

UNIVERSITE HASSAN 2 FACULTE DES SCIENCES AIN-CHOCK ROUTE D'ELJADIDA



On k-para-Kähler Lie algebras a subclass of k-symplectic Lie algebras

Aitbrik Ilham Supervise by Pr. M. Boucetta

February 12, 2022



1. Characterization of k-para-Kähler Lie algebras



- 1. Characterization of k-para-Kähler Lie algebras
- 2. Exact k-para-Kähler Lie algebras



- 1. Characterization of k-para-Kähler Lie algebras
- 2. Exact k-para-Kähler Lie algebras
- 3. k-symplectic Lie algebras of dimension (k + 1)



- 1. Characterization of k-para-Kähler Lie algebras
- 2. Exact k-para-Kähler Lie algebras
- 3. k-symplectic Lie algebras of dimension (k + 1)
- 4. Six dimensional 2-para-Kähler Lie algebras



Definition 1.1

Let \mathfrak{g} be a n(k+1)-dimensional Lie algebra over \mathbb{K} ($\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C}), $\theta^1,...,\theta^k$ 2-forms of $\Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g})$ and \mathfrak{h} a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} of codimension n. We recall that $(\theta^1,...,\theta^k;\mathfrak{h})$ is a k-symplectic structure on \mathfrak{g} if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) The family $(\theta^1, \dots, \theta^k)$ is nondegenerate, i.e., $\bigcap_{i=1}^k \ker \theta^i = \{0\}$,
- (ii) for i = 1, ..., k, θ^{i} is closed, i.e., $d\theta^{i}(u, v, w) := \theta^{i}([u, v], w) + \theta^{i}([v, w], u) + \theta^{i}([w, u], v) = 0$,
- (iii) \mathfrak{h} is totally isotropic with respect to $(\theta^1,\ldots,\theta^k)$, i.e., $\theta^i(u,v)=0$ for any $u,v\in\mathfrak{h}$ and for $i=1,\ldots,k$.



 $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h},\theta^1,\ldots,\theta^k)$ is called a k-symplectic Lie algebra.



Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^k)$ be a k-symplectic Lie algebra where \mathfrak{g} is a n(k+1)-dimensional Lie algebra and \mathfrak{h} be a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} of dimension nk.

There exists always an isotropic supplementary \mathfrak{p} of \mathfrak{h} of dimension n (i.e., $\theta^{\alpha}|_{\mathfrak{p}}=$ o for any $\alpha=1,\ldots,k$.) such that $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{p}$. In general there is not an isotropic Lie subalgebra supplementry \mathfrak{p} of \mathfrak{h} .



Definition 1.2

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^k)$ be a k-symplectic Lie algebra. If \mathfrak{h} admits an isotropic supplementary \mathfrak{p} such that \mathfrak{p} is a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , then $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^k)$ is a k-para-Kähler Lie algebra.



Example 1

Let $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h},\theta^1)$ be a 1-symplectic Lie algebra of dimension 2n (k=1) where \mathfrak{h} is a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} of dimension n, then \mathfrak{h} is lagrangian. Suppose that \mathfrak{h} admits an isotropic Lie subalgebra supplementary \mathfrak{p} of dimension n, that is, \mathfrak{p} is lagrangian. Hence $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h},\theta^1)$ is a para-Kähler Lie algebra.



Definition 1.3

A left symmetric algebra is an algebra (A, \bullet) such that for any $a, b, c \in A$,

ass(a,b,c) = ass(b,a,c) where $ass(a,b,c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c - a \cdot (b \cdot c)$.



Let $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{p},\theta^{\alpha})$ be a k-para-Kaheler Lie algebra for any $\alpha=1,\ldots,k$.

1. $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{p}$, then for any $p\in\mathfrak{p}$ and any $h\in\mathfrak{h}$ the Lie bracket [p,h] can be written

$$[p,h] = -[h,p] = \phi_{\mathfrak{p}}(h) - \phi_{\mathfrak{h}}(p), \tag{1}$$

where $\phi_p(h) \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $\phi_h(p) \in \mathfrak{p}$.



2.
$$\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{\alpha=1}^k \mathfrak{h}^{\alpha}$$
 where, $\mathfrak{h}^{\alpha} = \bigcap_{\beta \neq \alpha} \ker \theta^{\beta}$.



- 2. $\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{\alpha=1}^k \mathfrak{h}^{\alpha}$ where, $\mathfrak{h}^{\alpha} = \bigcap_{\beta \neq \alpha} \ker \theta^{\beta}$.
- 3. \mathfrak{h} has a structure of left symmetric algebra such that $\mathfrak{h} \bullet \mathfrak{h}^{\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{h}^{\alpha}$ where, the left symmetric product \bullet on \mathfrak{h} is given by

$$\theta^{\alpha}(h_1 \bullet h_2, p) = -\theta^{\alpha}(h_2, [h_1, p]), \tag{2}$$

for any $h_1, h_2 \in \mathfrak{h}$, for any $p \in \mathfrak{g}$



4. $i_{\alpha}:\mathfrak{h}^{\alpha}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{p}^{*}$ given by

$$i_{\alpha}(h)(p) = \theta^{\alpha}(h,p).$$

The linear map i_{α} is an isomorphism.



4. $i_{\alpha}:\mathfrak{h}^{\alpha}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{p}^{*}$ given by

$$i_{\alpha}(h)(p) = \theta^{\alpha}(h,p).$$

The linear map i_{α} is an isomorphism.

