

# Evolution of Liberal Democracy

## The Evolution of Liberal Democracy: A Historical Analysis

*Anand Kumar Keshavan*

### **Abstract**

This monograph provides a comprehensive historical analysis of the evolution of liberal democracy, tracing its origins from ancient practices to its consolidation in the post-World War II era. It addresses contemporary misunderstandings surrounding the concept, particularly the term "liberal" within liberal democracy, by examining the key stages of its development. The analysis encompasses the genesis of democracy in antiquity, the medieval roots of limited government, the profound influence of the Enlightenment and the doctrine of separation of powers, the gradual expansion from limited to universal franchise, and the critical impact of the post-World War II period in solidifying the principles of rule of law and universal rights. This study aims to offer a deeply researched historical perspective for academics, policymakers, and the informed public, clarifying the core tenets of liberal democracy and its enduring significance.

### **Introduction**

The concepts of democracy and liberal democracy are frequently invoked in contemporary political discourse, yet a nuanced understanding of their historical development and core principles often remains elusive. This is particularly true for the phrase "liberal" in liberal democracy, which is sometimes erroneously contrasted with conservative political ideologies.

This monograph seeks to address this gap in understanding by providing a deeply researched historical analysis of the evolution of liberal democracy. Its purpose is to trace the lineage of this complex political system from its nascent stages in the ancient world through its medieval transformations, the intellectual ferment of the Enlightenment, the protracted struggle for universal suffrage, and its post-World War II consolidation. The scope of this study encompasses key developments across various geographical regions, highlighting the gradual emergence and refinement of core elements such as the rule of law, the separation of powers, the principle of limited government, and the recognition of universal rights. By examining these historical trajectories and the contributions of pivotal intellectual figures, this monograph aims to clarify the fundamental tenets of liberal democracy for academics, policymakers, and the informed public, thereby fostering a more informed understanding of this vital form of governance.

## **The Genesis of Democracy in Antiquity**

The origins of democratic governance can be traced back to ancient civilizations, with ancient Athens often credited as the birthplace of the first true democracy.<sup>[1]</sup>

### **Athenian Democracy: A Foundational Example**

Ancient Athens, towards the end of the Sixth Century B.C., developed a system of government that is widely considered the first true democracy.<sup>[1]</sup> This system, known as *demokratia*, reached its fullest expression in Athens, where after an initial flourishing, it followed an unsteady course for two centuries until Macedonian imperialism extinguished it in 322 B.C.<sup>[1]</sup> The first Athenian democracy was established by Cleisthenes in 507 B.C. through legislation, not violence, replacing the aristocratic oligarchy.<sup>[1]</sup> The Athenian political system before these reforms had elements of democracy but was heavily influenced by the aristocratic class.<sup>[1]</sup>

A key feature of Athenian democracy was the principle legislative body, the Assembly (Ecclesia), which consisted of all citizens who attended the assembly meetings.<sup>[1]</sup> The 5th Century B.C., known as the Age of Pericles, marked a period of significant flourishing for this radical democracy.<sup>[1]</sup> Our understanding of classical Athenian democracy is primarily derived from four sources: Thucydides' investigation of Athens's loss in the Second Peloponnesian War, Plato's disparagement of the democratic lifestyle in *The Republic*, and Aristotle's judicious weighing of democracy as one of the varieties of regimes.<sup>[1]</sup>

Despite its groundbreaking nature, Athenian democracy had significant limitations. Political participation was restricted to free, male Athenian citizens. Initially, this required an Athenian father and a free mother, but by the mid-5th century B.C., the law changed to require both Athenian parents for citizenship.<sup>[2]</sup> A substantial portion of the population was excluded from political life, including non-Athenian enslaved people, "resident aliens" who were free but lacked citizenship, and all Athenian women and children.<sup>[2]</sup> While historians estimate around 30,000 Athenian men were citizens, approximately 90,000 other people residing in Athens were excluded.<sup>[2]</sup>

### **Democratic Practices Beyond Greece**

While ancient Athens is widely credited with creating the first true democracy, advancements toward democratic government occurred independently in other regions. In ancient India, particularly in North India before 400 A.D., republics known as *ganas* and *sanghas* practiced "government by discussion" in assemblies where groups with shared interests made decisions through debate, consultation, and voting. These republics existed as early as the 6th century BC and persisted in some areas until the 4th century AD.

