22; 23; 24



MODULE NAME:	MODULE CODE:
OPERATING SYSTEMS	NWEG5111
OPERATING SYSTEMS	NWEG5111p

**ASSESSMENT TYPE: ASSIGNMENT 1 (PAPER ONLY)** 

**TOTAL MARK ALLOCATION: 100 MARKS** 

**TOTAL HOURS: 10 HOURS** 

By submitting this assignment, you acknowledge that you have read and understood all the rules as per the terms in the registration contract, in particular the assignment and assessment rules in The IIE Assessment Strategy and Policy (IIE009), the intellectual integrity and plagiarism rules in the Intellectual Integrity Policy (IIE023), as well as any rules and regulations published in the student portal.

## **INSTRUCTIONS:**

- No material may be copied from original sources, even if referenced correctly, unless it is a direct quote indicated with quotation marks. No more than 10% of the assignment may consist of direct quotes.
- 2. Any assignment with a similarity index of more than 25% will be scrutinised for plagiarism. Please ensure you attach an originality report to your assignment if required.
- 3. Make a copy of your assignment before handing it in.
- 4. Assignments must be typed unless otherwise specified.
- 5. All work must be adequately and correctly referenced.
- 6. Begin each section on a new page.
- 7. Follow all instructions on the assignment cover sheet.
- 8. This is an individual assignment For group assignments, the group may not exceed 4 members and all will be awarded the same mark.

22; 23; 24 2023

## **Referencing Rubric**

Providing evidence based on valid and referenced academic sources is a fundamental educational principle and the cornerstone of high-quality academic work. Hence, The IIE considers it essential to develop the referencing skills of our students in our commitment to achieve high academic standards. Part of achieving these high standards is referencing in a way that is consistent, technically correct and congruent. This is not plagiarism, which is handled differently.

Poor quality formatting in your referencing will result in a penalty of a maximum of ten percent being deducted from the percentage awarded, according to the following guidelines. Please note, however, that evidence of plagiarism in the form of copied or uncited work (not referenced), absent reference lists, or exceptionally poor referencing, may result in action being taken in accordance with The IIE's Intellectual Integrity Policy (0023).

Markers are required to provide feedback to students by indicating (circling/underlining) the information that best describes the student's work.

Minor technical referencing errors: 5% deduction from the overall percentage – the student's work contains five or more errors listed in the minor errors column in the table below.

Major technical referencing errors: 10% deduction from the overall percentage – the student's work contains five or more errors listed in the major errors column in the table below.

<u>If both minor and major errors</u> are indicated, then 10% only (and not 5% or 15%) is deducted from the overall percentage. The examples provided below are not exhaustive but are provided to illustrate the error

Required: Technically correct referencing	Minor errors in technical correctness of referencing style Deduct 5% from percentage awarded	Major errors in technical correctness of referencing style
style		Deduct 10% from percentage awarded
Consistency     The same referencing format has been used for all in-text references and in the bibliography/reference list.	<ul> <li>Minor inconsistencies.</li> <li>The referencing style is generally consistent, but there are one or two changes in the format of in-text referencing and/or in the bibliography.</li> <li>For example, page numbers for direct quotes (in-text) have been provided for one source, but not in another instance. Two book chapters (bibliography) have been referenced in the bibliography in two different formats.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Major inconsistencies.</li> <li>Poor and inconsistent referencing style used intext and/or in the bibliography/ reference list.</li> <li>Multiple formats for the same type of referencing have been used.</li> <li>For example, the format for direct quotes (in-text) and/or book chapters (bibliography/ reference list) is different across multiple instances.</li> </ul>
Technical correctness	Generally, technically correct with some	Technically incorrect.
Referencing format is technically correct throughout the submission.  The correct referencing format for the module's discipline has been used, i.e., either APA, OR Harvard OR Law  Position of the reference: a reference is directly associated	<ul> <li>minor errors.</li> <li>The correct referencing format has been consistently used, but there are one or two errors.</li> <li>Concepts and ideas are typically referenced, but a reference is missing from one small section of the work.</li> <li>Position of the references: references are only given at the beginning or end of every paragraph.</li> <li>For example, the student has incorrectly presented direct quotes (in-text) and/or</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The referencing format is incorrect.</li> <li>Concepts and ideas are typically referenced, but a reference is missing from small sections of the work.</li> <li>Position of the references: references are only given at the beginning or end of large sections of work.</li> <li>For example, incorrect author information is provided, no year of publication is provided, quotation marks and/or page numbers for direct quotes missing, page numbers are provided for paraphrased material, the incorrect punctuation is</li> </ul>
with every concept or idea.  • For example, quotation marks, page numbers, years, etc. are applied correctly, sources in the bibliography/reference list are correctly presented.	book chapters (bibliography/reference list).	used (in-text); the bibliography/reference list is not in alphabetical order, the incorrect format for a book chapter/journal article is used, information is missing e.g. no place of publication had been provided (bibliography); repeated sources on the reference list.
Congruence between in-text	Generally, congruence between the in-	A lack of congruence between the in-text
referencing and bibliography/ reference list  • All sources are accurately reflected and are all accurately included in the bibliography/ reference list.	text referencing and the bibliography/ reference list with one or two errors.  There is largely a match between the sources presented in-text and the bibliography.  For example, a source appears in the text, but not in the bibliography/ reference list or vice versa.	referencing and the bibliography.  No relationship/several incongruencies between the in-text referencing and the bibliography/reference list.  For example, sources are included in-text, but not in the bibliography and vice versa, a link, rather than the actual reference is provided in the bibliography.
<b>In summary:</b> the recording of references is accurate and complete.	In summary, at least <b>80%</b> of the sources are correctly reflected and included in a reference list.	In summary, at least <b>60%</b> of the sources are incorrectly reflected and/or not included in reference list.

Overall Feedback about the consistency, technical correctness and congruence between in-text referencing and bibliography:

22; 23; 24

Questions (Marks: 100)

? (10) (10) (10) (10)
(10)
(10)
(10)
(10)
(10)
(10)
(10)
(10)
(20)
(20)
(30)

22; 23; 24

For each of the functions, list the TCP/IP protocol suite layer that performs that function.

[TOTAL MARKS: 100]