

CMSC631 Project Exercises

Austin Bourgerie

7 December 2017

1 Sum Types

- 11.1 Enumerations may be defined as sums over a finite index set I , where all I -classified values have type unit. In terms of finite sums:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{enum}[I] &\triangleq [\text{unit}]_{\cdot \in I} \\ i_{i \in I} &\triangleq i \cdot \langle \rangle \\ \text{switch } e \{i \hookrightarrow e_i\}_{i \in I} &\triangleq \text{case } e \{i \cdot x_i \hookrightarrow e_i\}_{i \in I, x_i \notin e_i}\end{aligned}$$

This representation reflects that enums are a special case of finite sums wherein all introduction and elimination forms imply that contents are simply $\langle \rangle$.

- 11.2

$$\begin{aligned}\text{null} &\triangleq \langle \text{false}, \text{null} \rangle \\ \text{just}(e) &\triangleq \langle \text{true}, e \rangle \\ \text{which } e \{ \text{null} \hookrightarrow e_1 \mid \text{just}(x) \hookrightarrow e_2 \} &\triangleq \text{if } e \cdot \text{!} \text{ then } [e \cdot \text{r}/x]e_1 \text{ else } e_2\end{aligned}$$

Even if we allow the use of a **null** value for every type, the **just** case in the elimination form would still theoretically allow **nulls** to propagate into the branch by first using the introduction form **just(null)**. This kind of clumsy handling is what earned this problem the name "the billion dollar mistake".

- 11.3 If limited to a traditional product type-based representation of a database, a similar system of flags to the Hoare **null** may be used to encode heterogeneous types. For example, the concept of 5-digit vs 9-digit postal codes that may be missing can be encoded by extending the schema as $\langle \dots, \times \text{postal}_{\text{flag}} \times \text{postal}_5 \times \text{postal}_9 \rangle$, where $\text{postal}_{\text{flag}}$ encodes which code to look at, or if the code is missing. For example, one possible encoding would be:

$$\begin{aligned}0 &\hookrightarrow \text{null} \\ 1 &\hookrightarrow \text{postal}_5 \\ 2 &\hookrightarrow \text{postal}_9\end{aligned}$$

However, having sum types would enable a more self-evident type-safe encoding. If instead the schema could be extended to $\langle \dots, \times (\text{unit} + \text{postal}_5 + \text{postal}_9) \rangle$, a single column in the schema could safely maintain a consistent relationship between the data and an ability to identify it.

- 11.4

$$\begin{aligned}\text{NOR} &= \text{if } x \text{ then false else if } y \text{ false else true} \\ \text{NAND} &= \text{if } x \text{ then (if } y \text{ then false else true) else true}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \textit{HALF-ADDER} = \lambda x. \lambda y. \langle \textit{XOR } x \ y, \textit{AND } x \ y \rangle \\
& \textit{FULL-ADDER} = \lambda x. \lambda y. \lambda c. \langle \textit{XOR } c \ (\textit{XOR } x \ y), \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \textit{OR } (\textit{AND } x \ y) \ (\textit{AND } c \ (\textit{XOR } x \ y)) \rangle \\
& \textit{NYBBLE-ADDER} = \\
& \qquad \lambda x. \lambda y. \textit{let } \langle z_0, c_1 \rangle = \textit{HALF-ADDER } x \cdot 0 \ y \cdot 0 \ \textit{in} \\
& \qquad \qquad \textit{let } \langle z_1, c_2 \rangle = \textit{HALF-ADDER } x \cdot 1 \ y \cdot 1 \ \textit{in} \\
& \qquad \qquad \textit{let } \langle z_2, c_3 \rangle = \textit{HALF-ADDER } x \cdot 2 \ y \cdot 2 \ \textit{in} \\
& \qquad \qquad \textit{let } \langle z_3, c_4 \rangle = \textit{HALF-ADDER } x \cdot 3 \ y \cdot 3 \ \textit{in} \\
& \qquad \qquad \langle \langle z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3 \rangle, c_4 \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

11.5 Omitted

2 Generic Programming

14.1 For all type operators $t.\tau$ poly and $t'.\tau'$ poly, prove $t.[\tau/t']$ poly.

Proof by induction on τ'

– Base Cases:

$$t.[\tau/t']t' \text{ poly} = t.\tau \text{ poly} \quad (\text{By assumption})$$

$$t.[\tau/t']\mathbf{unit} \text{ poly} = t.\mathbf{unit} \text{ poly} \quad (\text{By definition})$$

$$t.[\tau/t']\mathbf{void} \text{ poly} = t.\mathbf{void} \text{ poly} \quad (\text{By definition})$$

– Inductive Step:

$$t.[\tau/t'](\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \text{ poly} = t.[\tau/t']\tau_1 \times [\tau/t']\tau_2 \text{ poly}. \quad (\text{By IH})$$

$$t.[\tau/t'](\tau_1 + \tau_2) \text{ poly} = t.[\tau/t']\tau_1 + [\tau/t']\tau_2 \text{ poly}. \quad (\text{By IH})$$

14.2 For all values e of type τ , prove that $\mathbf{map}\{_.\tau\}(x.e')(e) \mapsto e$

Proof by induction on τ .

