Exercises Computational Intelligence Lab SS 2017

Series 4, March 23-24, 2017 (Optimization)

Machine Learning Institute

Dept. of Computer Science, ETH Zürich

Prof. Dr. Thomas Hofmann

Web http://cil.inf.ethz.ch/

Problem 1 (Lagrange Dual Problem for a Linear Program):

Find the Lagrange dual problem of the following standard form Linear Program (LP)

minimize
$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$$

subject to $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ (1)
 $\mathbf{x} > 0$,

with inequality constraint functions $g_i(\mathbf{x}) = -x_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$

- 1. Form the Lagrangian $L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu})$ by introducing the Lagrange multipliers λ_i for each of the m inequality constraints and ν_i for each of the p equality constraints.
- 2. Write down the dual function $d(\lambda, \nu)$.
- 3. Find an analytical expression of the dual function $d(\lambda, \nu)$ by minimizing over \mathbf{x} . Use the fact, that a linear function is bounded below only when it is identically zero.
- 4. Write down the Lagrange dual problem to the primal problem (1). Use the dual function from above. Your objective function should again be linear.

Problem 2 (Dual Function is a Lower Bound on $f(\mathbf{x}^*)$):

Show that the dual function $d(\lambda, \nu)$, for $\lambda \geq 0$, is always a lower bound on the optimal value $f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ of the primal problem:

minimize
$$f(\mathbf{x})$$

subject to $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, \quad i = 1, ..., m$
 $h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad j = 1, ..., p$ (2)

In other words, show that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^p$ we have:

$$d(\lambda, \nu) \le f(\mathbf{x}^*)$$

Hints:

- 1. Write down the Lagrangian of problem (2).
- 2. Look at a feasible point x and check what bound this gives you on the weighted sum of the constraint functions.

Problem 3 (Convexity):

Which of the following claims are true/false?

- a) The intersection of two convex sets is convex.
- b) The set $\{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^D \mid \|\mathbf{u}\| = 1\}$ is convex.
- c) The *epigraph* of a function $f: \mathbb{R}^D \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$\{(\mathbf{x},t) \mid \mathbf{x} \in dom \, f, f(\mathbf{x}) \ge t\}$$

d) The function $f(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) := g(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^T)$ is convex over the set of vectors $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$, when $g : \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined as $g(\mathbf{X}) = X_{12} + X_{21}$.

Problem 4 (Stochastic Gradient Descent for Collaborative Filtering):

We have seen matrix completion already in Exercise 3, where we approximated a full matrix by an SVD.

In this exercise, we will apply *optimization techniques* to directly minimize the training error for the (unconstrained) matrix factorization formulation $\min_{\mathbf{U} \in Q_1, \mathbf{Z} \in Q_2} f(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{Z})$, with the objective function being the mean squared error

$$f(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{Z}) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{(d,n) \in \Omega} \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathbf{X}_{dn} - (\mathbf{U}\mathbf{Z}^T)_{dn} \right]^2$$
(3)

and $\mathbf{U} \in Q_1 := \mathbb{R}^{D \times K}$, $\mathbf{Z} \in Q_2 := \mathbb{R}^{N \times K}$.

Here $\Omega \subseteq [D] \times [N]$ is the set of the indices of the observed ratings of the input matrix X.

Environment setup:

Please use the same setup and data as in Exercise 3, as also explained on the web page for the collaborative filtering project task:

https://inclass.kaggle.com/c/cil-collab-filtering-2017.

Task: Implement Stochastic Gradient Descent

- 1. Derive the full gradient $\nabla_{(\mathbf{U},\mathbf{Z})} f(\mathbf{U},\mathbf{Z})$. Note that since we have $(D+N)\times K$ variables, the gradient here can be seen as a $(D+N)\times K$ matrix.
- 2. Derive a stochastic gradient G using the sum structure of f over the Ω elements. We want to do this in such a way that G only depends on a single observed rating $(d,n)\in\Omega$.
- 3. Implement the Stochastic Gradient Descent algorithm as described in the lecture, for our objective function given in (3).
- 4. Experimentally find the best stepsize γ to obtain the lowest training error value.
- 5. Does the test error also decrease monotonically during optimization, or does it increase again after some time?
- 6. (OPTIONAL: Can you speed up your code, by for example maintaining the set of values $(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{Z}^T)_{dn}$ for the few observed values $(d,n)\in\Omega$, and thereby avoiding the computation of the matrix multiplication $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{Z}^T$ in every step?)

Extensions: Naturally there are many ways to improve your solution. One of them is to use regularization term to avoid over-fitting. Such techniques and other extensions can be found e.g. in the following publications:

- Webb, B. (2006). Netflix Update: Try This at Home. Simon Funk's Personal Blog. http://sifter.org/~simon/journal/20061211.html
- Koren Y., Bell R., Volinsky B., "Matrix Factorization Techniques for Recommender Systems" IEEE Computer, Volume 42, Issue 8, p.30-37 (2009); http://research.yahoo.com/files/ieeecomputer.pdf
- A. Paterek, "Improving Regularized Singular Value Decomposition for Collaborative Filtering," Proc. KDD Cup and Workshop, ACM Press, 2007, pp. 39-42.