



Left invariant Riemannian metrics with harmonic curvature are Ricci-parallel in solvable Lie groups and Lie groups of dimension ≤ 6



Ilyes Aberaouze, Mohamed Boucetta*

Université Cadi-Ayyad, Faculté des sciences et techniques, BP 549, Marrakech, Maroc

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 14 August 2021

Received in revised form 5 March 2022

Accepted 25 March 2022

Available online 1 April 2022

MSC:

22E15

53C20

22E25

Keywords:

Left invariant metric

Lie groups

Harmonic curvature

ABSTRACT

We show that any left invariant metric with harmonic curvature on a solvable Lie group is Ricci-parallel. We show the same result for any Lie group of dimension ≤ 6 .

© 2022 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

A Riemannian manifold $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is said to have *harmonic curvature* if its curvature tensor R has a vanishing divergence, i.e., for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{E_i}(R)(E_i, X) = 0,$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection and (E_1, \dots, E_n) is a local $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ -orthonormal frame field. The study of Riemannian manifolds with harmonic curvature is old and goes to [6] and it is known [2–4] that a Riemannian manifold $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ has a harmonic curvature if and only if its Ricci tensor Ric is Codazzi, i.e.,

$$\nabla_X(\text{Ric})(Y, Z) = \nabla_Y(\text{Ric})(X, Z) \quad (1)$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z . Obviously, any Einstein Riemannian metric ($\text{Ric} = \lambda \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$) and more generally any Ricci-parallel metric ($\nabla(\text{Ric}) = 0$) satisfy (1). Thus the interesting case will be where Ric satisfies (1) and $\nabla(\text{Ric}) \neq 0$. Unfortunately, such

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: aberaouzeilyes@gmail.com (I. Aberaouze), m.boucetta@uca.ac.ma (M. Boucetta).

metrics are difficult to find and some examples were given in [2–4]. To our knowledge, there is no example of a non Ricci-parallel homogeneous Riemannian manifold with harmonic curvature which supports the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. Any homogeneous Riemannian manifold M with harmonic curvature is Ricci-parallel.

This conjecture is true in dimension four (see [8]) and when M is a sphere or a projective space (see [7]). It was proven in [1] for nilpotent Lie groups with left invariant metrics. Moreover, any conformally flat Riemannian manifold with constant scalar curvature satisfies (1) (see [4, Theorem 5.1]) and any homogeneous conformally flat Riemannian manifold has Ricci-parallel curvature (see [9]). The purpose of this paper is to show that this conjecture is true when M is a solvable Lie group endowed with a left invariant metric. Namely, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Let (G, g) be a solvable Lie group endowed with a left invariant metric. If (G, g) has harmonic curvature then it is Ricci-parallel.

This result implies that the conjecture is true for any homogeneous Riemannian manifold G/K where K is a maximal compact subgroup of G . It is a consequence of a more general result (see Theorem 3.2) whose proof is based on Theorem 3.1 which is a generalization of the famous theorem of Lauret [5] which shows that any solvable Einstein Euclidean Lie algebra is standard in the sense that the orthogonal of its derived ideal is abelian.

In the second part of the paper, we show that the conjecture is true for any Lie group of dimension ≤ 6 endowed with a left invariant metric.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic properties of the Lie algebra associated to a Lie group endowed with a left invariant metric with harmonic curvature. In Section 3, we prove our main result Theorem 3.2 which implies Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we prove Conjecture 1 for any Lie group of dimension ≤ 6 . The proof for the dimensions ≤ 5 is quite easy but, for dimension 6, it involves a huge computation where the use of Maple is needed.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we establish the basic tools needed for the proof of our main results. The results of this section were first proved in [1].

The study of left invariant Riemannian metrics on Lie groups reduces to the study of Lie algebras endowed with a Euclidean product. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot], \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Euclidean Lie algebra, i.e., a Lie algebra endowed with a bilinear nondegenerate form which is positive definite. The *Levi-Civita product* of \mathfrak{g} is the bilinear map $L : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ given by Koszul's formula

$$2\langle L_u v, w \rangle = \langle [u, v], w \rangle + \langle [w, u], v \rangle + \langle [w, v], u \rangle \quad \text{for any } u, v, w \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (2)$$

For any $u, v \in \mathfrak{g}$, $L_u : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is skew-symmetric and $[u, v] = L_u v - L_v u$. The curvature of \mathfrak{g} is given by

$$K(u, v) = L_{[u, v]} - [L_u, L_v] \quad \text{for any } u, v \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

The Ricci curvature $\text{ric} : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and its Ricci operator $\text{Ric} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ are defined by

$$\langle \text{Ric}(u), v \rangle = \text{ric}(u, v) = \text{tr}(w \rightarrow K(u, w)v) \quad \text{for any } u, v, w \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

The operator Ric is symmetric and hence is diagonalizable. Thus there exists $\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_r$ such that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r$$

where \mathfrak{g}_i is the eigenspace of Ric associated to the eigenvalues λ_i .

We call $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ a Euclidean Lie algebra with harmonic curvature if Ric is a Codazzi operator, i.e., for any $u, v, w \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$\langle L_u v, \text{Ric}(w) \rangle + \langle L_u w, \text{Ric}(v) \rangle = \langle L_v u, \text{Ric}(w) \rangle + \langle L_v w, \text{Ric}(u) \rangle. \quad (3)$$

If we take $u \in \mathfrak{g}_i$, $v \in \mathfrak{g}_j$ and $w \in \mathfrak{g}_k$, we get

$$(2\lambda_k - \lambda_i - \lambda_j)\langle [u, v], w \rangle = (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)(\langle [v, w], u \rangle + \langle [u, w], v \rangle). \quad (4)$$

For $i = j \neq k$, we get that \mathfrak{g}_i is a subalgebra and if we take $k = i \neq j$, we get

$$\langle [v, u], w \rangle + \langle [v, w], u \rangle = 0 \quad u, w \in \mathfrak{g}_i, v \in \mathfrak{g}_j, i \neq j.$$

For any $i < j < k$ and $u_i \in \mathfrak{g}_i, u_j \in \mathfrak{g}_j, u_k \in \mathfrak{g}_k$, we have

$$\begin{cases} (2\lambda_i - \lambda_j - \lambda_k)\langle [u_j, u_k], u_i \rangle - (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)(\langle [u_k, u_i], u_j \rangle + \langle [u_j, u_i], u_k \rangle) = 0, & (E1) \\ (2\lambda_j - \lambda_i - \lambda_k)\langle [u_i, u_k], u_j \rangle - (\lambda_i - \lambda_k)(\langle [u_k, u_j], u_i \rangle + \langle [u_i, u_j], u_k \rangle) = 0, & (E2) \\ (2\lambda_k - \lambda_i - \lambda_j)\langle [u_i, u_j], u_k \rangle - (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)(\langle [u_j, u_k], u_i \rangle + \langle [u_i, u_k], u_j \rangle) = 0. & (E3) \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

We have

$$(E1) - (E2) + (E3) = 0$$

and if we put

$$x = \langle [u_k, u_j], u_i \rangle, \quad y = \langle [u_k, u_i], u_j \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad z = \langle [u_i, u_j], u_k \rangle,$$

then the system (5) becomes

$$\begin{cases} (\lambda_j + \lambda_k - 2\lambda_i)x + (\lambda_k - \lambda_j)y = (\lambda_k - \lambda_j)z, \\ (\lambda_k - \lambda_i)x + (\lambda_i + \lambda_k - 2\lambda_j)y = (\lambda_i - \lambda_k)z. \end{cases}$$

So

$$x = -\frac{(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2 z}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad y = \frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_k)^2 z}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{cases} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 \langle [u_j, u_k], u_i \rangle + (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2 \langle [u_j, u_i], u_k \rangle = 0, \\ (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 \langle [u_i, u_k], u_j \rangle + (\lambda_i - \lambda_k)^2 \langle [u_i, u_j], u_k \rangle = 0. \end{cases}$$

So far, we established the following theorem which has been proved first in [1].

Theorem 2.1. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\ , \], \langle \ , \ \rangle)$ be a Euclidean Lie algebra, $\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_r$ the eigenvalues of Ric and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r$ is the splitting of \mathfrak{g} on eigenspaces of Ric. Then Ric is a Codazzi tensor if and only if:

1. for any $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, \mathfrak{g}_i is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} ,
2. for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ with $i \neq j$ and for any $u, v \in \mathfrak{g}_i$ and $w \in \mathfrak{g}_j$ then

$$\langle [w, u], v \rangle + \langle [w, v], u \rangle = 0, \quad (6)$$

3. for any $i < j < k$ for any $u \in \mathfrak{g}_i, v \in \mathfrak{g}_j, w \in \mathfrak{g}_k$ then

$$\begin{cases} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 \langle [v, w], u \rangle + (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2 \langle [v, u], w \rangle = 0, \\ (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 \langle [u, w], v \rangle + (\lambda_i - \lambda_k)^2 \langle [u, v], w \rangle = 0. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

In this case, Ric is non parallel if and only if there exists a triple (i, j, k) mutually different and $u_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_i, v_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_j$ and $w_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_k$ such that $\langle [u_0, v_0], w_0 \rangle \neq 0$.

