

(c) Finally use the above results to show the following two statements. which help interpreting the covariance matrix and its inverse (the precision matrix).

(a) A zero entry in the  $i, j$ th position of the covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  implies that  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  are marginally independent

(b) A zero-entry in the  $i, j$ th position of the precision matrix  $\Lambda = \Sigma^{-1}$  implies that  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  are conditionally independent given all other variables  $x_k$  for  $k \neq i, j$ .

(a) Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$(i, j) = (1, 2)$ , as we can permute the variables without changing the distribution.

we have been given that  $\Sigma_{12} = 0$  and from the symmetry of covariance matrix we know  $\Sigma_{21} = 0$

$$p(x; \mu, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu) \right\} ; x \in \mathbb{R}^D$$

consider the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_{D-2} \end{bmatrix} ; A = A^T$

As we know the affine projection  $p(z := Ax) = \mathcal{N}(x; A\mu, A\Sigma A^T)$

thus we have

$$z = A \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The new mean  $\mu' = A\mu = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{x_1} \\ \mu_{x_2} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}_{D \times 1}$

The new covariance  $\Sigma' = \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_{p \times 2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} & \cdots & \Sigma_{1D} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \Sigma_{pD} & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_{p \times 2} \end{bmatrix}$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus the joint distribution of  $x_1, x_2$  is given by

$$p\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{x_1} \\ \mu_{x_2} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

as we have  $\Sigma_{21} = \Sigma_{12} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_1 | x_2) &= \mathcal{N}\left(x_1; \mu_{x_1} + \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} (x_2 - \mu_{x_2}), \Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21}\right) \\ &= \mathcal{N}(x_1; \mu_{x_1}; \Sigma_{11}) = p(x_1) \end{aligned}$$

and  $p(x_2 | x_1) = p(x_2)$  by symmetry,

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_1, x_2) &= p(x_1 | x_2) p(x_2) \\ &= p(x_1) p(x_2) \end{aligned}$$

thus  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are marginally independent.

(b) A zero-entry in the  $i, j^{\text{th}}$  position of the precision matrix  $\Lambda = \Sigma^{-1}$  implies that  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  are conditionally independent given all other variables  $x_k$  for  $k \neq i, j$ .

Answer: Without loss of generality we have been given