

# Recitation 9

TA Hanxiong Chen  
hc691@rutgers.edu

# Running Queue and Waiting Queue (joining queue)

See blackboard

# Synchronization

## Problem

- Threads may **share data**
- Data consistency must be maintained

## Example

Suppose a thread wants to withdraw \$5 from a bank account and another thread wants to deposit \$10 to the same account

What should the balance be after the two transactions have been completed?

What might happen instead if the two transactions were executed concurrently?

# Synchronization (cont'd)

The balance might be  $CB - 5$

Thread 1 reads CB (current balance)

Thread 2 reads CB

Thread 2 computes  $CB + 10$  and saves new balance

Thread 1 computes  $CB - 5$  and saves new balance

The balance might be  $CB + 10$

How?

Synchronization matters when we are doing operations on **shared data**

# Deadlock

A deadlock situation can arise if and only if all of the following conditions hold simultaneously in a system:

- Mutual Exclusion (resources)
- Hold and Wait (threads)
- No-preemption (thread->resource)
- Circular Wait (status)

These four conditions are known as the *Coffman conditions*

# Synchronization Primitives

## Most common primitives

- Locks (mutual exclusion)
- Condition variables: introduce signal mechanism
- Semaphores
- Monitors: Locks + condition variables
- Barriers

# Lock (mutex) example

```
public class BankAccount
```

```
{
```

```
    Lock aLock = new Lock;
```

```
    int balance = 0;
```

```
    ...
```

```
public void deposit(int amount)
```

```
{
```

```
    aLock.acquire();
```

```
    balance = balance + amount;
```

```
    aLock.release();
```

```
}
```

```
public void withdraw(int amount)
```

```
{
```

```
    aLock.acquire();
```

```
    balance = balance - amount;
```

```
    aLock.release();
```

```
}
```

# Mutex (lock) vs Semaphore

A semaphore provides a couple of different things than a mutex (lock):

- Firstly, it allows a resource to be concurrently accessed by at most N threads at any time. The value of N is dependent on the application. P() operation for decrement and V() operation for increment.
- It provides a signalling mechanism wait() - signal() type of semantics. V() operation always call signal() to notify the threads who are waiting for the resources.
- sem\_wait()--P operation; sem\_post()--V operation



# Mutex (lock) vs Semaphore

## Mutex lock looks like a binary semaphore

```
class Semaphore {  
    private unsigned counter;  
    ...  
    public synchronized void P() {  
        while (counter == 0)  
            try { wait(); } catch (Exception e) {}  
        counter--;    //counter = 0  
    }  
  
    public synchronized void V() {  
        counter++;    //counter = 1  
        notify();  
    }  
}
```

# Mutex & semaphore

Mutex and binary semaphore are different!

- Threads are scheduled in a queue with mutex. (FCFS)
- Only the one acquires the lock can release the lock. (cannot lock twice)
- Any thread can do P() if we have available resources. Any thread who did P() can do V().
- Threads using semaphore are scheduled in a waiting queue and wait for a signal to be waken up. (FCFS is not necessary)
- Let's see a demo

# Demo

Write a program to print out with two threads:

\*

\*\*

\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*

T0 thread only print line 2 4 6

T1 thread only print line 1 3 5

# Conditional Variable (CV)

- A conditional variable is generally used for solving the busy waiting problems.
- Wait (c)
  - **Atomically:**
    - release the mutex  $m$ ,
    - move this thread from the "ready queue" to "wait-queue" (a.k.a. "sleep-queue") of threads, and
    - sleep this thread. (Context is synchronously yielded to another thread.)
  - Once this thread is subsequently notified/signalled and resumed, then automatically re-acquire the mutex  $m$ .
- Signal(c)
  - wake up **at most one** waiting process/thread
  - if no waiting processes, signal is lost
- Broadcast
  - Wake up all the waiting threads (no broadcast in semaphore)

# Conditional Variable VS Semaphore

- They are both using signal mechanism
- CV is mainly focusing on the condition synchronization, while semaphore is dealing with the number of available resources.
- Conditional variable has atomic operations but semaphore doesn't.
- If no thread is in waiting queue, the signal of cv will be lost. However, semaphore still needs to do V().

# Monitor [3]

- A programming language construct that supports controlled access to shared data
- Monitor is a software module that encapsulates:
  - shared data structures
  - procedures that operate on the shared data
  - synchronization between concurrent processes that invoke those procedures
- Monitor protects the data from unstructured access
  - – guarantees only access data through procedures, hence in legitimate ways

# Monitor [3]

- Mutual exclusion
  - only one process can be executing inside at any time
  - if a second process tries to enter a monitor procedure, it blocks until the first has left the monitor
    - more restrictive than semaphores!
    - but easier to use most of the time
- Once inside, a process may discover it can't continue, and may wish to sleep
  - or, allow some other waiting process to continue
  - **condition variables** provided within monitor
    - processes can wait or signal others to continue
    - condition variable can only be accessed from inside monitor

# Producer/Consumer: Monitor

Shared variables

```
cond_t not_empty, not_full;  
Int slots = 0;  
Mutex_t lock;
```

Producer

```
while(1) {  
    mutex_lock(&lock);  
    while (slots == N)  
        cond_wait (&not_full, &lock);  
    myi = get_empty (&buffer);  
    Fill (&buffer[myi]);  
    slots++;  
    cond_signal (&not_empty);  
    mutex_unlock (&lock);  
}
```

Consumer

```
while(1) {  
    mutex_lock(&lock);  
    while (slots == N)  
        cond_wait (&not_empty, &lock);  
    myj = get_empty (&buffer);  
    Use (&buffer[myj]);  
    slots--;  
    cond_signal (&not_full);  
    mutex_unlock (&lock);  
}
```



# When to use them?

Now we have learnt mutex, semaphore, condition variable, monitor. So when to use each of them?

- Only one thread can visit the critical section at one time and the visiting order is not a problem -- Mutex.
- More than one threads can visit a shared resource and you are focusing on the resource availability -- Semaphore
- Focusing on condition and want to solve busy waiting issue -- condition variable
- Want to encapsulate data and operations to keep data from being unstructured accessing -- monitor

# Reference

1. <https://inst.eecs.berkeley.edu/~cs162/fa13/hand-outs/synch.html>
2. <https://www.quora.com/What-is-the-difference-between-mutex-condition-variable-semaphore-and-monitor>
3. <http://pages.cs.wisc.edu/~swift/classes/cs537-sp09/lectures/22-monitors.pdf>
4. <https://docs.oracle.com/cd/E19455-01/806-5257/sync-31/index.html>
5. <https://jlmedina123.wordpress.com/2014/04/08/255/>
6. <http://www.cs.utexas.edu/users/witchel/372/lectures/08.Semaphore-Monitors.pdf>