5. A family of products $\star_{\alpha,\beta}$ on \mathfrak{p} , given by

$$\theta^{\alpha}(p \star_{\alpha,\beta} q, h) = -\theta^{\beta}(q, [p, h]). \tag{3}$$

Where, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$ and for any $p_1, p_2 \in \mathfrak{p}$,

$$[p_1,p_2] = p_1 \star_{\alpha,\alpha} p_2 - p_2 \star_{\alpha,\alpha} p_1, \quad p_1 \star_{\alpha,\beta} p_2 = p_2 \star_{\alpha,\beta} p_1.$$



6. A family of products $\bullet_{\alpha,\beta}$ on \mathfrak{p}^* given by

$$a \bullet_{\alpha\beta} b = i_{\beta}(i_{\alpha}^{-1}(a) \bullet i_{\beta}^{-1}(b)). \tag{4}$$

where , for any α, β, γ , $\bullet_{\alpha\beta} = \bullet_{\alpha\gamma}$ and if we denote $\bullet_{\alpha\beta} = \bullet_{\alpha}$, we have, for any $a, b, c \in \mathfrak{p}^*$,

$$a \bullet_{\alpha} (b \bullet_{\beta} c) - (a \bullet_{\alpha} b) \bullet_{\beta} c = b \bullet_{\beta} (a \bullet_{\alpha} c) - (b \bullet_{\beta} a) \bullet_{\alpha} c.$$
 (5)



We consider $\Phi(\mathfrak{p},k) = \mathfrak{p} \oplus (\mathfrak{p}^*)^k$



We consider $\Phi(\mathfrak{p},k)=\mathfrak{p}\oplus(\mathfrak{p}^*)^k$

1. We endow $(p^*)^k$ with the product \circ given by

$$(a_1,\ldots,a_k)\circ(b_1,\ldots,b_k)=\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^k a_\alpha\bullet_\alpha b_1,\ldots,\sum_{\alpha=1}^k a_\alpha\bullet_\alpha b_k\right). \quad (6)$$



We consider $\Phi(\mathfrak{p},k) = \mathfrak{p} \oplus (\mathfrak{p}^*)^k$

1. We endow $(\mathfrak{p}^*)^k$ with the product \circ given by

$$(a_1,\ldots,a_k)\circ(b_1,\ldots,b_k)=\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^k a_\alpha\bullet_\alpha b_1,\ldots,\sum_{\alpha=1}^k a_\alpha\bullet_\alpha b_k\right). \quad (6)$$

2. We define $\phi:(\mathfrak{p}^*)^k\otimes\mathfrak{p}^*\longrightarrow\mathfrak{p}^*$ and $\psi:\mathfrak{p}\otimes\mathfrak{p}^k\longrightarrow\mathfrak{p}^k$ by

$$\begin{cases} \phi((a_1,\ldots,a_k),b) = \phi_{(a_1,\ldots,a_k)}b = \sum_{\alpha=1}^k L_{a_\alpha}^{\alpha}b, \\ \psi(q,(p_1,\ldots,p_k)) = \psi_q(p_1,\ldots,p_k) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^k \left(L_q^{\alpha,1}p_{\alpha},\ldots,L_q^{\alpha,k}p_{\alpha}\right). \end{cases}$$

where $L_a^{\alpha}: \mathfrak{p}^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}^*, b \mapsto a \bullet_{\alpha} b$ and $L_q^{\alpha,\beta}: \mathfrak{p} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}, p \mapsto q \star_{\alpha,\beta} p$,



3. We endow $\Phi(\mathfrak{p}, k)$ with the bracket

$$\begin{cases} [a,b]_n = a \circ b - b \circ a, & \text{if } a,b \in (\mathfrak{p}^*)^k \\ [p,q]_n = [p,q], & \text{if } p,q \in \mathfrak{p} \\ [a,p]_n = \phi_a^*(p) - \psi_p^* a, & \text{if } a \in (\mathfrak{p}^*)^k, p \in \mathfrak{p} \end{cases}$$
(8)

where

$$\prec b, \phi_a^*(p) \succ = - \prec \phi_a b, p \succ \text{ and}$$

 $\prec \psi_p^* a, (p_1, \dots, p_k) \succ = - \prec a, \psi_p(p_1, \dots, p_k) \succ .$



3. We endow $\Phi(\mathfrak{p}, k)$ with the bracket

$$\begin{cases}
[a,b]_n = a \circ b - b \circ a, & \text{if } a,b \in (\mathfrak{p}^*)^k \\
[p,q]_n = [p,q], & \text{if } p,q \in \mathfrak{p} \\
[a,p]_n = \phi_a^*(p) - \psi_p^* a, & \text{if } a \in (\mathfrak{p}^*)^k, p \in \mathfrak{p}
\end{cases}$$
(8)

where

$$\prec b, \phi_a^*(p) \succ = - \prec \phi_a b, p \succ \text{ and}$$

 $\prec \psi_p^* a, (p_1, \dots, p_k) \succ = - \prec a, \psi_p(p_1, \dots, p_k) \succ .$

4. We define a family of 2-forms ρ^{α} , $\alpha = 1, \dots, k$ by

$$\rho^{\alpha}(p+(a_1,\ldots,a_k),q+(b_1,\ldots,b_k))= \prec a_{\alpha},q \succ - \prec b_{\alpha},p \succ . \tag{9}$$



Theorem 1.1

 $(\Phi(\mathfrak{p},k),[\;,\;]_n,(\mathfrak{p}^*)^k,\rho^1,\ldots,\rho^k)$ is a k-para-Kähler Lie algebra and $F:\mathfrak{g}\longrightarrow\Phi(\mathfrak{p},k),(h_1+\ldots+h_k+p)\mapsto(p,i_1(h_1),\ldots,i_k(h_k))$ is an isomorphism of k-para-Kähler Lie algebras.



Definition 1.4

A k-left symmetric algebra is a real vector space \mathcal{A} endowed with k left symmetric products $\bullet_1, \ldots, \bullet_k$ such that one of the following equivalent assertions hold:

1. For any $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, ..., k\}$ and for any $a, b, c \in A$,

$$a \bullet_{\alpha} (b \bullet_{\beta} c) - (a \bullet_{\alpha} b) \bullet_{\beta} c = b \bullet_{\beta} (a \bullet_{\alpha} c) - (b \bullet_{\beta} a) \bullet_{\alpha} c.$$
 (10)

2. (A^k, \circ) is a left symmetric algebra where \circ is given by

$$(a_1,\ldots,a_k)\circ(b_1,\ldots,b_k)=\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^k a_\alpha\bullet_\alpha b_1,\ldots,\sum_{\alpha=1}^k a_\alpha\bullet_\alpha b_k\right). \quad (11)$$



Definition 1.5

A $(k \times k)$ -left symmetric algebra is a vector space \mathcal{B} endowed with a $k \times k$ -matrix $(\star_{\alpha,\beta})_{1 \le \alpha,\beta \le k}$ of products such that:

1. For any α, β and for any $p, q \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$p \star_{\alpha,\alpha} q - q \star_{\alpha,\alpha} p = p \star_{\beta,\beta} q - q \star_{\beta,\beta} p = [p,q].$$

2. $\star_{\alpha,\beta}$ are commutative when $\alpha \neq \beta$,



Example 2

1. The notions of 1-left symmetric algebra and (1×1) -left symmetric algebra are the same and correspond to the classical notion of left symmetric algebra.