Greek historians like Diodorus Siculus also mentioned the existence of independent and democratic states in India during the time of Alexander the Great's invasion. In early Mesopotamian cities, dating back to around 2800 B.C., public affairs were often managed by a general assembly composed of the community's free men. These proto-democratic decision-making bodies dealt with communal conflicts and decided on major issues such as war and peace.

Thorkild Jacobsen termed this form of government in pre-Babylonian Mesopotamia "primitive democracy," where ultimate power rested with the assembly of free male citizens. Furthermore, forms of proto-democracy can be identified in prehistoric times among small bands of hunter-gatherers, where face-to-face discussions and cooperative forms of government were common.

Germanic tribes also had tribal assemblies, known as *Things*, where free members could voice their opinions on legal or military matters, and chieftains/kings could be elected. This tradition continues in the Icelandic *Althing*, the world's oldest still-existing parliament. Indigenous peoples in the Americas also developed self-rule through councils and group decision-making processes long before European contact.

## **Key Characteristics and Limitations of Ancient Democracies**

Across these diverse ancient examples, certain characteristics of early democratic practices emerge. A common feature was the existence of assemblies or councils where citizens, albeit often a limited segment of the population, could participate in decision-making.<sup>[1]</sup> These assemblies often held the ultimate political authority on key issues facing the community.

However, these ancient forms of democracy also shared significant limitations when viewed through a modern lens. Citizenship was typically restricted based on factors such as gender, social status, and origin, excluding large portions of the population from political participation.<sup>[2]</sup> The concept of universal suffrage, where every adult citizen has the right to vote, was absent in these early models.<sup>[2]</sup> Moreover, the prevalence of slavery in many ancient societies, including Athens, further limited the scope of who could be considered free and equal participants in governance.<sup>[2]</sup> These limitations underscore the significant evolution that the concept of democracy has undergone over the centuries.

## **The Medieval Roots of Limited Government**

The trajectory towards liberal democracy involved not only the emergence of democratic practices but also the gradual development of limitations on the power of rulers, a crucial aspect that took significant strides during the medieval period.

### **The Magna Carta (1215) and the Assertion of Rights**

A pivotal moment in the limitation of monarchical power occurred in England with the signing of the Magna Carta in June 1215. This document, drafted to make peace between the unpopular King John and a group of rebel barons, was the first to put into writing the principle that the king and his government were not above the law. The Magna Carta sought to prevent the king from exploiting his power and placed limits on royal authority by establishing law as a power in itself.

The barons' rebellion was fueled by King John's tyrannical rule and excessive monetary demands, exacerbated by significant losses in Normandy and Anjou. Key clauses in the Magna Carta guaranteed barons their ancient rights, including the right to justice and a fair trial with a jury, and stipulated that no new taxes could be levied without the common counsel's agreement.

Notably, Clause 39 declared that no free man shall be seized or imprisoned except by the lawful judgment of his peers or by the law of the land. While the Magna Carta was primarily designed by the barons to protect their rights against the king's power and did not intend to be a charter of rights for all people, it established the enduring principle that government, royal or otherwise, would be limited by the written law of the land. It altered the balance of power by questioning the notion of the divine right of kings and laying the groundwork for parliamentary systems and legal changes.

### **The Evolution of English Parliament**

The English Parliament evolved gradually from the great council of bishops and peers that advised the English monarch, with the first councils being called Parliaments during the reign of Henry III (1216–1272). By this time, the king required Parliament's consent to levy taxation, marking a significant step in limiting royal fiscal power.

Originally a unicameral body, Parliament became bicameral with the emergence of the House of Lords and the House of Commons, which included knights of the shire and burgesses. Over the centuries, the English Parliament progressively limited the power of the English monarchy, a process that arguably culminated in the English Civil War (1642–1651) and the subsequent establishment of a republic.

The Glorious Revolution of 1688, which saw the deposition of King James II and the installation of William III and Mary II, further solidified Parliament's authority over the king, establishing a constitutional monarchy with power shifting to Parliament. The Bill of Rights 1689, a key outcome of the Glorious Revolution, laid out rules restricting the power of the monarch and protecting the individual rights of the people, further cementing the principle of limited government.