Let us give an interpretation of assertion 3 in the theorem. For any $u \in \mathfrak{g}$, denote by u_i its component in \mathfrak{g}_i . Fix an index k and define a new product $\langle \ , \ \rangle_k$ on \mathfrak{g} by

$$\langle u, v \rangle_k = \sum_{j=1}^r (\delta_{jk} + (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2) \langle u_j, v_j \rangle, \quad u, v \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Denote by \mathfrak{g}_k^\perp the orthogonal of \mathfrak{g}_k . It is easy to check that, for any $u_k \in \mathfrak{g}_k$ and for any $u, v \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$\langle [u_k, v], w \rangle_k + \langle [u_k, w], v \rangle_k = 0 \quad (8)$$

and

$$\rho_k : \mathfrak{g}_k \longrightarrow \text{so}(\mathfrak{g}_k^\perp, \langle \ , \ \rangle_k) \quad \text{where} \quad \rho_k(u_k)(v) = \sum_{l \neq k} [u_k, v]_l, \quad (9)$$

is a representation. The proof of the following proposition is given in [1].

Proposition 2.1. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot], \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Euclidean Lie algebra whose Ricci operator is Codazzi. With the notations above, for any $i = 1, \dots, r$, let $\{V_{i,\alpha}\}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g}_i . Then, for any $i = 1, \dots, r$, $u_i \in \mathfrak{g}_i$,

$$\text{ric}(u_i, u_i) = \text{ric}_i(u_i, u_i) - \sum_{j \neq k} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{(\lambda_k - \lambda_i)(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)}{(\lambda_k - \lambda_j)^2} \langle [V_{j,\alpha}, V_{k,\beta}], u_i \rangle^2, \quad (10)$$

where ric_i is the Ricci curvature of \mathfrak{g}_i endowed with the restriction of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Moreover, the scalar curvature s of \mathfrak{g} satisfies

$$s = s_1 + \dots + s_r, \quad (11)$$

where s_i is the scalar curvature of \mathfrak{g}_i .

3. Left invariant Riemannian metrics with harmonic curvature on solvable Lie groups are Ricci-parallel

The proof of our main result is based on the following theorem which is a generalization of the famous theorem of Lauret [5] which shows that any solvable Einstein Euclidean Lie algebra is standard in the sense that the orthogonal of its derived ideal is abelian. Actually, the proof of Lauret's theorem is still valid if we suppose that Ric leaves $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ invariant and $\text{Ric}_{|[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]} = \text{cld}_{[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]}$ instead of $\text{Ric} = \text{cld}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. To convince the reader we copy Lauret's proof and we give the minor modifications needed to adapt to our hypothesis.

Theorem 3.1. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot], \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a solvable Lie algebra such that Ric leaves $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ invariant and there exists a constant c such that $\text{Ric}_{|[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]} = \text{cld}_{[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]}$. Then \mathfrak{g} is standard, i.e., $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]^\perp$ is abelian.

Proof. Put $\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ and $\mathfrak{a} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]^\perp$. We have

$$\text{Ric} = R - \frac{1}{2}B - S(\text{ad}_H)$$

where $S(\text{ad}_H) = \frac{1}{2}(\text{ad}_H + \text{ad}_H^*)$, $\langle H, u \rangle = \text{tr}(\text{ad}_u)$, $\langle Bu, v \rangle = \text{tr}(\text{ad}_u \circ \text{ad}_v)$ and

$$\begin{cases} \langle Ru, v \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \langle [u, e_i], e_j \rangle \langle [v, e_i], e_j \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \langle [e_i, e_j], u \rangle \langle [e_i, e_j], v \rangle, \\ \text{tr}(\text{Ric} + \frac{1}{2}B + S(\text{ad}_H))E = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \langle E([e_i, e_j]) - [E(e_i), e_j] - [e_i, E(e_j)], [e_i, e_j] \rangle, \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

for any endomorphism E of \mathfrak{g} and where (e_1, \dots, e_n) is an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g} . Since Ric leaves \mathfrak{n} invariant it leaves \mathfrak{a} invariant and for any $s \in \mathfrak{a}$,

$$\text{ric}(s, s) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \langle [s, e_i], e_j \rangle^2 - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\text{ad}_s^2) = -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\text{ad}_s \circ \text{ad}_s^*) - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\text{ad}_s^2) = -\frac{1}{4} \text{tr}((\text{ad}_s + \text{ad}_s^*)^2) \leq 0 \quad (13)$$

and hence $\text{ric}(u, u) \leq 0$ for any $u \in \mathfrak{g}$. If \mathfrak{g} is unimodular then \mathfrak{g} is standard. We suppose that \mathfrak{g} is not unimodular and we choose (u_1, \dots, u_p) and orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{n} and (v_1, \dots, v_q) and orthonormal of \mathfrak{a} .

We have $\text{tr}(\text{ad}_H) = |H|^2 > 0$, $\text{tr}(S(\text{ad}_H)\text{ad}_H) = \text{tr}(S(\text{ad}_H)^2)$ and since ad_H is a derivation, $\text{tr}(B \circ \text{ad}_H) = 0$. Moreover,

$$\text{tr}(\text{Ric} \circ \text{ad}_H) = \sum_i \langle [H, u_i], \text{Ric}(u_i) \rangle + \sum_j \langle [H, v_j], \text{Ric}(v_j) \rangle = c \sum_i \langle [H, u_i], u_i \rangle = c \text{tr}(\text{ad}_H).$$

So, by taking $E = \text{ad}_H$ in (12), we get

$$c = -\frac{\text{tr}(S(\text{ad}_H)^2)}{\text{tr}(S(\text{ad}_H))}. \quad (14)$$

We identify $\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ to \mathbb{R}^p via (u_1, \dots, u_p) and we set $\mu = [\cdot, \cdot]_{|\mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{n}}$. In this way, μ can be viewed as an element of $\mathcal{N} \subset V_p$. If $\mu \neq 0$ then μ lies in a unique stratum \mathcal{S}_β , $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$, by [5, Theorem 2.10, (i)]. The argument used in the proof of Lauret's theorem to show that one can assume $\mu \in Y_\beta^{ss} = \mathcal{S}_\beta \cap W_\beta$ can be used in our case, so we make the assumption.

We apply (12) to the endomorphism E given by $E|_{\mathfrak{a}} = 0$ and $E|_{\mathfrak{n}} = \beta + |\beta|^2 \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{n}}$. The right hand of the second equation in (12) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \langle E([u_i, u_j]) - [E(u_i), u_j] - [u_i, E(u_j)], [u_i, u_j] \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{r,s} \langle E([v_r, v_s]), [v_r, v_s] \rangle \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,r} \langle E([u_i, v_r]), [u_i, v_r] \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,i} \langle [v_r, E(u_i)], [v_r, u_i] \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which in turn equal to

$$\frac{1}{2}\langle \pi(\beta + |\beta|^2 \text{Id}_n)\mu, \mu \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{r,s} \langle (\beta + |\beta|^2 \text{Id}_n)[v_r, v_s], [v_r, v_s] \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,r} \langle (\beta \text{ad}_{v_r} - \text{ad}_{v_r} \beta)(u_i), \text{ad}_{v_r}(u_i) \rangle. \quad (15)$$

The first and second terms in (15) are ≥ 0 by [5, Lemma 2.16, Lemma 2.17] and the last one equals $\frac{1}{2}\langle [\beta, \text{ad}_{v_r}], \text{ad}_{v_r} \rangle$ which is ≥ 0 by [5, (10)].

Therefore, since $\text{Ric}_{|\mathfrak{n}} = c\text{Id}_n$ and $E_{|\mathfrak{a}} = 0$, we obtain from (12) and (14)

$$-\frac{\text{tr}(S(\text{ad}_H)^2)}{\text{tr}(S(\text{ad}_H))} \text{tr}(E) + \text{tr}(S(\text{ad}_H))E \geq 0. \quad (16)$$

But $\text{tr}(\beta) = -1$ and so

$$\text{tr}(E^2) = |\beta|^2(-1 + p|\beta|^2) = |\beta|^2\text{tr}(E). \quad (17)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\text{tr}(S(\text{ad}_H)E) = \text{tr}((\text{ad}_H)_{|\mathfrak{n}}(\beta + |\beta|^2 \text{Id}_n)) = |\beta|^2\text{tr}(S(\text{ad}_H)), \quad (18)$$

by [5, Lemma 2.15]. We use now (16), (17) and (18) to obtain

$$\text{tr}(S(\text{ad}_H)^2)\text{tr}(E^2) \leq (\text{tr}(S(\text{ad}_H)E))^2, \quad (19)$$

a “backward” Cauchy-Schwartz inequality. This turns all inequalities mentioned after (16) into equalities, in particular the second term:

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{r,s} \langle (\beta + |\beta|^2 \text{Id}_n)[v_r, v_s], [v_r, v_s] \rangle = 0.$$

We therefore get that $[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}] = 0$ since $\beta + |\beta|^2 \text{Id}_n$ is positive definite by [5, Lemma 2.17].