Example 2

- 1. The notions of 1-left symmetric algebra and (1×1) -left symmetric algebra are the same and correspond to the classical notion of left symmetric algebra.
- 2. Let $(\mathcal{A}, ullet)$ be a left symmetric algebra. For any $k \geq 1$, endow \mathcal{A} with the k-left symmetric structure given by $\bullet_{\alpha} = \mu_{\alpha} \bullet$, where $\mu_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $(\mathcal{A}, \bullet_1, \dots, \bullet_k)$ is a k-left symmetric algebra.



Example 2

- 1. The notions of 1-left symmetric algebra and (1×1) -left symmetric algebra are the same and correspond to the classical notion of left symmetric algebra.
- 2. Let $(\mathcal{A}, ullet)$ be a left symmetric algebra. For any $k \geq 1$, endow \mathcal{A} with the k-left symmetric structure given by $\bullet_{\alpha} = \mu_{\alpha} \bullet$, where $\mu_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $(\mathcal{A}, \bullet_1, \dots, \bullet_k)$ is a k-left symmetric algebra.
- 3. If $ullet_1, \dots, ullet_k$ are left symmetric products on $\mathcal B$ such that $a ullet_{\alpha} b b ullet_{\alpha} a = a ullet_{\beta} b b ullet_{\beta} a$ for any α, β then $(\mathcal B, (\star_{\alpha,\beta})_{1 \le \alpha \le \beta \le k})$ is $(k \times k)$ -left symmetric algebra where $\star_{\alpha,\beta} = 0$ if $\alpha \ne \beta$ and $\star_{\alpha,\alpha} = ullet_{\alpha}$.



Let study the converse.



Let study the converse.

We consider:

- 1. A vector space \mathfrak{p} of dimension n.
- 2. A k-left symmetric structure $(\bullet_1, \dots, \bullet_k)$ on \mathfrak{p}^* . This defines a left symmetric product \circ on $(\mathfrak{p}^*)^k$ and hence a Lie algebra structure on $(\mathfrak{p}^*)^k$

$$[a,b]=a\circ b-b\circ a$$

3. A $(k \times k)$ -left symmetric structure $\star_{\alpha,\beta}$ on $\mathfrak p$. This defines a Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak p$ by

$$[p,q]_{\mathfrak{p}}=p\star_{\alpha,\alpha}q-q\star_{\alpha,\alpha}q.$$



We consider $\phi(\mathfrak{p}, k) = \mathfrak{p} \oplus (\mathfrak{p}^*)^k$.



We consider $\phi(\mathfrak{p}, k) = \mathfrak{p} \oplus (\mathfrak{p}^*)^k$. We define on $\phi(\mathfrak{p}, k)$:

1. The bracket

$$[a,b] = a \circ b - b \circ a, [p,q] = [p,q]_{\mathfrak{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad [a,p] = \phi_a^*(p) - \psi_p^* a, \quad a,b \in (\mathfrak{p}^*)^k$$
 (12)

2. The family (ρ^1, \ldots, ρ^k) of 2-forms given by

$$\rho^{\alpha}(p+(a_1,\ldots,a_k),q+(b_1,\ldots,b_k))= \prec a_{\alpha},q \succ - \prec b_{\alpha},p \succ .$$



We denote by $\phi^T : \mathfrak{p} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}^k \otimes \mathfrak{p}$ and $\psi^T : (\mathfrak{p}^*)^k \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes (\mathfrak{p}^*)^k$ the dual of $\phi : (\mathfrak{p}^*)^k \otimes \mathfrak{p}^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}^*$ and $\psi : \mathfrak{p} \otimes \mathfrak{p}^k \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}$.



We denote by $\phi^T : \mathfrak{p} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}^k \otimes \mathfrak{p}$ and $\psi^T : (\mathfrak{p}^*)^k \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes (\mathfrak{p}^*)^k$ the dual of $\phi : (\mathfrak{p}^*)^k \otimes \mathfrak{p}^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}^*$ and $\psi : \mathfrak{p} \otimes \mathfrak{p}^k \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}$.

Question: Under which condition the bracket given in (12) is a Lie bracket?



Theorem 1.2

 $(\Phi(\mathfrak{p},k),[\;,\;])$ is a Lie algebra if and only if

1. $\phi^{\mathsf{T}}: \mathfrak{p} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}^{\mathsf{k}} \otimes \mathfrak{p}$ is a 1-cocycle of $(\mathfrak{p}, [\ ,\]_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and the representation $\psi \otimes \mathrm{ad}$, i.e.,

$$\phi^{\mathsf{T}}([p,q]_{\mathfrak{p}})((a_{1},\ldots,a_{k}),b) = \phi^{\mathsf{T}}(p)((a_{1},\ldots,a_{k}),\mathrm{ad}_{q}^{*}b) \\
+\phi^{\mathsf{T}}(p)(\psi_{q}^{*}(a_{1},\ldots,a_{k}),b) \\
-\phi^{\mathsf{T}}(q)((a_{1},\ldots,a_{k}),\mathrm{ad}_{p}^{*}b) \\
-\phi^{\mathsf{T}}(q)(\psi_{p}^{*}(a_{1},\ldots,a_{k}),b).$$

2. $\psi^{\mathsf{T}}: (\mathfrak{p}^*)^k \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{p}^*) \otimes (\mathfrak{p}^*)^k$ is a 1-cocycle of $((\mathfrak{p}^*)^k, [\ ,\])$ and the representation $\phi \otimes$ ad and $[\ ,\]$ is given by $[a,b] = a \circ b - b \circ a$, i.e.,



$$\psi^{\mathsf{T}}([a,b])(p,(q_{1},\ldots,q_{k})) = \psi^{\mathsf{T}}(a)(p,\operatorname{ad}_{b}^{*}(q_{1},\ldots,q_{k})) \\
+\psi^{\mathsf{T}}(a)(\phi_{b}^{*}p,(q_{1},\ldots,q_{k})) \\
-\psi^{\mathsf{T}}(b)(p,\operatorname{ad}_{a}^{*}(q_{1},\ldots,q_{k})) \\
-\psi^{\mathsf{T}}(b)(\phi_{a}^{*}p,(q_{1},\ldots,q_{k})).$$

In this case $(\Phi(\mathfrak{p},k),[\;,\;],(\mathfrak{p}^*)^k,\rho^1,\ldots,\rho^k)$ is a k-para-Kähler Lie algebra. Moreover, all k-para-Kähler Lie algebras are obtained in this way.