### **The Enlightenment and the Doctrine of Separation of Powers**

The Enlightenment, an intellectual and cultural movement of the 18th century, played a crucial role in shaping the theoretical underpinnings of liberal democracy, particularly through the articulation of the doctrine of separation of powers.

#### **Key Enlightenment Thinkers: Locke and Montesquieu**

John Locke (1632–1704), a highly influential political philosopher of the modern period, argued in his Two Treatises of Government for a division of political power between the legislative and

executive branches, with the legislative power being supreme. Locke posited that this separation was fundamental to securing the liberty of the people, as concentrating both law-making and law-enforcing powers in the same hands could lead to tyranny.

He emphasized that government should be based on the consent of the governed and that individuals possess inherent rights to life, liberty, and property. Building upon Locke's ideas, Charles-Louis de Secondat, Baron de Montesquieu (1689–1755), further developed the doctrine of separation of powers in his seminal work *The Spirit of the Laws* (1748).

Montesquieu advocated for a tripartite division of governmental authority into legislative, executive, and judicial branches, arguing that liberty could only be safeguarded if these powers were separate and acting independently, preventing any single entity from accumulating excessive control. He famously stated that when the legislative and executive powers are united in the same person or body, there can be no liberty.

The ideas of both Locke and Montesquieu profoundly influenced the development of democratic thought and the design of constitutions, particularly in the newly formed United States.

### **The Implementation of Separation of Powers in Democratic Constitutions**

The doctrine of separation of powers was a fundamental principle that guided the framers of the US Constitution in 1787. The Constitution establishes three distinct but co-equal branches of government: the legislative branch (Congress), the executive branch (headed by the President), and the judicial branch (headed by the Supreme Court).

To further prevent the concentration of power, the framers also incorporated a system of checks and balances, allowing each branch to limit the powers of the other two. For example, the President can veto legislation passed by Congress, which in turn can override the veto with a supermajority vote. The Supreme Court can declare laws unconstitutional, providing a check on both the legislative and executive branches.

The implementation of the separation of powers differs in presidential and parliamentary systems. In presidential systems, like that of the United States, the executive branch is headed by a president who is typically elected separately from the legislature and is not directly accountable to it in the same way as in a parliamentary system.

Parliamentary systems, such as that in the United Kingdom, often feature a closer relationship between the executive and legislative branches, with the head of government (prime minister) being chosen from and accountable to the parliament. The principles of separation of powers and checks and balances have influenced the design of democratic constitutions across the globe, serving as fundamental mechanisms to prevent tyranny and protect individual liberties.

## **From Limited to Universal Franchise**

The evolution of liberal democracy has been marked by a gradual but significant expansion of suffrage, moving from limited forms of political participation to the ideal of universal franchise.

### **Early Restrictions on Suffrage**

In the early stages of modern democracies, voting rights were far from universal. Typically, suffrage was restricted to a select group of individuals, most often white males who owned property or paid a certain amount of taxes. This exclusion reflected the prevailing belief that only those with a tangible stake in society were sufficiently independent and committed to exercise the right to vote responsibly.

Consequently, women, enslaved people, and often people of color were systematically denied the franchise. Even after the American Revolution, which championed the principle of government by consent, many states initially retained property qualifications for voting. This limited participation in the political process underscored the significant gap between the ideals of democracy and its early implementation.

### **The Gradual Expansion of Suffrage in England**

England witnessed a gradual expansion of suffrage throughout the 19th and 20th centuries. The Reform Act of 1832 marked an initial step by standardizing property qualifications and granting the right to vote to a broader segment of the male population, including small landowners, tenant farmers, shopkeepers, and certain householders.

Subsequent Reform Acts in 1867 and 1884 further lowered property thresholds and extended the franchise, particularly to the urban working class, roughly doubling the electorate. The women's suffrage movement, which gained momentum in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, finally achieved significant breakthroughs with the Representation of the People Act of 1918, granting the vote to women over the age of 30 who met a property qualification.

Full equality in voting rights was achieved in 1928 with the Equal Franchise Act, which lowered the voting age for women to 21, the same as men. The journey towards universal adult suffrage in England concluded with the lowering of the voting age to 18 in 1969.

### **The Struggle for Universal Suffrage in the United States**

The United States also experienced a protracted struggle for universal suffrage. While property qualifications for white men were largely eliminated in the early 19th century, significant barriers remained for other groups. The 15th Amendment, ratified in 1870, granted voting rights to Black men; however, many Southern states implemented discriminatory measures such as poll taxes and literacy tests to systematically disenfranchise them.