It only remains to consider the case $\mu = 0$. Here we argue in the same way but with E chosen as $E_{|\mathfrak{n}} = \text{Id}_n$ and $E_{|\mathfrak{a}} = 0$. It then follows from (12) that

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{r,s} |[v_r, v_s]|^2 = -\frac{\text{tr}(S(\text{ad}_H)^2)}{\text{tr}(S(\text{ad}_H))} p + \text{tr}(S(\text{ad}_H)) = \frac{\text{tr}(S(\text{ad}_H)^2)}{\text{tr}(S(\text{ad}_H))} \left(\frac{(\text{tr}(S(\text{ad}_H)))^2}{\text{tr}(S(\text{ad}_H)^2)} - p \right) \leq 0$$

and thus $[\mathfrak{n}^\perp, \mathfrak{n}^\perp] = 0$. This concludes the proof of the theorem. \square

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Euclidean Lie algebra with harmonic curvature. According to Theorem 2.1, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r$, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, \mathfrak{g}_i is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and the equations (6) and (7) hold. For any $u \in \mathfrak{g}$, we denote by u_i its component in \mathfrak{g}_i . For any $i = 1, \dots, r$, we consider

$$\mathfrak{p}_i = \sum_{j \neq i, k \neq i, j \neq k} [\mathfrak{g}_j, \mathfrak{g}_k]_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{h}_i = \mathfrak{p}_i^\perp \cap \mathfrak{g}_i. \quad (20)$$

Proposition 3.1. \mathfrak{h}_i is a subalgebra which contains $[\mathfrak{r}_i, \mathfrak{r}_i]$ where \mathfrak{r}_i is the radical of \mathfrak{g}_i . Moreover, $[[\mathfrak{r}_i, \mathfrak{r}_i], \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_i$ and for any $u \in \mathfrak{h}_i$ and any $v \in \mathfrak{g}_i$

$$\text{ric}_i(u, v) = \lambda_i \langle u, v \rangle.$$

Proof. By virtue of (7), $u \in \mathfrak{h}_i$ if and only if for any $j \neq i$, $[u, \mathfrak{g}_j] \subset \mathfrak{g}_i \oplus \mathfrak{g}_j$. So if $u, v \in \mathfrak{h}_i$ and $w \in \mathfrak{g}_j$ with $j \neq i$ then

$$\begin{aligned} [[u, v], w] &= [u, [v, w]] - [v, [u, w]] \\ &= [u, [v, w]_i] + [u, [v, w]_j] - [v, [u, w]_i] - [v, [u, w]_j] \subset \mathfrak{g}_i \oplus \mathfrak{g}_j, \end{aligned}$$

and hence \mathfrak{h}_i is a subalgebra.

Let \mathfrak{r}_i be the radical of \mathfrak{g}_i and ρ_i the representation of \mathfrak{g}_i given by (9). Then $\rho_i(\mathfrak{r}_i)$ is a solvable subalgebra of $\text{so}(\mathfrak{g}_i^\perp)$ and hence it is abelian. So $\rho_i([\mathfrak{r}_i, \mathfrak{r}_i]) = 0$ and hence, for any $X \in [\mathfrak{r}_i, \mathfrak{r}_i]$, and any $j \neq i$, $[X, \mathfrak{g}_j] \subset \mathfrak{g}_i$ which shows that $[\mathfrak{r}_i, \mathfrak{r}_i] \subset \mathfrak{h}_i$ and $[[\mathfrak{r}_i, \mathfrak{r}_i], \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_i$. The last assertion is a consequence of (10). \square

We can prove now our main theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r$ be a Euclidean Lie algebra with harmonic curvature. If \mathfrak{g}_i is solvable for any $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ then \mathfrak{g} is Ricci-parallel.

Proof. We will show that for any $\{i, j, k\}$ which are mutually different, $\langle \mathfrak{g}_i, [\mathfrak{g}_j, \mathfrak{g}_k] \rangle = 0$. This is equivalent to $\mathfrak{p}_i = \{0\}$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, where \mathfrak{p}_i is given by (20).

Suppose that $\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_r$. From (10), we deduce that for any $u \in \mathfrak{g}_r$,

$$\lambda_r |u|^2 \leq \text{ric}_r(u, u)$$

and hence $\lambda_r \dim \mathfrak{g}_r \leq s_r$, where s_r is the scalar curvature of \mathfrak{g}_r . But \mathfrak{g}_r is solvable and $s_r < 0$ or \mathfrak{g}_r is flat, thus $\lambda_r \leq 0$.

Denote by S the set of j such that \mathfrak{g}_j is not flat and let $i \in S$. Then, according to Proposition 3.1, $[[[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i], \mathfrak{g}_i]] \subset \mathfrak{g}_i$, $[[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i]] \subset \mathfrak{h}_i$ and hence for any $u \in \mathfrak{g}_i$ and $v \in [\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i]$

$$\text{ric}_i(u, v) = \lambda_i \langle u, v \rangle.$$

By virtue of Theorem 3.1, $[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i]^\perp \cap \mathfrak{g}_i$ is abelian. Write

$$\mathfrak{g}_i = [\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i] \oplus \mathfrak{u}_i \oplus \mathfrak{k}_i \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i]^\perp \cap \mathfrak{g}_i = \mathfrak{u}_i \oplus \mathfrak{k}_i,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{k}_i = \{u \in [\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i]^\perp \cap \mathfrak{g}_i, (\text{ad}_u)|_{[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i]} \text{ is skew-symmetric}\}.$$

Let us show that $\mathfrak{p}_i \subset \mathfrak{k}_i$. Indeed, for any $u_j \in \mathfrak{g}_j$, $u_k \in \mathfrak{g}_k$, and $j \neq k$ both different from i , and for any $d \in [\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i]$, by using the fact that $[[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i], \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_i$ and (6), we get

$$0 = \langle [[u_j, u_k], d], d \rangle + \langle [[u_k, d], u_j], d \rangle + \langle [[d, u_j], u_k], d \rangle = \langle [[u_j, u_k]_i, d], d \rangle.$$

On the other hand, by virtue of (10) and since $\mathfrak{p}_i \subset \mathfrak{k}_i$, $\mathfrak{u}_i \subset \mathfrak{h}_i$ and for any $u \in \mathfrak{u}_i$,

$$\text{ric}_i(u, u) = \lambda_i \langle u, u \rangle.$$

Moreover, for any $u \in \mathfrak{k}_i$, ad_u is skew-symmetric in restriction to $[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i]$. But $\mathfrak{u}_i \oplus \mathfrak{k}_i$ is abelian so ad_u is skew-symmetric in restriction to \mathfrak{g}_i and, by virtue of (13), $\text{ric}_i(u, u) = 0$. So far we have shown that

$$\text{ric}_i(u, u) = \begin{cases} \lambda_i |u|^2 & \text{if } u \in [\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i] \oplus \mathfrak{u}_i, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \in \mathfrak{k}_i \end{cases}$$

which implies that $s_i = \lambda_i(\dim \mathfrak{u}_i + \dim [\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i])$. Then the formula (11) can be written

$$s = \lambda_1 \dim \mathfrak{g}_1 + \dots + \lambda_r \dim \mathfrak{g}_r = \sum_{j \in S} \lambda_j (\dim [\mathfrak{g}_j, \mathfrak{g}_j] + \dim \mathfrak{u}_j).$$

So $\sum_{j \in S} \lambda_j \dim \mathfrak{k}_j + \sum_{j \notin S} \lambda_j \dim \mathfrak{g}_j = 0$ and, since $\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_r \leq 0$,

$$\sum_{j \in S} \lambda_j \dim \mathfrak{k}_j = \sum_{j \notin S} \lambda_j \dim \mathfrak{g}_j = 0.$$

If $\lambda_r < 0$ then for any j , \mathfrak{g}_j is not flat and $\mathfrak{k}_j = \{0\}$ which implies $\mathfrak{p}_j = \{0\}$ and the result follows. If $\lambda_r = 0$ then for any $j \leq r-1$, \mathfrak{g}_j is not flat and $\mathfrak{k}_j = \mathfrak{p}_j = \{0\}$. We will have also $\mathfrak{p}_r = \{0\}$ by virtue of (7) which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a solvable Euclidean Lie algebra with harmonic curvature. Then \mathfrak{g} is Ricci-parallel.

Corollary 3.2. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r$ be a Euclidean Lie algebra with harmonic curvature and not Ricci-parallel. Then there exists i such that $\dim \mathfrak{g}_i \geq 3$.

Corollary 3.3. Let G/K be a homogeneous Riemannian manifold with harmonic curvature and K is a maximal compact subgroup of G . Then G/K is Ricci-parallel.

Proof. Since K is a maximal compact subgroup of G , there exists a solvable subgroup of G which acts simply transitively on G/K and hence G/K is isometric to a solvable Lie group with a left invariant metric. \square

4. Left invariant Riemannian metrics with harmonic curvature on Lie groups of dimension ≤ 6 are Ricci-parallel

In this section, we prove that any left invariant Riemannian metric with harmonic curvature on a Lie group of dimension ≤ 6 is Ricci-parallel. Through-out this section $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r$ is a Euclidean Lie algebra with harmonic curvature and we will not make any assumption on the order of the eigenvalues unless it is mentioned.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3$ be a Euclidean Lie algebra with harmonic curvature and $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 = \dim \mathfrak{g}_2 = 1$. Then \mathfrak{g} is Ricci-parallel.