Definition 1.6

A $(k \times k)$ -left symmetric algebra structure on $\mathfrak p$ and a k-left symmetric algebra structure on $\mathfrak p^*$ are called compatible if they satisfy the conditions of the previous Theorem.



Example 3

- 1. Any k-left symmetric algebra structure on \mathfrak{p}^* is compatible with the trivial $(k \times k)$ -left symmetric algebra structure on \mathfrak{p} .
- 2. Any $(k \times k)$ -left symmetric algebra structure on $\mathfrak p$ is compatible with the trivial k-left symmetric algebra structure on $\mathfrak p^*$.



Conclusion

k-para-Kahler Lie algebras are obtained by:

- 1. A vector space \mathfrak{p} of dimension n.
- 2. A *k*-left symmetric structure $(\bullet_1, \ldots, \bullet_k)$ on \mathfrak{p}^* .
- 3. A $(k \times k)$ -left symmetric structure $\star_{\alpha,\beta}$ on \mathfrak{p} .
- 4. and the 2 structures are compatible.



Proposition 1.1

Let (A,.) be a commutative associative algebra and (D_1,\ldots,D_k) the derivations of (A,.) which commute. Then for any $\alpha=1,\ldots k$, the products

$$a \bullet_{\alpha} b = a.D_{\alpha}b$$

are left symmetric and define a k-left symmetric structure on A.



Example 4

We consider \mathbb{R}^4 endowed with the associative commutative product

$$e_1.e_1=e_1,\ e_1.e_2=e_2.e_1=e_2,\ e_1.e_3=e_3.e_1=e_3,\ e_1.e_4=e_4.e_1=e_4.$$

We consider the two derivations

$$D_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad and \quad D_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

These two derivations commute and, according to the previous Proposition , they define a 2-left symplectic structure on \mathbb{R}^4 by

$$e_1 \bullet_1 e_i = e_i, i = 2, 3, 4, e_1 \bullet_2 e_3 = ae_2$$
 and $e_1 \bullet_2 e_4 = be_2 + ce_3$.



We consider:

- 1. A *k*-left symmetric structure $(\bullet_1, \ldots, \bullet_k)$ on \mathfrak{p}^* .
- 2. $\psi = \delta(\mathbf{r})$ is a coboundary, i.e., for $\mathbf{r} \in \mathfrak{p}^* \otimes (\mathfrak{p}^*)^k \ \psi : \mathfrak{p} \otimes (\mathfrak{p})^k \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}^k$ is given by



We consider:

- 1. A *k*-left symmetric structure $(\bullet_1, \ldots, \bullet_k)$ on \mathfrak{p}^* .
- 2. $\psi = \delta(\mathbf{r})$ is a coboundary, i.e., for $\mathbf{r} \in \mathfrak{p}^* \otimes (\mathfrak{p}^*)^k \psi : \mathfrak{p} \otimes (\mathfrak{p})^k \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}^k$ is given by

$$\prec a, \psi(p, u) \succ = -\mathbf{r}(\phi_a^* p, u) - \mathbf{r}(p, \mathrm{ad}_a^* u), \quad p \in \mathfrak{p}, u \in \mathfrak{p}^k, a \in (\mathfrak{p}^*)^k.$$
(13)

We define $\mathbf{r}_{\#}: \mathfrak{p} \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{p}^*)^k$ by $\prec \mathbf{r}_{\#}(p), u \succ = \mathbf{r}(p, u)$



Problem: Under which condition ψ defines a $k \times k$ -left symmetric structure on \mathfrak{p} compatible with the k-left symmetric structure on \mathfrak{p}^* .



Theorem 2.1

Let $\mathfrak p$ be a vector space of dimension n such that $\mathfrak p^*$ is endowed with a k-left symmetric algebra structure $(\bullet_1,\ldots,\bullet_k)$ and

 $\mathbf{r} = (\mathbf{s}_1 + \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_k + \mathbf{a}_k) \in \mathfrak{p}^* \otimes (p^*)^k$ such that, for any $\alpha \neq \beta$ and for any $\rho \in \mathfrak{p}^*$,

$$L^{\alpha}_{\rho}(\mathsf{a}_{\beta}) = \mathsf{O} \quad and \quad L^{\alpha}_{\rho}(\mathsf{a}_{\alpha}) = L^{\beta}_{\rho}(\mathsf{a}_{\beta}) =: L(\mathsf{a})(\rho,.,.).$$

Then ψ given by (13) defines a $(k \times k)$ -left symmetric structure on $\mathfrak p$ compatible with the k-left symmetric structure of $(\mathfrak p^*)^k$ if and only if, for any $a \in (p^*)^k$ and $p, q \in \mathfrak p$,

$$[a, \Delta(\mathbf{r})(p,q)] + \mathrm{L}_a(\Delta(\mathbf{r}))(p,q) = \mathrm{O}, \quad \Delta(\mathbf{r})(p,q) = \mathbf{r}_\#([p,q]_\mathfrak{p}) - [\mathbf{r}_\#(p),\mathbf{r}_\#(q)]$$
 and, for any $a \in (\mathfrak{p}^*)^k$, $\rho \in \mathfrak{p}^*$, $p,q \in \mathfrak{p}$,

$$L(\mathbf{a})(L_a\rho, p, q) + L(\mathbf{a})(\rho, L_a^*p, q) + L(\mathbf{a})(\rho, p, L_a^*q) = 0.$$



