## Fixed Effects versus Lagged Dependent Variables

- on the assumption of time invariant omitted variables
  - e.g. Effect of partripation on a training program on wages.
    - a) Yit= d; + BXit+ & Dit + Eit

      Individual fixed effect

Assumption:

 $E[Y_{out}|d_i, X_{it}, D_{it}] = E[Y_{out}|d_i, X_{it}].$ 

i.e., after you've controlled for a; , Xit,
there's no need to control for Dit. It
is naudonly assigned conditional on
di and Xit.

b)  $Yit = x + \theta Yith + \lambda_t + \delta D_t + \beta Xie + \delta it$ 

gnYit

People envoll in a training program when they suffer some sort of setback: "Ashenfelter Dip."

Assumption:

E[Yout | Yit-h, Xit, Dit] = E[Yoit | Yit-h, Xit]

i.e., after you've controlled for Yit-n, Xit there's no need to control for Dit.

-> What to do? Fixed Effects and Lagged Dependent Variables estimates have a bracketing property.

If (b) is correct (i.e. pre-program dip) and mistakenly use Fixed Effects other estimates of a positive treatment effect will tend to be too big.

If (a) is correct (i.e., fixed effect) and mistakenly use lagged dependent variables, then estimates of a positive treatment effect will tend to be too small.

Example:

a) suppose (a) is the correct specification, i.e., the correct thing to do is constrol for individual fixed effects, but instead, we mistakenly control for lagged dependent variables.

The true model is:

Yit = di+ & Dit+ Est

We also have:

Yit-1 = xi + &it-1

We mistakenly estimate:

Yit = d+ OYit-1 + & Dit + Eit

The resulting estimate is:

where Dit is the next dual from a regulation of Dit on Vit-1.

regress Dit = 8 Yit-1 + nit

residuals are  $\hat{D}_{it} = D_{it} - \hat{\hat{x}} \hat{y}_{it-1}$ .

Now substitute  $x_i = Y_{i+1} - E_{i+1}$  and the true model to get:

From here, me get:

$$S_2 = \frac{Cov(Yit, \tilde{D}it)}{Van(\tilde{D}it)} = S_1 - \frac{Cov(Eit-1, \tilde{D}it)}{Van(\tilde{D}it)}$$

$$\frac{\delta_2 = \delta_1 + \gamma \sigma_{\epsilon}^2}{V(\tilde{D}_{it})} \quad \text{where } \sigma_{\epsilon}^2 \text{ is the}$$

Since trainers have low  $Y_{i+1}$ , then 820 and resulting estimate,  $\delta_2$ , is too small.

b) suppose instead that b) is the correct
specification, i.e., the correct thing to do
is control for a lagged dependent variable—
treatment is determined by low Yit-1.

But we mistakenly control for admidual
fixed effects by first-differencing.

## The true model is:

Yit = x+ & Yit-1+ & Dit + 8it

We mistakenly estimate  $Y_{it} - Y_{it-1} = \alpha + f_y(D_{it} - D_{it-1}) + (\xi_{it} - \xi_{it-1})$ 

Here, the resulting estimate is:

 $\delta_{4} = \frac{\text{Cov}(Y_{it-Y_{it-1}}, D_{it-D_{it-1}})}{\text{Van}(D_{it-D_{it-1}})} = \frac{\text{Cov}(Y_{it-Y_{it-1}}, D_{it})}{\text{Van}(D_{it})}$ 

in the simple case where Dit-1=0 for everyone but  $Dit=\S0,1\S$ , that is, no one is treated in the pre-period, but some individuals are treated in the post period.

If the two model is

Yit = x + 0 Yit-1 + 53Dit + Eit, me can

subtact Vit-1 from both sides to get:

 $Yit-Yit-1 = x + \theta Yit-1 + \delta_3 Dit + \epsilon it$   $Yit-Yit-1 = x + (\theta-1) Yit-1 + \delta_3 Dit + \epsilon it.$ 

Sibstituting noto the nappropriately differenced model yields:

& = Cov (Yit - Yit-1, Dit) = 8 + (0-1)	Con (Yit-1, Dit)	
V(Dit)	Van (Dit)	

In general, 04041, otherwise  $Y_{it}$  is non-stationary, (i.e., an explosive time series process). Since trainers have low  $Y_{it-1}$  (so that  $Cov(Y_{it-1}, D_{it}) < 0$ ), then the resulting estimate,  $\delta y$ , is too big.