Proof. Put $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathbb{R}u_1$, $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathbb{R}u_2$ with $|u_1| = |u_2| = 1$. By virtue of (6), $\omega = [u_1, u_2] \in \mathfrak{g}_3$ and \mathfrak{g} is Ricci-parallel if and only if $\omega = 0$. Suppose that $\omega \neq 0$. As consequence of Proposition 3.1, we get $\mathfrak{g}_3 = \mathfrak{h}_3 \oplus \mathbb{R}\omega$ and for any $u \in \mathfrak{h}_3$, $\text{ric}_3(u, u) = \lambda_3 \langle u, u \rangle$ and $\text{ric}_3(u, \omega) = 0$.

Denote by $A_i : \mathfrak{g}_3 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_3$, $v \mapsto [u_i, v]_3$. Both A_1 and A_2 are skew-symmetric. By virtue of (6), for any $v \in \mathfrak{g}_3$, $[u_2, v]_2 = [u_1, v]_1 = 0$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} [\omega, v] &= [u_1, [u_2, v]] - [u_2, [u_1, v]] \\ &= [u_1, A_2(v)] - [u_2, A_1(v)] \\ &= [A_1, A_2](v) + \langle [u_1, A_2(v)], u_2 \rangle u_2 - \langle [u_2, A_1(v)], u_1 \rangle u_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(\text{ad}_\omega)|_{\mathfrak{g}_3} = [A_1, A_2] \quad \text{and} \quad A_1(\omega) = A_2(\omega) = 0.$$

On the other hand, for any $v, w \in \mathfrak{g}_3$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle [u_1, [v, w]], u_2 \rangle + \langle [v, [w, u_1]], u_2 \rangle + \langle [w, [u_1, v]], u_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle [u_1, [v, w]], u_2 \rangle + \langle [v, [w, u_1]_2], u_2 \rangle + \langle [w, [u_1, v]_2], u_2 \rangle \\ &\stackrel{(6),(7)}{=} -\frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)^2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} \langle [v, w], [u_1, u_2] \rangle - \langle [w, u_1]_2, [v, u_2] \rangle - \langle [u_1, v]_2, [w, u_2] \rangle \\ &= -\frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)^2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} \langle [v, w], [u_1, u_2] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

since $[w, u_2]_2 = [v, u_2]_2 = 0$. Thus $\omega \in [\mathfrak{g}_3, \mathfrak{g}_3]^\perp \cap \mathfrak{g}_3$. In conclusion, $(\text{ad}_\omega)|_{\mathfrak{g}_3}$ is skew-symmetric and $\omega \in [\mathfrak{g}_3, \mathfrak{g}_3]^\perp \cap \mathfrak{g}_3$ and hence, by virtue of (13), $\text{ric}_3(\omega, \omega) = 0$ and hence $\mathfrak{s}_3 = \lambda_3(\dim \mathfrak{g}_3 - 1)$. By virtue of (11),

$$\mathfrak{s} = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \dim \mathfrak{g}_3 = \mathfrak{s}_1 + \mathfrak{s}_2 + \mathfrak{s}_3 = \lambda_3(\dim \mathfrak{g}_3 - 1)$$

and hence

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0. \tag{21}$$

Let (V_1, \dots, V_p) and orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g}_3 . By using (10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= \text{ric}(u_1, u_1) = \text{ric}_1(u_1, u_1) - 2 \frac{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)}{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)^2} \sum_i \langle [u_2, V_i], u_1 \rangle^2 \\ &\stackrel{(7)}{=} -2 \frac{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)^4}{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)^2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^4} \sum_i \langle V_i, \omega \rangle^2 \\ &= 2 \frac{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)^2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^3} |\omega|^2, \\ \lambda_2 &= \text{ric}(u_2, u_2) = \text{ric}_2(u_2, u_2) - 2 \frac{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)}{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)^2} \sum_i \langle [u_1, V_i], u_2 \rangle^2 \\ &\stackrel{(7)}{=} -2 \frac{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)^4}{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)^2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^4} \sum_i \langle V_i, \omega \rangle^2 \\ &= -2 \frac{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)^2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^3} |\omega|^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_3|\omega|^2 &= \text{ric}_3(\omega, \omega) - 2\frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} \langle [u_1, u_2], \omega \rangle^2 \\ &= -2\frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} |\omega|^4.\end{aligned}$$

Put $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_i - \lambda_j$. So we must have

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0, \lambda_1 = -2\frac{\lambda_{23}^2 \lambda_{13}}{\lambda_{12}^3} |\omega|^2, \lambda_2 = 2\frac{\lambda_{13}^2 \lambda_{23}}{\lambda_{12}^3} |\omega|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_3 = -2\frac{\lambda_{13} \lambda_{23}}{\lambda_{12}^2} |\omega|^2.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_{12} - \lambda_{23} \lambda_3 = \lambda_2 \lambda_{12} + \lambda_3 \lambda_{13} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_3 = -2\frac{\lambda_{13} \lambda_{23}}{\lambda_{12}^2} |\omega|^2.$$

This implies

$$\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad -\lambda_2^2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \lambda_2 = 0.$$

Thus $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = 0$ which is impossible. So $\omega = 0$ which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 4.1. Any left invariant Riemannian metric with harmonic curvature on a Lie group G of dimension ≤ 5 is Ricci-parallel.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G . If the metric is non Ricci-parallel then Ric must have at least three distinct eigenvalues and at least one eigenvalue with multiplicity greater than 3 (See Corollary 3.2). This is not possible in dimensions 3 and 4. In dimension 5, the only possibility is $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3$ where $\dim \mathfrak{g}_3 = 3$ and $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 = \dim \mathfrak{g}_2 = 1$. This is not possible by virtue of Theorem 4.1. \square

Let \mathfrak{g} be a 6-dimensional Euclidean Lie algebra with harmonic curvature and suppose that \mathfrak{g} is not Ricci-parallel. By virtue of Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 4.1, we have two possibilities:

1. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3$ with $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 = 1$, $\dim \mathfrak{g}_2 = 2$ and \mathfrak{g}_3 is isomorphic either to $\text{su}(2)$ or $\text{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$.
2. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_4$ with $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 = \dim \mathfrak{g}_2 = \dim \mathfrak{g}_3 = 1$ and \mathfrak{g}_4 is isomorphic either to $\text{su}(2)$ or $\text{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

We will see that both these cases are impossible.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3$ be a Euclidean Lie algebra with harmonic curvature such that $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 = 1$, $\dim \mathfrak{g}_2 = 2$ and $\dim \mathfrak{g}_3 = 3$. Then \mathfrak{g} is Ricci-parallel.

Proof. We choose an orthonormal family (X_1, X_2, X_3) such that $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathbb{R}X_1$, $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \text{span}\{X_2, X_3\}$ and $[X_2, X_3] = aX_2$. The relation (6) has many consequences. There exists $p \in \mathfrak{g}_3$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, for any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_3$,

$$[X_1, X_2]_2 = rX_3 \quad \text{and} \quad [X_1, X_3]_2 = -rX_2, \quad [Y, X_2]_2 = \langle p, Y \rangle X_3 \quad \text{and} \quad [Y, X_3]_2 = -\langle p, Y \rangle X_2.$$

Moreover, if we put $\omega_{1j} = [X_1, X_j]_3 \in \mathfrak{g}_3$ for $j = 2, 3$ and for $i = 1, 2, 3$, $\rho_i : \mathfrak{g}_3 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_3$, $Y \mapsto [X_i, Y]_3$, the ρ_i are skew-symmetric. Finally, for any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, $[X_1, Y]_1 = 0$.

