The emission rate of ionizing photons into the IGM per baryon can be written as:

$$\dot{n}_{\rm ion/b} = \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \bar{\rho}_m^{-1} \int_{M_{\rm min}}^{\infty} dM_h \frac{dn}{d\ln M_h} f_{\rm b} f_* N_{\gamma/b} f_{\rm esc} \right] . \tag{80}$$

Here  $\bar{\rho}_m$  is the mean matter density, and the average source (galaxy) properties are expressed in terms of:

- $f_{\rm b}$  the baryon fraction of a halo, in units of the cosmic mean value,  $\Omega_b/\Omega_m$
- $f_*$  the fraction of halo baryons ending up in stars
- $\bullet$   $N_{\gamma/b}$  the number of ionizing photons produced per stellar baryon
- $\bullet$   $f_{\rm esc}$  the fraction of produced ionizing photons which escape the galaxy into the IGM

and the lower limit of integration,  $M_{\min}$ , corresponds to the minimum halo mass capable of hosting a star forming galaxy (i.e. the product  $f_{\rm b}f_*N_{\gamma/b}f_{\rm esc}=0$  for  $M_h < M_{\min}$ ).

Typically, these astrophysical parameters are combined into a single ionizing efficiency:

$$\zeta = 20 \left( \frac{N_{\gamma}}{4000} \right) \left( \frac{f_{\rm esc}}{0.1} \right) \left( \frac{f_{\rm *}}{0.05} \right) \left( \frac{f_{\rm b}}{1} \right) . \tag{81}$$

If  $\zeta$  is independent of halo mass and time, eq. (80) simplifies to include the time derivative of the collapse fraction:  $\frac{df_{\text{even}}(>M_{\text{even}},z)}{2}$ 

$$\dot{n}_{\rm ion/b} = \zeta \frac{df_{\rm coll}(>M_{\rm min}, z)}{dt}.$$
 (82)

More generally, one could parametrize  $\zeta$  with a power-law scaling with the halo mass,  $\zeta = \zeta_0 \left(\frac{M_h}{M_{\min}}\right)^{\alpha}$ , in which case eq. (80) becomes:

$$\dot{n}_{\rm ion/b} = \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \frac{\zeta_0}{\bar{\rho}_m} \int_{M_{\perp}}^{\infty} dM_h \frac{dn}{d \ln M_h} \left( \frac{M_h}{M_{\rm min}} \right)^{\alpha} \right] , \tag{83}$$

with  $\alpha = 0$  reducing to eq. (82).

In principle, direct observations of galaxies can be used to constrain some of the above parameters. The abundance matching technique applied to  $z\sim 8$  LBGs, discussed in the previous chapter can be used to motivate the scaling  $f_{\rm b}\propto M_h^{0.2-0.4}$ , assuming a mass-independent mapping from the observed 1500 Å luminosity to the ionizing luminosity (e.g. Trenti et al. 2010; Greig & Mesinger 2015; Atek et al. 2015; Sun & Furlanetto 2015). However,  $f_{\rm esc}$  likely has an opposite scaling, increasing towards smaller halo masses (e.g. Wise & Cen 2009; Paardekooper et al. 2015), perhaps even showing a non-monotoic evolution (e.g. Xu et al. 2016). Moreover, the IMF, which sets  $N_{\gamma/b}$ , could be more top-heavy in low-mass, poorly-enriched galaxies. For the purposes of EoR modeling, we only care about the product,  $\zeta$ .