The women's suffrage movement, which began in the mid-19th century, culminated in the ratification of the 19th Amendment in 1920, granting women the right to vote nationwide. Native Americans and Asian Americans also faced barriers to voting rights, which were gradually addressed through legislation like the Indian Citizenship Act of 1924 and the Magnuson Act of 1943.

The Civil Rights Movement of the 1960s led to the passage of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, which aimed to dismantle discriminatory voting practices and enforce the 15th Amendment. The final major expansion of suffrage occurred in 1971 with the ratification of the 26th Amendment, lowering the national voting age to 18.

### **The Post-World War II Era and the Consolidation of Liberal Democracy**

The aftermath of World War II marked a significant period for the consolidation of liberal democracy as a dominant global ideology, driven by the stark contrast with totalitarian regimes and a renewed emphasis on human rights and the rule of law.

#### **The Impact of World War II**

World War II and the preceding rise of totalitarian regimes in Europe and Asia underscored the fundamental importance of democracy and the protection of human rights. The unprecedented atrocities committed by Nazi Germany, including the Holocaust, horrified the world and highlighted the dangers of unchecked state power and the absence of the rule of law.

This period led to a renewed and intensified global emphasis on establishing international norms and legal frameworks to safeguard individual freedoms and prevent future atrocities. The



United States, emerging from the war as a global superpower, played a significant role in promoting democratic values and the rule of law in the post-war international order. The experience of the war served as a powerful reminder of the fragility of democracy in the face of authoritarianism and the critical need for constitutional protections of fundamental rights.

### **Strengthening the Independence of the Branches of State**

The post-World War II era witnessed concerted efforts to strengthen the independence of the branches of state in democratic constitutions worldwide. Constitutional reforms in countries like Germany and Japan, under Allied influence, aimed to establish parliamentary systems with independent judiciaries and clear separation of powers, drawing inspiration from models like the US Constitution.

The establishment of constitutional courts with the power of judicial review became a key mechanism for upholding the rule of law and ensuring that legislative and executive actions remained consistent with constitutional principles. These reforms reflected a global trend towards reinforcing the institutional foundations of liberal democracy by creating robust checks and balances and safeguarding the autonomy of each branch of government.

### **The Rule of Law Versus the Rule of the "Ruler"**

The post-World War II period firmly established the principle of the rule of law as a fundamental tenet distinguishing liberal democracy from autocratic and totalitarian forms of government.

The rule of law, characterized by governance based on publicly promulgated, equally enforced, and independently adjudicated laws, stands in stark contrast to the rule of a ruler, where power is exercised arbitrarily and without constraint.

The establishment of the rule of law became a central objective in the reconstruction of post-war societies, seen as essential for ensuring accountability, preventing corruption, and protecting individual rights. The Nuremberg trials, held after World War II, played a crucial role in this context by establishing the principle of individual criminal accountability for war crimes and crimes against humanity, demonstrating that even leaders are subject to the rule of law.

### **The Emergence of Universal Rights**

A landmark achievement of the post-World War II era was the adoption of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) by the United Nations General Assembly on December 10, 1948. Drafted by representatives from diverse legal and cultural backgrounds, the UDHR

articulated, for the first time, a comprehensive list of fundamental human rights to be universally protected.

These rights encompass civil and political rights, such as the right to life, liberty, freedom of speech, and freedom of religion, as well as economic, social, and cultural rights, including the right to work, to education, and to an adequate standard of living. The UDHR has served as a foundational text for the development of international human rights law, inspiring over 80 international human rights treaties and declarations.

It has also profoundly influenced national constitutions around the world, with many countries incorporating its principles into their domestic legal frameworks. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), adopted in 1966, further elaborated upon the civil and political rights enshrined in the UDHR and made them legally binding for ratifying states.

## **Conclusion: The Enduring Significance of Liberal Democracy**

The history of liberal democracy reveals a complex and evolving concept, originating in the democratic practices of ancient civilizations, particularly Athens, where citizens directly participated in governance, albeit with significant limitations.<sup>[1]</sup> The medieval period witnessed the gradual assertion of rights against monarchical power, most notably through the Magna Carta, which laid the foundation for the rule of law.