Now, for any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_3$ and for $j = 2, 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}[[X_1, X_j], Y] &= [\omega_{1j}, Y] + [[X_1, X_j]_2, Y] \\ &= [X_1, [X_j, Y]] - [X_j, [X_1, Y]] \\ &= [X_1, \rho_j(Y)] + [X_1, [X_j, Y]_2] - [X_j, \rho_1(Y)] - [X_j, [X_1, Y]_2] \\ &= \rho_1 \circ \rho_j(Y) + [X_1, \rho_j(Y)]_2 + [X_1, [X_j, Y]_2] - \rho_j \circ \rho_1(Y) \\ &\quad - [X_j, \rho_1(Y)]_1 - [X_j, \rho_1(Y)]_2 - [X_j, [X_1, Y]_2].\end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$(\text{ad}_{\omega_{12}})|_{\mathfrak{g}_3} = [\rho_1, \rho_2] - r\rho_3 - \langle p, \bullet \rangle \omega_{13}, \quad (\text{ad}_{\omega_{13}})|_{\mathfrak{g}_3} = [\rho_1, \rho_3] + r\rho_2 + \langle p, \bullet \rangle \omega_{12},$$

and, for any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_3$,

$$[[X_1, X_j]_2, Y]_1 + [[X_1, X_j]_2, Y]_2 = [X_1, \rho_j(Y)]_2 + [X_1, [X_j, Y]_2]_2 - [X_j, \rho_i(Y)]_2 \\ - [X_j, \rho_1(Y)]_1 - [X_j, [X_1, Y]_2].$$

So

$$\begin{cases} r\langle [X_3, Y], X_1 \rangle + \langle [X_2, \rho_1(Y)], X_1 \rangle = 0, \\ r\langle p, Y \rangle X_2 = [X_1, \rho_2(Y)]_2 + r\langle p, Y \rangle X_2 + \langle p, \rho_1(Y) \rangle X_3 - \langle [X_1, Y]_2, X_3 \rangle [X_2, X_3], \\ -r\langle [X_2, Y], X_1 \rangle + \langle [X_3, \rho_1(Y)], X_1 \rangle = 0, \\ r\langle p, Y \rangle X_3 = [X_1, \rho_3(Y)]_2 + r\langle p, Y \rangle X_3 - \langle p, \rho_1(Y) \rangle X_2 - \langle [X_1, Y]_2, X_2 \rangle [X_3, X_2]. \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\begin{cases} \rho_1(\omega_{12}) = r\omega_{13} \text{ and } \rho_1(\omega_{13}) = -r\omega_{12}, \\ 0 = [X_1, \rho_2(Y)]_2 + \langle p, \rho_1(Y) \rangle X_3 - \langle [X_1, Y]_2, X_3 \rangle [X_2, X_3], \\ 0 = [X_1, \rho_3(Y)]_2 - \langle p, \rho_1(Y) \rangle X_2 - \langle [X_1, Y]_2, X_2 \rangle [X_3, X_2], \end{cases}$$

and finally,

$$\begin{cases} (\text{ad}_{\omega_{12}})|_{\mathfrak{g}_3} = [\rho_1, \rho_2] - r\rho_3 - \langle p, \bullet \rangle \omega_{13}, \\ (\text{ad}_{\omega_{13}})|_{\mathfrak{g}_3} = [\rho_1, \rho_3] + r\rho_2 + \langle p, \bullet \rangle \omega_{12}, \\ \rho_1(\omega_{12}) = r\omega_{13} \text{ and } \rho_1(\omega_{13}) = -r\omega_{12}, \\ 0 = [X_1, \rho_2(Y)]_2 + \langle p, \rho_1(Y) \rangle X_3 - \langle [X_1, Y]_2, X_3 \rangle [X_2, X_3], \\ 0 = [X_1, \rho_3(Y)]_2 - \langle p, \rho_1(Y) \rangle X_2 - \langle [X_1, Y]_2, X_2 \rangle [X_3, X_2]. \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} [[X_1, X_2], X_3] &= [\omega_{12}, X_3] = -\rho_3(\omega_{12}) - \langle p, \omega_{12} \rangle X_2 + \langle [\omega_{12}, X_3], X_1 \rangle X_1, \\ &= [X_1, [X_2, X_3]] - [X_2, [X_1, X_3]] = a[X_1, X_2] - [X_2, \omega_{13}], \\ [[X_1, X_3], X_2] &= [\omega_{13}, X_2] = -\rho_2(\omega_{13}) + \langle p, \omega_{13} \rangle X_3 + \langle [\omega_{13}, X_2], X_1 \rangle X_1, \\ &= [X_1, [X_3, X_2]] - [X_3, [X_1, X_2]] = -a[X_1, X_2] - [X_3, \omega_{12}]. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} -\rho_3(\omega_{12}) - \langle p, \omega_{12} \rangle X_2 + \langle [\omega_{12}, X_3], X_1 \rangle X_1 &= a\omega_{12} + arX_3 - \rho_2(\omega_{13}) + \langle p, \omega_{13} \rangle X_3 \\ &\quad - \langle [X_2, \omega_{13}], X_1 \rangle X_1, \\ -\rho_2(\omega_{13}) + \langle p, \omega_{13} \rangle X_3 + \langle [\omega_{13}, X_2], X_1 \rangle X_1 &= -a\omega_{12} - arX_3 - \rho_3(\omega_{12}) - \langle p, \omega_{12} \rangle X_2 \\ &\quad - \langle [X_3, \omega_{12}], X_1 \rangle X_1, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{cases} \langle p, \omega_{12} \rangle = \langle p, \omega_{13} \rangle = 0, \quad ar = -\langle p, \omega_{13} \rangle = -\langle p, \omega_{12} \rangle, \\ -\rho_3(\omega_{12}) = a\omega_{12} - \rho_2(\omega_{13}), \quad -\rho_2(\omega_{13}) = -a\omega_{12} - \rho_3(\omega_{12}). \end{cases}$$

Suppose that \mathfrak{g} is not Ricci-parallel then $\dim \text{span}\{\omega_{12}, \omega_{13}\} \geq 1$ and, according to Theorem 3.2, \mathfrak{g}_3 is isomorphic either to $\text{su}(2)$ or $\text{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Suppose that $\dim \text{span}\{\omega_{12}, \omega_{13}\} = 1$. Then there exists $(\mu_1, \mu_2) \neq (0, 0)$ such that $\mu_1\omega_{12} + \mu_2\omega_{13} = 0$. The vector $X = \mu_2\omega_{12} - \mu_1\omega_{13} \neq 0$ and, by virtue of (22), ad_X is skew-symmetric. The orthogonal of X is the subalgebra \mathfrak{h}_3 defined in (20) and since ad_X is skew-symmetric it leaves invariant \mathfrak{h}_3 and hence it is an ideal which is not possible since \mathfrak{g}_3 is simple.

Suppose now that $\dim \text{span}\{\omega_{12}, \omega_{13}\} = 2$. We have

$$ar = \langle p, \omega_{12} \rangle = \langle p, \omega_{13} \rangle = 0.$$

If $r = 0$ then we get from (22) that $\rho_1(\omega_{12}) = \rho_1(\omega_{13}) = 0$ and hence $\rho_1 = 0$ and then $[\omega_{12}, \omega_{13}] = 0$ which is impossible since \mathfrak{g}_3 does not contain an abelian subalgebra.

If $a = 0$ and $r \neq 0$. From (22), we have for any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_3$,

$$[X_1, \rho_2(Y)]_2 + \langle p, \rho_1(Y) \rangle X_3 = [X_1, \rho_3(Y)]_2 - \langle p, \rho_1(Y) \rangle X_2 = 0.$$

But $p \in \{\omega_{12}, \omega_{13}\}^\perp$ and hence $\rho_1(p) = 0$. So

$$\langle [X_1, \rho_2(Y)]_2, X_2 \rangle = \langle [X_1, \rho_3(Y)]_2, X_3 \rangle = \langle [X_1, \rho_2(Y)]_2, X_3 \rangle = \langle [X_1, \rho_3(Y)]_2, X_2 \rangle = 0.$$

By using (7), we get that $\rho_2 = \rho_3 = 0$. This implies that $[\omega_{12}, \omega_{13}] = 0$ which is impossible. \square

Proposition 4.1. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_4$ a Euclidean Lie algebra with harmonic curvature such that $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 = \dim \mathfrak{g}_2 = \dim \mathfrak{g}_3 = 1$, $\dim \mathfrak{g}_4 = 3$ and $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3$. If \mathfrak{g} is not Ricci-parallel then there exists an orthonormal basis (e_1, \dots, e_6) of \mathfrak{g} with $e_i \in \mathfrak{g}_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and (e_4, e_5, e_6) is a basis of \mathfrak{g}_4 such that the non vanishing Lie brackets are

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} [e_4, e_5] = ae_6, [e_4, e_6] = be_5 \text{ and } [e_5, e_6] = ce_4, \\ [e_1, e_2] = \mu_1 e_4 + \frac{\lambda_{12}^2}{\lambda_{23}^2} re_3, [e_1, e_3] = \mu_2 e_5 - \frac{\lambda_{13}^2}{\lambda_{23}^2} re_2, [e_2, e_3] = \mu_3 e_6 + re_1, \\ [e_1, e_4] = \alpha_1 e_5 - \frac{\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{12}^2} \mu_1 e_2, [e_1, e_5] = -\alpha_1 e_4 - \frac{\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} \mu_2 e_3, \\ [e_2, e_4] = \alpha_2 e_6 + \frac{\lambda_{24}^2}{\lambda_{12}^2} \mu_1 e_1, [e_2, e_6] = -\alpha_2 e_4 - \frac{\lambda_{24}^2}{\lambda_{23}^2} \mu_3 e_3, \\ [e_3, e_5] = \alpha_3 e_6 + \frac{\lambda_{34}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} \mu_2 e_1, [e_3, e_6] = -\alpha_3 e_5 + \frac{\lambda_{34}^2}{\lambda_{23}^2} \mu_3 e_2, \end{array} \right. \quad (23)$$

where $a \neq 0, b \neq 0, c \neq 0, \mu_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, r, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_i - \lambda_j$.