– Base Cases:

$$\mathbf{map}\{_.\mathbf{unit}\}(x.e')(e) \mapsto e \quad (\text{By definition})$$

$$\mathbf{map}\{_.\mathbf{void}\}(x.e')(e) \mapsto \mathbf{abort}(e) \quad (\text{By definition})$$

The case for $\mathbf{map}\{t.t\}...$ need not be considered, as we are reasoning about cases where no instances of the type variable occur in the type operator.

– Inductive Step:

* Case Product

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{map}\{_.\tau_1 \times \tau_2\}(x.e')(e) \\ & \mapsto \langle \mathbf{map}\{_.\tau_1\}(x.e')(e \cdot l), \mathbf{map}\{_.\tau_2\}(x.e')(e \cdot r) \rangle \\ & \mapsto \langle e \cdot l, e \cdot r \rangle = e \end{aligned} \quad (\text{By IH})$$

* Case Sum: $\mathbf{map}\{_.\tau_1 + \tau_2\}(x.e')(e)$

Proceed by case analysis on e .

· Case $l \cdot e_l$

$$\dots \mapsto l \cdot \mathbf{map}\{_.\tau_1\}(x.e')(e_l) \mapsto l \cdot e_l = e$$

· Case $r \cdot e_r$

$$\dots \mapsto r \cdot \mathbf{map}\{_.\tau_1\}(x.e')(e_r) \mapsto r \cdot e_r = e$$

- 14.3
1. $t.\langle i_1 \hookrightarrow \tau_1, \dots, \text{first} \hookrightarrow t, \dots, \text{last} \hookrightarrow t, i_n \hookrightarrow \tau_n \rangle$
 2. c (the assumed capitalization function)
 3. $\text{map}\{t.\langle i_1 \hookrightarrow \tau_1, \dots, \text{first} \hookrightarrow t, \dots, \text{last} \hookrightarrow t, i_n \hookrightarrow \tau_n \rangle\}(c)(\text{row})$

14.4 The following is a definition of non-negative type operators.

$$\text{trans} \frac{t.\tau \text{ pos}}{t.\tau \text{ non-neg}}$$

$$\text{neg} \frac{t.\tau_1 \text{ non-neg}}{t.\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \text{ neg}} \quad \text{non-neg} \frac{t.\tau_1 \text{ neg} \quad t.\tau_2 \text{ non-neg}}{t.\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \text{ non-neg}}$$

The following is a proof of $t.(t \rightarrow \text{bool}) \rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ non-neg}$.

$$\text{non-neg} \frac{\text{neg} \frac{\text{trans} \frac{14.4a \frac{}{t.t \text{ pos}}{t.t \text{ non-neg}}}{t.t \rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ neg}}}{t.(t \rightarrow \text{bool}) \rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ non-neg}} \quad \text{trans} \frac{\text{bool pos}}{\text{bool non-neg}}}{t.(t \rightarrow \text{bool}) \rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ non-neg}}$$

14.5 The semantics for the map^{--} and map^- are identical to those for the provided map^+ , except in the arrow case. The following are the semantics for negative and non-negative type operators in that case.

$$\frac{\text{map}^{--}\{t.\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2\}(x.e')(e)}{\vdash \rightarrow}$$

$$\lambda(x_1 : [\rho'/t]\tau_1) \text{let } x'_1 \text{ be } \text{map}^-\{t.\tau_1\}(x.e')(x_1) \text{ in}$$

$$\text{map}^{--}\{t.\tau_2\}(x.e')(e(x'_1))$$

$$\text{map}^-\{t.\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2\}(x.e')(e)$$

$$\vdash \rightarrow$$

$$\lambda(x_1 : [\rho/t]\tau_1) \text{let } x'_1 \text{ be } \text{map}^{--}\{t.\tau_1\}(x.e')(x_1) \text{ in}$$

$$\text{map}^{--}\{t.\tau_2\}(x.e')(e(x'_1))$$

The idea in applying either case is to wrap the transformation in a function expecting the new type of t (ρ' for non-negative, simply ρ for negative, in accordance with the statics). When the function is called with a concrete input, that input is recursively transformed by the functor.

