Initial Solution

5 Structuring Local Search Model

Once an initial solution to the problem has been found by Gecode the model is transformed to create a model better suited for local search. (talk briefly about DDG and propagation queue (what are they used for)) procedure can be split in several steps before the local search can begin.

1. Define variables by one-way constraints
2. Define invariants for the remaining constraints
3. Create a dependency directed graph for variables and invariants
4. Create propagation queue for variables
5. Initialize the invariants

5.1 Simplification

We define as many variables to be one-way constraints as possible, starting with integer variables, such that the search space in local search only consists of binary variables. The following algorithms describe how one-way constraints are created to define a variable x .

Let X be a set of variables and $x \in X$. The subset of constraints $C(x) \subseteq C$

is the set of constraints that applies to x .

Algorithm 1: Defining integer variables by one-way constraints

input : A set X of variables (**Sorting order?**)

output: A model better suited for local search

```

1 bool change = true
2 while  $X \neq \emptyset$  and change do
3   change = false
4   select Variable  $x$  from  $X$ 
5   foreach Constraint  $c$  in  $C(x)$  do
6     bool flag = canBeMadeOneway( $c, x$ )
7     if flag then
8       makeOneway( $c, x$ )
9       Remove  $x$  from  $X$ 
10      change = true
11      break
12    end
13  end
14 end
```

The algorithm tries to make all integer variables one-way. It uses two other algorithms `canBeMadeOneway(c, x)` and `makeOneway(c, x)`. The first algorithm checks if the **Constraint** c can be used to define **Variable** x and the second algorithm transforms c into a one-way constraint defining x . (**Need complexity arguments**)

The coefficient of a variable x_j in constraint c_i is denoted a_{ij} . Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k\}$ be the family of objective functions and the coefficient of variable x_j in f_k be a_{kj} . (**Maybe call it evaluation functions. Does not make sense since a_{34} refers both to constraint and obj. func**)

Algorithm 2: canBeMadeOneway(Constraint c , Variable x)

input : Constraint c and Variable x
output: Boolean

```
1 if  $c$  defines a oneway constraint then
2   | return false
3 end
4 if Number of integer variables not defined  $> 1$  then
5   | return false
6 end
7 if  $\text{relation}(c) == \text{Equal}$  then
8   | return true
9 end
10 foreach  $a$  in  $A(f(x))$  do
11   | if  $A(c, x) \cdot a > 0$  then
12     | return false
13   | end
14 end
15 return true
```

The variables that a constraint c applies to is the scope $V(c)$. The constraints are of the type **Linear** and a constraint c have a right hand side $B(c)$.

Algorithm 3: Make one-way constraint from c defining variable x

input : Constraint c and Variable x
output: An Invariant

```
1 int  $coef = A(c, x)$ 
2  $A(c) = A(c) \setminus \{A(c, x)\}$ 
3  $V(c) = V(c) \setminus \{x\}$ 
4 foreach  $A(c, v)$  in  $A(c)$  do
5   |  $A(c, v) = A(c, v) \cdot \frac{-1}{coef}$ 
6 end
7 int  $b = B(c)$ 
8 if  $\text{relation}(c) == \text{Equal}$  then
9   | return  $\text{Sum}(V(c), A(c), b)$ 
10 end
11 else
12   | Invariant  $inv = \text{Sum}(V(c), A(c), b)$ 
13   | return  $\text{Max}(inv, b)$ 
14 end
```

| | |
|-------|-------------------------|
| 5.2 | Dependency Digraph |
| 6 | Local Search Engine |
| 6.1 | Neighborhoods |
| 6.1.1 | Neighborhood Operations |
| 6.2 | Metaheuristics |
| 7 | Tests |
| 8 | Results |
| 9 | Conclusion |