Proof. For $i = 1, 2, 3$, put $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathbb{R}X_i$ with $|X_i| = 1$ and $\omega_{ij} = [X_i, X_j]_4$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$. By virtue of (6), we have

$$[X_1, X_2] = r_3 X_3 + \omega_{12}, [X_1, X_3] = r_2 X_2 + \omega_{13} \text{ and } [X_2, X_3] = r_1 X_1 + \omega_{23}.$$

For any $X \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3$, denote by $\rho(X) : \mathfrak{g}_4 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_4$, $Y \mapsto [X, Y]_4$ and for $i = 1, 2, 3$ $\rho_i = \rho(X_i)$. For $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_4$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} [[X_i, X_j], Y] &= [\omega_{ij}, Y] + \sum_{l \neq 4} [[X_i, X_j]_l, Y], \\ &= [X_i, [X_j, Y]] - [X_j, [X_i, Y]] \\ &= [X_i, \rho_j(Y)] + \sum_{l \neq 4} [X_i, [X_j, Y]_l] - [X_j, \rho_i(Y)] - \sum_{l \neq 4} [X_j, [X_i, Y]_l]. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$[\omega_{ij}, Y] + \sum_{l \neq 4} [[X_i, X_j]_l, Y] = [X_i, \rho_j(Y)] - [X_j, \rho_i(Y)] + \sum_{l \neq 4} ([X_i, [X_j, Y]_l] - [X_j, [X_i, Y]_l]). \quad (24)$$

By taking the \mathfrak{g}_4 -component, we get

$$(\text{ad}_{\omega_{ij}})_{|\mathfrak{g}_4}(Y) = [\rho_i, \rho_j](Y) - \sum_{l \neq 4} \rho([X_i, X_j]_l)(Y) + \sum_{l \neq 4} ([X_i, [X_j, Y]_l]_4 - [X_j, [X_i, Y]_l]_4).$$

Define $F_{ij} : \mathfrak{g}_4 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_4$ by

$$F_{ij}(Y) = [X_i, [X_j, Y]_j]_4 - [X_j, [X_i, Y]_i]_4 + \sum_{l \neq 4, l \neq i, l \neq j} ([X_i, [X_j, Y]_l]_4 - [X_j, [X_i, Y]_l]_4).$$

So we get

$$(\text{ad}_{\omega_{ij}})_{|\mathfrak{g}_4} = [\rho_i, \rho_j] - \sum_{l \neq 4} \rho([X_i, X_j]_l) + F_{ij}.$$

Having (6) and (7) in mind, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{12}(Y) &= [X_1, [X_2, Y]_3]_4 - [X_2, [X_1, Y]_3]_4 = \langle [X_2, Y], X_3 \rangle \omega_{13} - \langle [X_1, Y], X_3 \rangle \omega_{23} \\ &= -\frac{\lambda_{24}^2}{\lambda_{23}^2} \langle Y, \omega_{23} \rangle \omega_{13} + \frac{\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} \langle Y, \omega_{13} \rangle \omega_{23}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{13}(Y) &= [X_1, [X_3, Y]_2]_4 - [X_3, [X_1, Y]_2]_4 = \langle [X_3, Y], X_2 \rangle \omega_{12} + \langle [X_1, Y], X_2 \rangle \omega_{23} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_{34}^2}{\lambda_{23}^2} \langle Y, \omega_{23} \rangle \omega_{12} - \frac{\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{12}^2} \langle Y, \omega_{12} \rangle \omega_{23}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{23}(Y) &= [X_2, [X_3, Y]_1]_4 - [X_3, [X_2, Y]_1]_4 = -\langle [X_3, Y], X_1 \rangle \omega_{12} + \langle [X_2, Y], X_1 \rangle \omega_{13} \\ &= -\frac{\lambda_{34}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} \langle Y, \omega_{13} \rangle \omega_{12} + \frac{\lambda_{24}^2}{\lambda_{12}^2} \langle Y, \omega_{12} \rangle \omega_{13}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\text{ad}_{\omega_{12}})|_{\mathfrak{g}_4} = [\rho_1, \rho_2] - r_3 \rho_3 - \frac{\lambda_{24}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} \langle \bullet, \omega_{23} \rangle \omega_{13} + \frac{\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} \langle \bullet, \omega_{13} \rangle \omega_{23}, \\ (\text{ad}_{\omega_{13}})|_{\mathfrak{g}_4} = [\rho_1, \rho_3] - r_2 \rho_2 + \frac{\lambda_{34}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} \langle \bullet, \omega_{23} \rangle \omega_{12} - \frac{\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{12}^2} \langle \bullet, \omega_{12} \rangle \omega_{23}, \\ (\text{ad}_{\omega_{23}})|_{\mathfrak{g}_4} = [\rho_2, \rho_3] - r_1 \rho_1 - \frac{\lambda_{34}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} \langle \bullet, \omega_{13} \rangle \omega_{12} + \frac{\lambda_{24}^2}{\lambda_{12}^2} \langle \bullet, \omega_{12} \rangle \omega_{13}. \end{array} \right. \quad (25)$$

Now, for $(i, j) = (1, 2)$ we identify the \mathfrak{g}_k -component of (24) for $k = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} r_3 \langle [X_3, Y], X_1 \rangle = -\langle [X_2, \rho_1(Y)], X_1 \rangle - \langle [X_2, [X_1, Y]_3], X_1 \rangle, \\ r_3 \langle [X_3, Y], X_2 \rangle = \langle [X_1, \rho_2(Y)], X_2 \rangle + \langle [X_1, [X_2, Y]_3], X_2 \rangle, \\ 0 = \langle [X_1, \rho_2(Y)], X_3 \rangle - \langle [X_2, \rho_1(Y)], X_3 \rangle. \end{array} \right. \quad (26)$$

We do the same for $(i, j) = (1, 3)$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} r_2 \langle [X_2, Y], X_1 \rangle = -\langle [X_3, \rho_1(Y)], X_1 \rangle - \langle [X_3, [X_1, Y]_2], X_1 \rangle, \\ 0 = \langle [X_1, \rho_3(Y)], X_2 \rangle - \langle [X_3, \rho_1(Y)], X_2 \rangle, \\ r_2 \langle [X_2, Y], X_3 \rangle = \langle [X_1, \rho_3(Y)], X_3 \rangle + \langle [X_1, [X_3, Y]_2], X_3 \rangle. \end{array} \right. \quad (27)$$

Finally, we take $(i, j) = (2, 3)$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 = \langle [X_2, \rho_3(Y)], X_1 \rangle - \langle [X_3, \rho_2(Y)], X_1 \rangle, \\ r_1 \langle [X_1, Y], X_2 \rangle = -\langle [X_3, \rho_2(Y)], X_2 \rangle - \langle [X_3, [X_2, Y]_1], X_2 \rangle, \\ r_1 \langle [X_1, Y], X_3 \rangle = \langle [X_2, \rho_3(Y)], X_3 \rangle + \langle [X_2, [X_3, Y]_1], X_3 \rangle. \end{array} \right. \quad (28)$$

By using (7), we get that (26)-(28) are equivalent to

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} r_3 \frac{\lambda_{34}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} \langle Y, \omega_{13} \rangle = \frac{\lambda_{24}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} \langle Y, \rho_1(\omega_{12}) \rangle + r_1 \frac{\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} \langle Y, \omega_{13} \rangle, \\ r_3 \frac{\lambda_{34}^2}{\lambda_{23}^2} \langle Y, \omega_{23} \rangle = \frac{\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{12}^2} \langle Y, \rho_2(\omega_{12}) \rangle - r_2 \frac{\lambda_{24}^2}{\lambda_{23}^2} \langle Y, \omega_{23} \rangle, \\ \langle \frac{\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} \rho_2(\omega_{13}) - \frac{\lambda_{24}^2}{\lambda_{23}^2} \rho_1(\omega_{23}), Y \rangle = 0, \\ r_2 \frac{\lambda_{24}^2}{\lambda_{12}^2} \langle Y, \omega_{12} \rangle = \frac{\lambda_{34}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} \langle Y, \rho_1(\omega_{13}) \rangle - r_1 \frac{\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{12}^2} \langle Y, \omega_{12} \rangle, \\ \frac{\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{12}^2} \langle Y, \rho_3(\omega_{12}) \rangle + \frac{\lambda_{34}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} \langle Y, \rho_1(\omega_{23}) \rangle = 0, \\ -r_2 \frac{\lambda_{24}^2}{\lambda_{23}^2} \langle Y, \omega_{23} \rangle = \frac{\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} \langle Y, \rho_3(\omega_{13}) \rangle + r_3 \frac{\lambda_{24}^2}{\lambda_{23}^2} \langle Y, \omega_{23} \rangle, \\ -\frac{\lambda_{24}^2}{\lambda_{12}^2} \langle Y, \rho_3(\omega_{12}) \rangle + \frac{\lambda_{34}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} \langle Y, \rho_2(\omega_{13}) \rangle = 0, \\ -r_1 \frac{\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{12}^2} \langle Y, \omega_{12} \rangle = \frac{\lambda_{34}^2}{\lambda_{23}^2} \langle Y, \rho_2(\omega_{23}) \rangle + r_2 \frac{\lambda_{24}^2}{\lambda_{12}^2} \langle Y, \omega_{12} \rangle, \\ -r_1 \frac{\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} \langle Y, \omega_{13} \rangle = \frac{\lambda_{24}^2}{\lambda_{23}^2} \langle Y, \rho_3(\omega_{23}) \rangle - r_3 \frac{\lambda_{34}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} \langle Y, \omega_{13} \rangle. \end{array} \right.$$