Corollary 2.1

Let $\mathbf{r} = (\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_k)$ be a family of symmetric elements of $\mathfrak{p}^* \otimes \mathfrak{p}^*$. Then ψ defines a $(\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{k})$ -left symmetric structure on \mathfrak{p} compatible with the k-left symmetric structure of $(\mathfrak{p}^*)^k$ if and only if, for any $a \in (p^*)^k$ and $p, q \in \mathfrak{p}$,

$$[a, \Delta(\mathbf{r})(p,q)] + L_a(\Delta(\mathbf{r}))(p,q) = 0, \ \Delta(\mathbf{r})(p,q) = \mathbf{r}_{\#}([p,q]_{\mathfrak{p}}) - [\mathbf{r}_{\#}(p), \mathbf{r}_{\#}(q)]$$



Definition 2.1

Let $\mathbf{r}=(\mathbf{r}^1,\ldots,\mathbf{r}^k)$ be a family of symmetric elements of $\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{A}$ where \mathcal{A} has a structure of k-left symmetric algebra $(\bullet_1,\ldots,\bullet_k)$. We call \mathbf{r} a S_k -matrix if $\Delta(\mathbf{r})=0$ where $\Delta(\mathbf{r})(p,q)=\mathbf{r}_\#([p,q]_\mathfrak{p})-[\mathbf{r}_\#(p),\mathbf{r}_\#(q)]$, i.e., for any $\alpha=1,\ldots,k, p,q\in\mathcal{A}^*$,

$$\mathbf{r}_{\#}^{\alpha}([p,q]_{*}) = \sum_{\beta=1}^{k} \left[\mathbf{r}_{\#}^{\beta}(p) \bullet_{\beta} \mathbf{r}_{\#}^{\alpha}(q) - \mathbf{r}_{\#}^{\beta}(q) \bullet_{\beta} \mathbf{r}_{\#}^{\alpha}(p) \right],$$

where

$$[p,q]_* = \sum_{\beta=1}^k \left[(L_{r_\#^\beta(p)}^\beta)^* q - (L_{r_\#^\beta(q)}^\beta)^* p \right].$$



Example 5

1. Let (A, \bullet) be a left symmetric algebra and $\mathbf{r} \in A \otimes A$ be a classical S-matrix, i.e., \mathbf{r} satisfies

$$r\left(\mathrm{L}^*_{r_\#(p)}q-\mathrm{L}^*_{r_\#(p)}q\right)=r_\#(p)\bullet r_\#(q)-r_\#(q)\bullet r_\#(p),$$

for any $p,q\in\mathcal{A}^*$ (see [6, 9]). For any $k\geq 1$, endow \mathcal{A} with the k-left symmetric structure given by $\bullet_{\alpha}=\mu_{\alpha}\bullet$, where $\mu_{\alpha}\in\mathbb{R}$. Then $\mathbf{r}^k=(\mathbf{r},\ldots,\mathbf{r})$ is a S_k -matrix.

2. Consider the 2-left symmetric on \mathbb{R}^4 given in the previous Example , then one can check by a direct computation that

$$\textbf{r}^1 = r_{2,4} e_2 \odot e_4 + r_{2,2} e_2 \odot e_2 + r_{4,4} e_4 \odot e_4 \quad \text{and} \quad \textbf{r}^2 = s_{1,1} e_1 \odot e_1 + s_{1,2} e_1 \odot e_2$$

constitute a S_2 -matrix on \mathbb{R}^4 (\odot is the symmetric product).

k-symplectic Lie algebras of dimension (k + 1)



Let $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h},\theta^1,\ldots,\theta^k)$ be a k-symplectic Lie algebra of dimension (k+1).

k-symplectic Lie algebras of dimension (k+1)



Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^k)$ be a k-symplectic Lie algebra of dimension (k+1). Then the dim $\mathfrak{h} = k$, dim $\mathfrak{h}^{\alpha} = 1$ and dim $\mathfrak{p}^* = 1$.

k-symplectic Lie algebras of dimension (k + 1)



Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^k)$ be a k-symplectic Lie algebra of dimension (k+1). Then the dim $\mathfrak{h} = k$, dim $\mathfrak{h}^{\alpha} = 1$ and dim $\mathfrak{p}^* = 1$.

Theorem 3.1

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \theta^1, \theta^2)$ be a 2-symplectic Lie algebra of dimension 3. Then one of the following situations holds:

- 1. \mathfrak{h} is an abelian ideal and there exists a basis (e,f,g) of \mathfrak{g} and D an endomorphism of \mathfrak{h} such that [h,e]=D(h) for any $h\in\mathfrak{h},\ \theta^1=e^*\wedge f^*$ and $\theta^2=e^*\wedge g^*$.
- 2. $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h},\theta^1,\theta^2)$ is isomorphic to $(sl(2,\mathbb{R}),\mathfrak{h}_0,\rho^1,\rho^2)$ with $\mathfrak{h}_0=span\{h,g\},\,\rho^1=h^*\wedge f^*+bg^*\wedge f^*$ and $\rho^2=g^*\wedge f^*$.
- 3. $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \theta^1, \theta^2)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathfrak{sol}, \mathfrak{h}_0, \rho^1, \rho^2)$ with $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \operatorname{span}\{u_1, u_2\}$, $\rho^1 = u_1^* \wedge u_3^* + bu_2^* \wedge u_3^*$ and $\rho^2 = cu_1^* \wedge u_3^* + u_2^* \wedge u_3^*$.

k-symplectic Lie algebras of dimension (k + 1)



Theorem 3.2

Let $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h},\theta^1,\ldots,\theta^k)$ be a k-symplectic Lie algebra such that dim $\mathfrak{h}=k\geq 3$. Then one of the following situation holds:

- 1. \mathfrak{h} is an abelian ideal and there exists a basis (e, f_1, \ldots, f_k) of \mathfrak{g} and an endomorphism D of \mathfrak{h} such that $\mathfrak{h} = \operatorname{span}\{f_1, \ldots, f_k\}$, [e, h] = D(h) for any $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ and, for $\alpha = 1, \ldots, k$, $\theta^{\alpha} = f_{\alpha}^{*} \wedge e^{*}$
- 2. There exists (f_1, \ldots, f_k, e) a basis of \mathfrak{g} , a family of constants $(a_1, \ldots, a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $a_1 \neq 0$, $(b_2, \ldots, b_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathfrak{h} = \operatorname{span}\{f_1, \ldots, f_k\}$,

$$\theta^{1} = f_{1}^{*} \wedge e^{*} - \sum_{i=2}^{K} a_{i} f_{i}^{*} \wedge e^{*} \quad and \quad \theta^{i} = a_{1} f_{i}^{*} \wedge e^{*}, i = 2, \dots, k,$$

and the non vanishing Lie brackets are given by

$$[e, f_1] = a_1 e + \lambda f_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{K} b_i f_i, \ [e, f_i] = -\lambda f_i, \ [f_1, f_i] = a_1 f_i, i = 2, \ldots, k.$$





In this section, by using Theorem 1.2, we give all six dimensional 2-para-Kähler Lie algebras. We proceed as follows:

1. In Table 1, we determine all 2-left symmetric algebras by a direct computation using the classification of real two dimensional left symmetric algebras given in [11].



- In Table 1, we determine all 2-left symmetric algebras by a direct computation using the classification of real two dimensional left symmetric algebras given in [11].
- 2. In Table 2, we give for each 2-left symmetric algebra in Table 1 its compatible 2 \times 2-left symmetric algebras.



- 1. In Table 1, we determine all 2-left symmetric algebras by a direct computation using the classification of real two dimensional left symmetric algebras given in [11].
- 2. In Table 2, we give for each 2-left symmetric algebra in Table 1 its compatible 2 \times 2-left symmetric algebras.
- 3. In Table 3, we give for each couple of compatible structures in Table 2 the corresponding 2-para-Kähler Lie algebra.



- 1. In Table 1, we determine all 2-left symmetric algebras by a direct computation using the classification of real two dimensional left symmetric algebras given in [11].
- 2. In Table 2, we give for each 2-left symmetric algebra in Table 1 its compatible 2 \times 2-left symmetric algebras.
- 3. In Table 3, we give for each couple of compatible structures in Table 2 the corresponding 2-para-Kähler Lie algebra.
- 4. All our computations were checked by using the software Maple.



Name of the 2-LSS	First left symmetric product	Second left symmetric product	
$\mathbf{b}_{1,\alpha}, (\alpha \neq 1, \alpha \neq \frac{1}{2})$	$e_2 \bullet_1 e_1 = e_1, e_2 \bullet_1 e_2 = \alpha e_2$	$\bullet_2 = a \bullet_1$	
${\bf b}_{1,\frac{1}{2}}$	$e_2 \bullet_1 e_1 = e_1, e_2 \bullet_1 e_2 = \frac{1}{2}e_2$	$e_2 \bullet_2 e_1 = ae_1, e_2 \bullet_2 e_2 = \frac{1}{2}ae_2 + be_1$	
${\bf b}_{1,1}$	$e_2 \bullet_1 e_1 = e_1, e_2 \bullet_1 e_2 = e_2$	$e_1 \bullet e_1 = ae_1, e_1 \bullet_2 e_2 = ae_2, e_2 \bullet_2 e_1 = be_1,$	
D 1,1	ε ₂ • ε ₁ - ε ₁ , ε ₂ • ε ₂ - ε ₂	$e_2 \bullet_2 e_2 = be_2$	
\mathbf{b}_2	$e_2 \bullet_1 e_1 = e_1, e_2 \bullet_1 e_2 = e_1 + e_2$	$\bullet_2 = a \bullet_1$	
$\mathbf{b}_{3,\alpha}, \alpha \neq 1, \alpha \neq 0,$	$e_1 \bullet_1 e_2 = e_1, e_2 \bullet_1 e_1 = (1 - \frac{1}{\alpha})e_1, e_2 \bullet_1 e_2 = e_2$	$\bullet_2 = a \bullet_1$	
b _{3,1}	$e_1 \bullet_1 e_2 = e_1, e_2 \bullet_1 e_2 = e_2$	$e_1 \bullet_2 e_1 = ae_1, e_1 \bullet_2 e_2 = be_1, e_2 \bullet_2 e_1 = ae_2,$	
D 3,1		$e_2 \bullet_2 e_2 = be_2$	
b ₄	$e_1 \bullet_1 e_2 = e_1, e_2 \bullet_1 e_2 = e_1 + e_2$	$\bullet_2 = a \bullet_1$	
b ₅ ⁺	$e_1 \bullet_1 e_1 = e_2, e_2 \bullet_1 e_1 = -e_1, e_2 \bullet_1 e_2 = -2e_2$	$\bullet_2 = a \bullet_1$	
b ₅	$e_1 \bullet_1 e_1 = -e_2, e_2 \bullet_1 e_1 = -e_1, e_2 \bullet_1 e_2 = -2e_2$	$\bullet_2 = a \bullet_1$	



\mathbf{c}_2	$e_2 \bullet_1 e_2 = e_2$	$e_1 \bullet_2 e_1 = ae_1, e_2 \bullet_2 e_2 = be_2$	
c ¹ ₃	$e_2 \bullet_1 e_2 = e_1$	$e_2 \bullet_2 e_1 = 2ae_1, e_2 \bullet_2 e_2 = be_1 + ae_2$	
c_{3}^{2}	$e_2 \bullet e_2 = e_1$	$e_1 \bullet_2 e_2 = ae_1, e_2 \bullet_2 e_1 = ae_1, e_2 \bullet_2 e_2 = be_1 + ae_2$	
c ₄	$e_2 \bullet e_2 = e_2, e_1 \bullet_1 e_2 = e_2 \bullet_1 e_1 = e_1$ $e_1 \bullet_2 e_2 = ae_1, e_2 \bullet_2 e_1 = ae_1, e_2 \bullet_2 e_2 =$		
c ₅ ⁺	$e_1 \bullet_1 e_1 = e_2 \bullet_1 e_2 = e_2, e_1 \bullet_1 e_2 = e_2 \bullet_1 e_1 = e_1$	$e_1 \bullet_2 e_2 = e_2 \bullet_2 e_1 = be_1 + ae_2$ $e_1 \bullet_2 e_1 = e_2 \bullet_2 e_2 = ae_1 + be_2$	
c ₅	$e_1 \bullet_1 e_1 = -e_2 \bullet_1 e_2 = -e_2, e_1 \bullet_1 e_2 = e_2 \bullet_1 e_1 = e_1$	$e_1 \bullet_2 e_2 = e_2 \bullet_2 e_1 = be_1 + ae_2$ $e_1 \bullet_2 e_1 = -e_2 \bullet_2 e_2 = ae_1 - be_2$	

Table 1: Two dimensional 2-left symmetric structures, $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.