The Enlightenment proved pivotal, with thinkers like Locke and Montesquieu articulating the doctrine of separation of powers as a crucial mechanism for safeguarding individual liberty and preventing tyranny. The subsequent centuries saw a long and often arduous struggle to expand suffrage from limited bases of property and status to the ideal of universal adult suffrage, a process marked by significant social movements and legislative reforms in countries like England and the United States.

The aftermath of World War II marked a crucial turning point, with the horrors of totalitarianism leading to a renewed global commitment to democracy, the rule of law, and the recognition of universal human rights, as enshrined in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Liberal democracy, at its core, rests upon the principles of limited government, the separation of powers among independent branches of state, the rule of law ensuring accountability and equality under the law, and the protection of universal rights and fundamental freedoms for all individuals. The term "liberal" in this context emphasizes the importance of individual rights and

freedoms, which are intrinsically linked to the democratic framework that provides the means for their protection and realization.

While the journey towards achieving these ideals has been long and marked by challenges, the enduring significance of liberal democracy lies in its capacity to provide a framework for just and equitable governance, one that respects the inherent dignity and rights of every human being. The ongoing debates and challenges surrounding its interpretation and implementation in the 21st century underscore its dynamic nature and the continuous need for vigilance in upholding its core principles.

## References

1. Democracy in Ancient Athens - UE: POL 110-HA. Saint Leo University.
2. Little, B. (2021). How Democracy Developed in Ancient Greece. HISTORY. Retrieved from <https://www.history.com/articles/ancient-greece-democracy-origins>
3. History of democracy. (2024). Wikipedia. Retrieved from [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History\\_of\\_democracy](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_democracy)
4. Muhlberger, S. (1998). Democracy in Ancient India. Nipissing University.
5. Public Assemblies in Early Cities. (2020). The Rise of the Individual in Early Cities. Retrieved from <https://trise.org/2020/10/30/public-assemblies-in-early-cities/>
6. Are there examples of successful democracies in ancient times outside of Greece? (2023). Reddit. Retrieved from [https://www.reddit.com/r/AskHistory/comments/1hexlpp/are\\_there\\_examples\\_of\\_successful\\_democracies\\_in/](https://www.reddit.com/r/AskHistory/comments/1hexlpp/are_there_examples_of_successful_democracies_in/)
7. Thompson, V. D., Holland-Lulewicz, J., Birch, J., & Spivey-Faulkner, A. (2022). Early evidence for community-led governance in the Oconee Valley, Georgia. *American Antiquity*, 87(3), 533–549.
8. Magna Carta - UK Parliament, accessed on April 8, 2025, <https://www.parliament.uk/magnacarta/>
9. Magna Carta | Definition, History, Summary, Dates, Rights, Significance, & Facts | Britannica, accessed on April 8, 2025, <https://www.britannica.com/topic/Magna-Carta>

10. The contents of Magna Carta - UK Parliament, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<https://www.parliament.uk/about/living-heritage/evolutionofparliament/originsofparliament/birthofparliament/overview/magnacarta/magnacartaclauses/>
11. Parliament of England - Wikipedia, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Parliament\\_of\\_England](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Parliament_of_England)
12. Glorious Revolution | Summary, Significance, Causes, & Facts - Britannica, accessed on April 8, 2025, <https://www.britannica.com/event/Glorious-Revolution>
13. Locke's Political Philosophy (Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy), accessed on April 8, 2025, <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/locke-political/>
14. The Lockean Constitution: Separation of Powers and the Limits of Prerogative, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<https://lawjournal.mcgill.ca/article/the-lockean-constitution-separation-of-powers-and-the-limits-of-prerogative/>
15. Montesquieu and the Separation of Powers | Online Library of Liberty, accessed on April 8, 2025, <https://oll.libertyfund.org/pages/montesquieu-and-the-separation-of-powers>
16. 6.5 Primary Source: Montesquieu, The Spirit of the Laws (1748) | Constitution Center, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<https://constitutioncenter.org/education/classroom-resource-library/classroom/6.5-primary-source-montesquieu-the-spirit-of-the-laws>
17. The Separation of Powers in John Locke's Political Philosophy. - PhilArchive, accessed on April 8, 2025, <https://philarchive.org/rec/DOTSOM>
18. Checks and Balances, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<https://bensguide.gpo.gov/j-check-balance>
19. Separation of powers | Definition & Facts - Britannica, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<https://www.britannica.com/topic/separation-of-powers>
20. Presidential system - Wikipedia, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Presidential\\_system](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Presidential_system)
21. 9.2 What Is the Difference between Parliamentary and Presidential Systems? - Introduction to Political Science | OpenStax, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<https://openstax.org/books/introduction-political-science/pages/9-2-what-is-the-difference-between-parliamentary-and-presidential-systems>