These relations hold for any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_4$ and hence

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho_1(\omega_{12}) = \frac{\lambda_{12}^2}{\lambda_{24}^2} \left(r_3 \frac{\lambda_{34}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} - r_1 \frac{\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} \right) \omega_{13}, \\ \rho_1(\omega_{13}) = \frac{\lambda_{13}^2}{\lambda_{34}^2} \left(r_2 \frac{\lambda_{24}^2}{\lambda_{12}^2} + r_1 \frac{\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{12}^2} \right) \omega_{12}, \end{array} \right. \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho_2(\omega_{12}) = \frac{\lambda_{12}^2}{\lambda_{14}^2} \left(r_3 \frac{\lambda_{34}^2}{\lambda_{23}^2} + r_2 \frac{\lambda_{24}^2}{\lambda_{23}^2} \right) \omega_{23}, \\ \rho_2(\omega_{23}) = -\frac{\lambda_{23}^2}{\lambda_{34}^2} \left(r_1 \frac{\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{12}^2} + r_2 \frac{\lambda_{24}^2}{\lambda_{12}^2} \right) \omega_{12}, \end{array} \right. \quad (29)$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho_3(\omega_{13}) = -\frac{\lambda_{13}^2}{\lambda_{14}^2} \left(r_2 \frac{\lambda_{24}^2}{\lambda_{23}^2} + r_3 \frac{\lambda_{34}^2}{\lambda_{23}^2} \right) \omega_{23}, \\ \rho_3(\omega_{23}) = \frac{\lambda_{23}^2}{\lambda_{24}^2} \left(r_3 \frac{\lambda_{34}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} - r_1 \frac{\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} \right) \omega_{13}, \end{array} \right. \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda_{23}^2 \lambda_{14}^2 \rho_2(\omega_{13}) - \lambda_{13}^2 \lambda_{24}^2 \rho_1(\omega_{23}) = 0, \\ \lambda_{23}^2 \lambda_{14}^2 \rho_3(\omega_{12}) + \lambda_{12}^2 \lambda_{34}^2 \rho_1(\omega_{23}) = 0, \\ -\lambda_{13}^2 \lambda_{24}^2 \rho_3(\omega_{12}) + \lambda_{12}^2 \lambda_{34}^2 \rho_2(\omega_{13}) = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

The determinant of this system in $(\rho_1(\omega_{23}), \rho_2(\omega_{13}), \rho_3(\omega_{12}))$ in non zero and hence

$$\rho_1(\omega_{23}) = \rho_2(\omega_{13}) = \rho_3(\omega_{12}) = 0. \quad (30)$$

From (25), (29) and (30), $\text{span}\{\omega_{12}, \omega_{13}, \omega_{23}\} = \mathfrak{p}_4$ is a subalgebra invariant by ρ_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Moreover, by virtue of Proposition 20, its orthogonal \mathfrak{h}_4 is a subalgebra. On the other hand, the endomorphisms $[\rho_1, \rho_2] - r_3\rho_3$, $[\rho_1, \rho_3] - r_2\rho_2$ and $[\rho_2, \rho_3] - r_1\rho_1$ are skew-symmetric and leave invariant \mathfrak{p}_4 so they leave invariant \mathfrak{h}_4 . This implies, by virtue of (25), that \mathfrak{h}_4 is an ideal of \mathfrak{g}_4 . But, since \mathfrak{g} is not Ricci-parallel, \mathfrak{g}_4 is not solvable by virtue of Theorem 3.2 and hence it is simple. But $\mathfrak{p}_4 \neq \{0\}$ otherwise \mathfrak{g}_4 is Ricci-parallel. In conclusion $\mathfrak{h}_4 = 0$ and $\mathfrak{g}_4 = \mathfrak{p}_4$.

Let us show now that $\{\omega_{12}, \omega_{13}, \omega_{23}\}$ is orthonormal. This is true if $\rho_i \neq 0$ for some i by virtue of (29) and (30). Suppose now that $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \rho_3 = 0$. From (25), we get

$$[\omega_{12}, \omega_{13}] = -\frac{\lambda_{24}^2}{\lambda_{23}^2} \langle \omega_{13}, \omega_{23} \rangle \omega_{13} + \frac{\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} \langle \omega_{13}, \omega_{13} \rangle \omega_{23} = -\frac{\lambda_{34}^2}{\lambda_{23}^2} \langle \omega_{12}, \omega_{23} \rangle \omega_{12} + \frac{\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{12}^2} \langle \omega_{12}, \omega_{12} \rangle \omega_{23}$$

This shows that $\langle \omega_{13}, \omega_{23} \rangle = \langle \omega_{12}, \omega_{23} \rangle = 0$ and a similar argument gives $\langle \omega_{13}, \omega_{12} \rangle = 0$.

In conclusion, we take $e_i = X_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $(\omega_{12}, \omega_{13}, \omega_{23}) = (\mu_1 e_4, \mu_2 e_5, \mu_3 e_6)$ to get the desired expression of the Lie brackets. \square

Theorem 4.3. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_4$ a Euclidean Lie algebra with harmonic curvature such that $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 = \dim \mathfrak{g}_2 = \dim \mathfrak{g}_3 = 1$, $\dim \mathfrak{g}_4 = 3$ and $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3$. Then \mathfrak{g} is Ricci-parallel.

Proof. Suppose that \mathfrak{g} is not Ricci-parallel. Then we can apply Proposition 4.1 and there exists an orthonormal basis $\mathbb{B} = (e_1, \dots, e_6)$ of \mathfrak{g} such that (23) holds. For any $u, v, w \in \mathfrak{g}$, put

$$J(u, v, w) = [u, [v, w]] + [v, [w, u]] + [w, [u, v]]$$

and denote by u_i the i -component of u in the basis \mathbb{B} . A direct computation gives

$$\begin{cases} J(e_1, e_4, e_6)_4 = -b\alpha_1 - \frac{\alpha_2\lambda_{14}^2\mu_1}{\lambda_{12}^2} - \alpha_1 c = 0, \\ J(e_1, e_5, e_6)_5 = \alpha_1 c - \frac{\alpha_3\lambda_{14}^2\mu_2}{\lambda_{13}^2} + b\alpha_1 = 0, \\ J(e_2, e_4, e_5)_4 = -a\alpha_2 + \frac{\alpha_1\lambda_{24}^2\mu_1}{\lambda_{12}^2} + \alpha_2 c = 0, \\ J(e_2, e_5, e_6)_6 = \alpha_2 c - \frac{\alpha_3\lambda_{24}^2\mu_3}{\lambda_{23}^2} - a\alpha_2 = 0, \\ J(e_3, e_4, e_5)_5 = -a\alpha_3 + \frac{\alpha_1\lambda_{34}^2\mu_2}{\lambda_{13}^2} - \alpha_3 b = 0, \\ J(e_3, e_4, e_6)_6 = \alpha_3 b + \frac{\alpha_2\lambda_{34}^2\mu_3}{\lambda_{23}^2} + a\alpha_3 = 0. \end{cases}$$

If E_i designs the i -equation in this system then $E_1 + E_2 = 0$, $E_3 - E_4 = 0$ and $E_5 + E_6 = 0$ are equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{\alpha_2\lambda_{14}^2\mu_1}{\lambda_{12}^2} - \frac{\alpha_3\lambda_{14}^2\mu_2}{\lambda_{13}^2} = 0, \\ \frac{\alpha_1\lambda_{24}^2\mu_1}{\lambda_{12}^2} + \frac{\alpha_3\lambda_{24}^2\mu_3}{\lambda_{23}^2} = 0, \\ \frac{\alpha_1\lambda_{34}^2\mu_2}{\lambda_{13}^2} + \frac{\alpha_2\lambda_{34}^2\mu_3}{\lambda_{23}^2} = 0. \end{cases}$$

This is equivalent to

$$M \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \mu_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\alpha_2\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{12}^2} & -\frac{\alpha_3\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} & 0 \\ \frac{\alpha_1\lambda_{24}^2}{\lambda_{12}^2} & 0 & \frac{\alpha_3\lambda_{24}^2}{\lambda_{23}^2} \\ 0 & \frac{\alpha_1\lambda_{34}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} & \frac{\alpha_2\lambda_{34}^2}{\lambda_{23}^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ we get

$$\det M = 2 \frac{\alpha_2\lambda_{14}^2\alpha_3\lambda_{24}^2\alpha_1\lambda_{34}^2}{\lambda_{12}^2\lambda_{23}^2\lambda_{13}^2} = 0.$$