Name	2-left symmetric structure	Compatible (2×2) -left symmetric structure	conditions
$\mathbf{bb}_{1,lpha}$	$\mathbf{b}_{1,\alpha}, (\alpha \neq 1, \alpha \neq \frac{1}{2})$	$L_{e_2}^{1,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -ac \end{pmatrix}, L_{e_2}^{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -ad \end{pmatrix}, L_{e_2}^{2,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}, L_{e_2}^{2,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$	$a \in \mathbb{R}, \ \alpha = 0$
$\mathbf{bb}_{1,1}$	${\bf b}_{1,1}$	$\star_{\alpha,\beta}=0,\alpha,\beta\in\{1,2\}$	$a=0,\ b\in\mathbb{R}$
\mathbf{bb}_2	\mathbf{b}_2	$\star_{\alpha,\beta}=0,\alpha,\beta\in\{1,2\}$	<i>a</i> ≠ 1
		$L_{e_2}^{1,1} = L_{e_2}^{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -c \end{pmatrix}, L_{e_2}^{2,1} = L_{e_2}^{2,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$	a = 1
$\mathbf{bb}_{3,1}$	${\bf b}_{3,1}$	$\star_{\alpha,\beta} = 0, \alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2\}$	$a \neq 0, b \in \mathbb{R}$
\mathbf{bb}_4	b ₄	$L_{e_1}^{1,1} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -ac & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right), \; L_{e_1}^{1,2} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -a^2c & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right), \; L_{e_1}^{2,1} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right), \; L_{e_1}^{2,2} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ ac & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$	$a \in \mathbb{R}$
\mathbf{cc}_3^1	\mathbf{c}_3^1	$\star_{\alpha,\beta}=0,\alpha,\beta\in\{1,2\}$	$a \neq 0, b \in \mathbb{R}$
		$L_{e_1}^{1,1} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ c_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ L_{e_1}^{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} bc_1 & 0 \\ d_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ L_{e_1}^{2,1} = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ g_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ L_{e_1}^{2,2} = \begin{pmatrix} bg_1 & 0 \\ d_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$a=0,\ b\in\mathbb{R}$
\mathbf{cc}_3^2	\mathbf{c}_3^2	$\star_{\alpha,\beta}=0,\alpha,\beta\in\{1,2\}$	$a \neq 0, b \in \mathbb{R}$
		$L_{e_1}^{1,1} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ c_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ L_{e_1}^{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} bc_1 & 0 \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ L_{e_1}^{2,1} = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ g_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ L_{e_1}^{2,2} = \begin{pmatrix} bg_1 & 0 \\ h & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$a=0,\ b\in\mathbb{R}$
cc ₅ ⁺	c ₅ ⁺	$L_{e_1}^{1,1} = L_{e_2}^{1,1} = \begin{pmatrix} -c & -c \\ -c & -c \end{pmatrix}, \ L_{e_1}^{1,2} = L_{e_2}^{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} -c & -c \\ -c & -c \end{pmatrix}$	$a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R}$
		$L_{e_1}^{2,1} = L_{e_2}^{2,1} = \begin{pmatrix} c & c \\ c & c \end{pmatrix}, \ L_{e_1}^{2,2} = L_{e_2}^{2,2} = \begin{pmatrix} c & c \\ c & c \end{pmatrix}$	

Table 2: Compatible two dimensional 2-left symmetric and (2×2) -left symmetric structures.



Structure	Associated 2-para-Kähler Lie algebra	Conditions
$\mathbf{bb}_{1,lpha}$	$[f_1, f_2] = -f_1, [f_1, f_4] = -af_1, [f_2, f_3] = f_3, [f_3, f_4] = -af_3,$	$a \in \mathbb{R}$,
	$[f_2,e_1]=-e_1,\ [f_2,e_2]=-c(af_2-f_4),\ [f_4,e_1]=-ae_1,\ [f_4,e_2]=-d(af_2-f_4).$	
$\mathbf{bb}_{1,1}$	$[f_1,f_2]=-f_1,\ [f_1,f_4]=-bf_1,\ [f_2,f_3]=f_3,\ [f_2,f_4]=-bf_2+f_4,\ [f_3,f_4]=-bf_3,$	$b \in \mathbb{R}$
	$[f_2, e_1] = -e_1, [f_2, e_2] = -e_2, [f_4, e_1] = -be_1, [f_4, e_2] = -be_2.$	
	$[f_1, f_2] = -f_1, [f_1, f_4] = -af_1, [f_2, f_3] = f_3, [f_2, f_4] = -a(f_1 + f_2) + f_3 + f_4,$	a ≠ 1
	$[f_3,f_4]=-af_3,\ [f_2,e_1]=-e_1-e_2,\ [f_2,e_2]=-e_2,\ [f_4,e_1]=-a(e_1+e_2), [f_4,e_2]=-ae_2.$	
\mathbf{bb}_2	$[f_1, f_2] = -f_1, [f_1, f_4] = -f_1, [f_2, f_3] = f_3, [f_2, f_4] = -f_1 - f_2 + f_3 + f_4,$	$c \in \mathbb{R}$
	$[f_3,f_4]=-f_3,\ [f_2,e_1]=-e_1-e_2,\ [f_2,e_2]=-c(f_2-f_4)-e_2,\ [f_4,e_1]=-e_1-e_2,$	
	$[f_4, e_2] = -c(f_2 - f_4) - e_2.$	
bb _{3,1}	$[f_1, f_2] = f_1, [f_1, f_3] = -af_1, [f_1, f_4] = -af_2 + f_3, [f_2, f_3] = -bf_1,$	$a \neq 0, b \in \mathbb{R}$
	$[f_2, f_4] = -bf_2 + f_4, [f_3, f_4] = bf_3 - af_4, [f_1, e_1] = -e_2, [f_2, e_2] = -e_2,$	
	$[f_3, e_1] = -ae_1 - be_2, [f_4, e_2] = -ae_1 - be_2.$	
bb ₄	$[f_1, f_2] = f_1, [f_1, f_4] = f_3, [f_2, f_3] = -af_1, [f_2, f_4] = -a(f_1 + f_2) + f_3 + f_4,$	$a \in \mathbb{R}$
	$[f_3,f_4]=af_3,\ [f_1,e_1]=-e_2,\ [f_2,e_1]=-c(af_1-f_3)-e_2,\ [f_2,e_2]=-e_2,$	
	$[f_3,e_1]=-ae_2, [f_4,e_1]=-ac(af_1-f_3)-ae_2, \ [f_4,e_2]=-ae_2.$	



