O How do I block a thread (=send it to 'sleep')?

- 1. How do I wake up threads that are blocked on a condition var?
- 2. The cake is a lie... Complete the following methods using a condition variable and mutex locks. The cake integer must never be negative.

```
pthread mutex t m = PTHREAD=MUTEX INITIALIZER;
01
02
     pthread_cond_t cv = PTHREAD_COND_INITIALIZER;
03
04
     int cake = 0;
05
06
     void decrement() { // Waits if nonzero
07
80
      while(cake == 0) {
       // sleep
09
10
11
      }
      cake --;
12
13
14
     }
15
16
     void increment() {
17
       cake ++;
18
19
```

- 3. How does pthread cond wait really work?
- 1. Producer Consumer (review)

Assume buffer is an array of length 16.

```
add(value) {
    sem_wait(&sem_empty)
    buffer[ (in++) & 15 ] = value;
    sem_post(&sem_full);
    sem_post(&sem_full);
    clubrate remove() {
        sem_wait(&sem_full);
        result = buffer[ (out++) & 15 ];
        sem_post(&sem_empty);
    clubrate remove() {
        sem_wait(&sem_full);
        result = buffer[ (out++) & 15 ];
        sem_post(&sem_empty);
    clubrate remove() {
        sem_wait(&sem_full);
        remove() {
        sem_wait(&sem_full);
        result = buffer[ (out++) & 15 ];
        sem_post(&sem_empty);
    clubrate remove() {
        sem_wait(&sem_full);
        result = buffer[ (out++) & 15 ];
        sem_post(&sem_empty);
    clubrate remove() {
        sem_wait(&sem_full);
        result = buffer[ (out++) & 15 ];
        sem_post(&sem_empty);
    clubrate remove() {
        sem_wait(&sem_full);
        result = buffer[ (out++) & 15 ];
        sem_post(&sem_empty);
    clubrate remove() {
        sem_post(&sem_empty
```

- Q. What if sem\_full was only initialized to 7? Would the producer consumer still work? 32?
- Q. What is missing from the above code? When would it matter?
- Q. Could you implement a producer consumer queue using condition variables instead?
- Q. Can you make a queue of work items for threads?
- 2. Fix the following multithread code to thead safe. Additionally, remove should never allow the account to go negative i.e. it should block until there are sufficient funds.

```
pthread_mutex_t m;
pthread_cond_t cv;
int money = 100;

void init() {
    money = 100;
}

void add(int amount) {
    assert(amount>0);
    money += amount;
}

int remove(int amount)

money -= amount;
    return money;
}
```

Deadlock	
The	conditions for deadlock are:
	: "A process is currently holding at least one
resource and requ	esting additional resources which are being held by other processes."

waiting for a resource held by P <sub>2</sub> , P <sub>2</sub> is	:"There is a set of waiting processes, such that $P_1$ is waiting for a resource held by $P_3$ and so on until $P_N$ is
waiting for a resource held by P <sub>1</sub> ."	, manually is a recourse metally in game of emanticipation (i.e.,
	:"A resource can be released only voluntarily by the
process holding it, after that process h	nas completed its task"
	:"At least one resource must be held in a non-
shareable mode"	
Dining Philosopher's Problem.	
How can one break deadlock?	