3 Inductive and Coinductive Types

- 15.1 The following is a definition for a function which sends a natural number to its identity as a conatural number.

```

λn.let zero be λn.rec(x.case x{1 · _ ↦ true | r · _ ↦ false}; n) in
  let pred be λn.rec(x.case x{
    1 · ⟨ ⟩ ↦ ⟨ false, fold(1 · ⟨ ⟩) ⟩
    | r · v ↦ ⟨ true, if v · 1 then fold(r · (v · r)) else v · r ⟩}; n) in
  gen(x.if zero x then 1 · ⟨ ⟩ else r · (pred x); n)

```

- 15.2 The following is a derivation of the primitive iterator for natural numbers in terms of the inductive nat type (not the full inductive type).

$$\text{rec}_{\text{nat}}(x.\text{case } x\{1.\langle \rangle \mapsto e_0 \mid r.v \mapsto [v/x]e_1\}, e)$$

- 15.3 The following is a derivation of the stream generator in terms of the coinductive stream type (not the full coinductive type).

$$\text{strgen } x \text{ is } e \text{ in } \langle \text{hd} \mapsto e_1, \text{tl} \mapsto e_2 \rangle \triangleq \text{gen}_{\text{stream}}(x.\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle, e)$$

- 15.4 Just as every stream can be turned into a sequence using the provided code, so can every sequence be turned into a stream whose n th element is the n th element of the given sequence via the following function.

$$\lambda \text{seq}.\text{strgen } x \text{ is } 0 \text{ in } \langle \text{hd} \mapsto \text{seq}(x), \text{tl} \mapsto \text{fold}_{\text{nat}}(r \cdot x) \rangle$$

- 15.5 The following is a definition of lists of natural numbers in terms of full-fledged inductive types.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{natlist} &\triangleq \text{ind}(t.\text{unit} + (\text{nat} \times t)) \\
\text{nil} &\triangleq \text{fold}(1 \cdot \langle \rangle) \\
\text{cons}(e_1, e_2) &\triangleq \text{fold}(r \cdot \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle) \\
\text{rec } e\{\text{nil} \mapsto e_0 \mid \text{cons}(x; y) \mapsto e_1\} &\triangleq \text{rec}(x.\text{case } x\{ \\
&\quad 1 \cdot \langle \rangle \mapsto e_0 \\
&\quad | r \cdot v \mapsto [v \cdot 1, v \cdot r/x, y]e_1\}; e)
\end{aligned}$$

- 15.6 The following is a definition of the dynamics for possibly empty binary trees as a full-fledged coinductive type.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\text{itgen } x \text{ is } e \text{ in } e' \text{ val}} \qquad \frac{e \mapsto e'}{\text{view}(e) \mapsto \text{view}(e')} \\
\hline
\text{view}(\text{itgen } x \text{ is } e \text{ in } e') \mapsto \text{map}(y.\text{itgen } x \text{ is } y \text{ in } e')([e/x]e')
\end{array}$$

- 15.7 Omitted

4 System F of Polymorphic Types

16.1 Omitted

16.2 The following is a definition of polymorphic Church booleans.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{bool} &\triangleq \forall(t.t \rightarrow t \rightarrow t) \\ \mathbf{true} &\triangleq \Lambda(t)\lambda(a:t)\lambda(b:t)a \\ \mathbf{false} &\triangleq \Lambda(t)\lambda(a:t)\lambda(b:t)b \\ \mathbf{if } e \mathbf{ then } e_0 \mathbf{ else } e_1 &\triangleq e[\rho](e_0)(e_1)\end{aligned}$$

16.3 The following is a definition of lists of natural numbers.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{natlist} &\triangleq \forall(t.t \rightarrow (\mathbf{nat} \rightarrow t \rightarrow t) \rightarrow t) \\ \mathbf{null} &\triangleq \Lambda(t)\lambda(n:t)\lambda(c:\mathbf{nat} \rightarrow t \rightarrow t)t \\ \mathbf{cons}(e_1; e_2) &\triangleq \Lambda(t)\lambda(n:t)\lambda(c:\mathbf{nat} \rightarrow t \rightarrow t)c(e_1)(e_2[t](n)(c))\end{aligned}$$

Just as with the natural numbers, inductive processing is accomplished by applying an instance of **natlist** to a concrete result type t , as well as a value to represent the base case and a function to join the head of the list and an inductive result into a stepped result. This pattern can be seen in the semantics for the body of the **cons** case, which applies the given step function c to the head and the result of processing the tail.

16.4 The following is a definition of inductive types.

$$\mu(t.\tau) \triangleq \forall r((\llbracket r/t \rrbracket \tau \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r)$$

Whereas the inductive step in the previous exercise is represented in terms of separate base and recursive cases, the generalization joins the two by using a function from the inductive type τ to the result type r , where the inductive points of τ are concretized by r . This closely mirrors the typing judgement for the inductive elimination form (the recursor) presented in chapter 15.

16.5 Define the type t **list** to be as follows.

$$t \mathbf{list} \triangleq \forall(t.(\forall u.u \rightarrow (t \rightarrow u \rightarrow u) \rightarrow u))$$

For all t **lists** l of type τ , define the property \mathcal{Q}_{member} to hold on lists which only contain elements drawn from l . It follows directly from the parametricity theorem of System F that, since \mathcal{Q}_{member} holds on l (a list's elements must reflexively be members of itself), it must also hold on the list resulting from $f[\tau](l)$.