cc ₃	$[f_1, f_4] = -2af_1, [f_2, f_4] = -bf_1 - af_2 + f_3, [f_3, f_4] = -2af_3, [f_2, e_1] = -e_2,$	$a \neq 0, b \in \mathbb{R}$
	$[f_4, e_1] = -2ae_1 - be_2, [f_4, e_2] = -ae_2.$	
	$[f_2, f_4] = -bf_1 + f_3, [f_1, e_1] = c_1f_1 + g_1f_3, [f_2, e_1] = c_2f_1 + g_2f_3 - e_2,$	$b \in \mathbb{R}$
	$[f_3, e_1] = bc_1f_1 + bg_1f_3, [f_4, e_1] = d_2f_1 + hf_3 - be_2.$	
cc ₃ ²	$[f_1,f_4] = -af_1, \ [f_2,f_3] = -af_1, \ [f_2,f_4] = -bf_1 - af_2 + f_3, \ [f_2,e_1] = -e_2, \ [f_3,e_1] = -ae_2$	$a \neq 0, b \in \mathbb{R}$
	$[f_4, e_1] = -ae_1 - be_2, [f_4, e_2] = -ae_2.$	
	$[f_2,f_4]=-bf_1+f_3,\ [f_1,e_1]=c_1f_1+g_1f_3,\ [f_2,e_1]=c_2f_1+g_2f_3-e_2,$	$b \in \mathbb{R}$
	$[f_3,e_1] = bc_1f_1 + bg_1f_3, [f_4,e_1] = df_1 + hf_3 - be_2.$	
cc ₅	$[f_1, f_3] = -af_1 - bf_2 + f_4, [f_1, f_4] = -bf_1 - af_2 + f_3, [f_2, f_3] = -bf_1 - af_2 + f_3,$	$a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R}$
	$[f_2,f_4] = -af_1 - bf_2 + f_4, \ [f_1,e_1] = -c(f_1 + f_2 - f_3 - f_4) - e_2, \ [f_1,e_2] = -c(f_1 + f_2 - f_3 - f_4) - e_1,$	
	$[f_2, e_1] = -c(f_1 + f_2 - f_3 - f_4) - e_1, [f_2, e_2] = -c(f_1 + f_2 - f_3 - f_4) - e_2$	
	$[f_3,e_1] = -c(f_1+f_2-f_3-f_4) - ae_1 - be_2, \ [f_3,e_2] = -c(f_1+f_2-f_3-f_4) - be_1 - ae_2,$	
	$[f_4,e_1] = -c(f_1+f_2-f_3-f_4) - be_1 - ae_2, \ [f_4,e_2] = -c(f_1+f_2-f_3-f_4) - ae_1 - be_2.$	
	$\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{span}\{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\}, \ \theta^1 = f_1^* \wedge e_1^* + f_2^* \wedge e_2^* \text{and} \theta^2 = f_3^* \wedge e_1^* + f_4^* \wedge e_2^*$	

Table 3: Six dimensional 2-para-Kähler Lie algebras.

References I



- [1] A. Andrada, Hypersymplectic Lie algebras, J. Geom. Phys. **56** (2006) 2039-2067.
- [2] A. Awane, A. Chkiriba, M. Goze, *k-symplectic affine Lie algebras*, African Journal of Mathematical Physics Vol. **2** N. 1 (2005) 77-85.
- [3] Awane, A., *G*-espaces *k*-symplectiques homogènes. J. Geom. Phys. **13**, 2, pp. 139-157 (1994).
- [4] A. Awane & M. Goze, *Pfaffian Systems*, *k-Symplectic Systems*, Kluwer Academic Publishers 2000.
- [5] A. Awane, *k*-symplectic structures, Journal of Mathematical Physics 33, 4046 (1992).
- [6] Bai, C., Left-Symmetric Bialgebras and an Analogue of the Classical Yang-Baxter Equation, Communication in Contemporary Mathematics, 2008, Vol. **10**; Numb. 2, 221-260.

References II



- [7] C. Bai, N. Xiang, Special symplectic Lie groups and hypersymplectic Lie groups, Manuscripta Math. 133 (2010) 373-408.
- [8] I. Bajo, S. Benayadi, *Abelian para-Kähler structures on Lie algebras*, Differential Geom. Appl. **29** (2011) 160-173.
- [9] S. Benayadi and M. Boucetta, *On Para-Kähler and Hyper-Kähler Lie algebras*, Journal of Algebra **436** (2015) 61-101.
- [10] Günther, C., The polysymplectic Hamiltonian formalism in field theory and calculus of variations. I. The local case, J. Differential Geom. 25, 1, pp. 23-53 (1987).
- [11] Andrey Yu. Konyaev, Nijenhuis geometry II: Left-symmetric algebras and linearization problem for Nijenhuis operators, arXiv:1903.06411.
- [12] M. de Leòn, M. Salgado, S. Vilarino, Methods of Differential Geometry in Classical Field Theories: k-symplectic and k-cosymplectic approaches, World scientific publishing (2016).