We conclude that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{cases} J(e_1, e_2, e_5)_1 = -\frac{\mu_2 r (\lambda_{12}\lambda_{34} - \lambda_{14}\lambda_{23})(\lambda_{12}\lambda_{34} + \lambda_{14}\lambda_{23})}{\lambda_{23}^2 \lambda_{13}^2} = 0 \\ J(e_1, e_2, e_6)_2 = -\frac{\mu_3 r (\lambda_{12}\lambda_{34} - \lambda_{13}\lambda_{24})(\lambda_{12}\lambda_{34} + \lambda_{13}\lambda_{24})}{\lambda_{23}^4} = 0 \\ J(e_1, e_3, e_4)_1 = \frac{\mu_1 r (\lambda_{13}\lambda_{24} - \lambda_{14}\lambda_{23})(\lambda_{13}\lambda_{24} + \lambda_{14}\lambda_{23})}{\lambda_{12}^2 \lambda_{23}^2} = 0. \end{cases}$$

If $r \neq 0$ this is equivalent to

$$(\lambda_{12}\lambda_{34} - \lambda_{14}\lambda_{23})(\lambda_{12}\lambda_{34} + \lambda_{14}\lambda_{23}) = (\lambda_{12}\lambda_{34} - \lambda_{13}\lambda_{24})(\lambda_{12}\lambda_{34} + \lambda_{13}\lambda_{24}) = 0.$$

But

$$\begin{cases} (\lambda_{12}\lambda_{34} - \lambda_{13}\lambda_{24}) = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_4 - \lambda_1), \\ (\lambda_{12}\lambda_{34} + \lambda_{14}\lambda_{23}) = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_4), \\ (\lambda_{12}\lambda_{34} - \lambda_{14}\lambda_{23}) + (\lambda_{12}\lambda_{34} + \lambda_{13}\lambda_{24}) = 3(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_4), \end{cases}$$

which shows that this situation is impossible and hence $r = 0$.

So far we have shown that $r = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0$. Now,

$$J(e_1, e_2, e_5)_6 = \frac{\lambda_{14}^2 \mu_2 \mu_3}{\lambda_{13}^2} - \mu_1 a, \quad J(e_1, e_2, e_6)_5 = -\frac{\lambda_{24}^2 \mu_3 \mu_2}{\lambda_{23}^2} - \mu_1 b, \quad J(e_1, e_3, e_6)_4 = \frac{\lambda_{34}^2 \mu_3 \mu_1}{\lambda_{23}^2} - \mu_2 c$$

and hence

$$a = \frac{\lambda_{14}^2 \mu_2 \mu_3}{\lambda_{13}^2 \mu_1}, \quad b = -\frac{\lambda_{24}^2 \mu_3 \mu_2}{\lambda_{23}^2 \mu_1} \quad \text{and} \quad c = \frac{\lambda_{34}^2 \mu_3 \mu_1}{\lambda_{23}^2 \mu_2}.$$

With all the relations established above, the vanishing of the Jacobi identity is equivalent to

$$\lambda_{12}^2 \mu_2^2 - \lambda_{13}^2 \mu_1^2 = \lambda_{12}^2 \mu_3^2 - \lambda_{23}^2 \mu_1^2 = \lambda_{13}^2 \mu_3^2 - \lambda_{23}^2 \mu_2^2 = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\mu_2 = \frac{\lambda_{13} \mu_1}{\lambda_{12}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_3 = \frac{\lambda_{23} \mu_1}{\lambda_{12}}.$$

We replace in a, b, c and we get

$$a = \frac{\lambda_{14}^2 \lambda_{23} \mu_1}{\lambda_{12}^2 \lambda_{13}}, \quad b = -\frac{\lambda_{24}^2 \lambda_{13} \mu_1}{\lambda_{12}^2 \lambda_{23}} \quad \text{and} \quad c = \frac{\lambda_{34}^2 \mu_1}{\lambda_{23} \lambda_{13}}.$$

Now, by using Maple we get

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_{e_1}(\text{Ric})(e_2, e_4) - \nabla_{e_2}(\text{Ric})(e_1, e_4) = 4 \frac{\mu_1^3 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_4)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_4)(\lambda_1^2 + (-\lambda_2 - \lambda_4)\lambda_1 + \lambda_2^2 - \lambda_2\lambda_4 + \lambda_4^2)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^4}, \\ \nabla_{e_1}(\text{Ric})(e_3, e_5) - \nabla_{e_3}(\text{Ric})(e_1, e_5) = 4 \frac{\mu_1^3 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_4)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_4)(\lambda_1^2 + (-\lambda_3 - \lambda_4)\lambda_1 + \lambda_3^2 - \lambda_3\lambda_4 + \lambda_4^2)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^3}, \\ \nabla_{e_2}(\text{Ric})(e_3, e_6) - \nabla_{e_3}(\text{Ric})(e_2, e_6) = 4 \frac{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_4)\mu_1^3 (\lambda_2^2 + (-\lambda_3 - \lambda_4)\lambda_2 + \lambda_3^2 - \lambda_3\lambda_4 + \lambda_4^2)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_4)}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^3}. \end{cases}$$

So we must have

$$\begin{cases} q_1 := \lambda_1^2 + (-\lambda_2 - \lambda_4)\lambda_1 + \lambda_2^2 - \lambda_2\lambda_4 + \lambda_4^2 = 0, \\ q_2 := \lambda_1^2 + (-\lambda_3 - \lambda_4)\lambda_1 + \lambda_3^2 - \lambda_3\lambda_4 + \lambda_4^2 = 0, \\ q_3 := \lambda_2^2 + (-\lambda_3 - \lambda_4)\lambda_2 + \lambda_3^2 - \lambda_3\lambda_4 + \lambda_4^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

This is equivalent

$$\begin{cases} q_1 - q_2 = -(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(-\lambda_2 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_4 - \lambda_3) = 0, \\ q_1 - q_3 = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_4 + \lambda_3) = 0, \\ q_3 = 0 \end{cases}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} p_1 = (-\lambda_2 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_4 - \lambda_3) = 0, \\ p_2 = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_4 + \lambda_3) = 0, \\ q_3 = 0. \end{cases}$$

This implies that $p_1 + p_2 = 2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) = 0$ which is impossible. This completes the proof. \square

Recall that a left-invariant symmetric tensor field A on \mathfrak{g} is called essential Codazzi if it satisfies the Codazzi equation, it is non parallel and none of its eigenspace subalgebras \mathfrak{g}_k is an ideal. The proof of the precedent result gives the following:

Proposition 4.2. *We consider the 6-dimensional Euclidean Lie algebra with an orthonormal basis (e_1, \dots, e_6) in which the non-vanishing Lie brackets are given by*

$$\begin{cases} [e_1, e_2] = \mu_1 e_4, [e_1, e_3] = \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)\mu_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} e_5, [e_1, e_4] = -\frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_4)^2\mu_1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} e_2, [e_1, e_5] = -\frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_4)^2\mu_1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} e_3, \\ [e_2, e_3] = \frac{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)\mu_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} e_6, [e_2, e_4] = \frac{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_4)^2\mu_1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} e_1, [e_2, e_6] = -\frac{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_4)^2\mu_1}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} e_3, [e_3, e_5] = \frac{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_4)^2\mu_1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} e_1, \\ [e_3, e_6] = \frac{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_4)^2\mu_1}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} e_2, [e_4, e_5] = \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_4)^2\mu_1(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} e_6, [e_4, e_6] = -\frac{\lambda_{24}^2\lambda_{13}\mu_1}{\lambda_{12}^2\lambda_{23}} e_5, [e_5, e_6] = \frac{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_4)^2\mu_1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)} e_4. \end{cases}$$

Then the operator $A = \text{Diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_4, \lambda_4)$ is a symmetric essential Codazzi tensor. This Lie algebra is compact (its Killing form is negative definite) and hence it is isomorphic to $\text{su}(2) \oplus \text{su}(2)$.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the referee for having read the paper carefully.

References

- [1] J.E. d'Atri, Codazzi tensors and harmonic curvature for left invariant metrics, *Geom. Dedic.* 19 (1985) 229–236.
- [2] A. Derdzinski, Classification of certain compact Riemannian manifolds with harmonic curvature and non-parallel Ricci tensor, *Math. Z.* 172 (1980) 273–280.
- [3] A. Derdzinski, On compact Riemannian manifolds with harmonic curvature, *Math. Ann.* 259 (1982) 145–152.
- [4] A. Gray, Einstein-like manifolds which are not Einstein, *Geom. Dedic.* 7 (1978) 259–280.
- [5] J. Lauret, Einstein solvmanifolds are standard, *Ann. Math.* 172 (2010) 1859–1877.
- [6] A. Lichnerowicz, Ondes et radiations électromagnétiques et gravitationnelles en relativité générale, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 50 (1960) 1–95.
- [7] ChiaKuei Peng, Chao Qian, Homogeneous Einstein-like metrics on spheres and projective spaces, *Differ. Geom. Appl.* 44 (February 2016) 63–76.
- [8] Fabio Podesta, Andrea Spiro, Four-dimensional Einstein-like manifolds and curvature homogeneity, *Geom. Dedic.* 54 (1995) 225–243.
- [9] Hitoshi Takagi, Conformally flat Riemannian manifolds admitting a transitive group of isometries, *Tohoku Math. J.* 27 (1975) 103–110.