22. Pragmatic Legalism: Revisiting America's Order After World War II - Scholarship Repository, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<https://ir.law.fsu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1730&context=articles>
23. Winning the Vote: A History of Voting Rights - Gilder Lehrman Institute of American History, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<https://www.gilderlehrman.org/history-resources/essays/winning-vote-history-voting-rights>
24. Systematic Inequality and American Democracy, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<https://www.americanprogress.org/article/systematic-inequality-american-democracy/>
25. Reform Act 1832 - Wikipedia, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Reform\\_Act\\_1832](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Reform_Act_1832)
26. Second Reform Act 1867 - UK Parliament, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<https://www.parliament.uk/about/living-heritage/evolutionofparliament/houseofcommons/reformacts/overview/furtherreformacts/>
27. Women get the vote - UK Parliament, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<https://www.parliament.uk/about/living-heritage/transformingsociety/electionsvoting/womenvote/overview/thevote/>
28. Women's suffrage in the United Kingdom - Wikipedia, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Women's\\_suffrage\\_in\\_the\\_United\\_Kingdom](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Women's_suffrage_in_the_United_Kingdom)
29. 'The last milestone' on the journey to full adult suffrage? 50 years of debates about the voting age, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<http://historyandpolicy.org/policy-papers/papers/the-last-milestone-on-the-journey-to-full-adult-suffrage/>
30. The Historical Expansion of Voting Rights in the United States - Plural Policy, accessed on April 8, 2025, <https://pluralpolicy.com/blog/voting-rights-expansion/>
31. World War II's contradictory lessons - Stanford Report, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<https://news.stanford.edu/stories/2020/05/world-war-iis-contradictory-lessons>
32. The Rise of Totalitarianism Between World Wars: A Historical Overview - Sociology Institute, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<https://sociology.institute/political-sociology/rise-totalitarianism-between-world-wars-history/>

33. Introduction to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights | Facing History & Ourselves, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<https://www.facinghistory.org/resource-library/introduction-universal-declaration-human-rights>
34. Separation of Powers Under the United States Constitution - UMKC School of Law, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<http://law2.umkc.edu/faculty/projects/ftrials/conlaw/separationofpowers.htm>
35. A Perfect Recipe: The Reemergence of Democratization in the Federal Republic of Germany - Digital Commons @ IWU, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<https://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1314&context=respublica>
36. The Global Rise of Judicial Review Since 1945 - Catholic Law Scholarship Repository, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<https://scholarship.law.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3561&context=lawreview>
37. The Rule of Law in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights - Scholarly Commons, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<https://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1008&context=njihr>
38. Rule of Law: Why it matters - The Fulcrum, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<https://thefulcrum.us/rule-of-law/rule-of-law-matters>
39. The Influence of the Nuremberg Trial on International Criminal Law, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<https://www.roberthjackson.org/speech-and-writing/the-influence-of-the-nuremberg-trial-on-international-criminal-law/>
40. Universal Declaration of Human Rights - the United Nations, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights>
41. 70 Years of Impact: Insights on the Universal Declaration of Human Rights | unfoundation.org, accessed on April 8, 2025,  
<https://unfoundation.org/blog/post/70-years-of-impact-insights-on-the-universal-declaration-of-human-rights/>
42. How the Universal Declaration of Human Rights aimed to change the world - Swissinfo, accessed on April 8, 2025,

<https://www.swissinfo.ch/eng/politics/how-the-universal-declaration-of-human-rights-aimed-to-change-the-world/48304778>

43. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights | OHCHR, accessed on April 8, 2025, <https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/international-covenant-civil-and-political-rights>
44. Government's Greatest Achievements of the Past Half Century - Brookings Institution, accessed on April 8, 2025, <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/governments-greatest-achievements-of-the-past-half-century/>