

---

# Investigating the Epoch of Galaxy Formation with Artificial Intelligence

---

*by*

Bradley Anthony Ward



A Thesis submitted to Cardiff University  
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

February 2022

# Abstract

---

“ 5. Preliminary Pages 5.1 Each copy of the thesis must contain the following required pages: .1 a title page; .2 **a summary of no more than 300 words**; .3 a list of contents, which includes the page number for each chapter and sub-division listed; .4 acknowledgments, where this is an expectation of your sponsor ”

as taken from Submission-and-Presentation-of-Research-Degree-Theses.pdf — Policy on the Submission and Presentation of Research Degree Theses – v 5.0 – Date of Effect 01.08.2022 – accessed 22/09/2022



# Publications

---

## Preface

Keep in mind the wise words of Louise Winter (PGR Admin School of PHYSX (as of 2022))  
“if you have material that has been published in journals in your thesis you are advised to seek permission from the relevant journal to publish in your thesis for the final version to be published on ORCA – take a little time to read this page. If you are uncertain about Copyright you should contact Copyright@cardiff.ac.uk as we are not experts on copyright. ”  
<https://intranet.cardiff.ac.uk/students/study/postgraduate-research-support/thesis-and-examinations/submitting-your-thesis/copyright-and-your-ethesis>

## First Author Publications

authors, et al. year; *Title*, Submitted to MNRAS

## Co-Author Publications

, In Prep



# Contents

---

<b>Abstract</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Publications</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 A title . . . . .	1
<b>2 Herschel-ATLAS Data Release III</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1 The Herschel-ATLAS . . . . .	3
2.1.1 Detecting Submillimeter Sources on Herschel Images . . . . .	3
2.1.2 Data Releases of the H-ATLAS . . . . .	4
2.2 Identifying Multiwavelength Counterparts to Herschel Sources . . . . .	4
2.2.1 The Likelihood Ratio Method . . . . .	4
<b>3 Conclusion</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>A An Appendix</b>	<b>7</b>
A.1 An Appendix . . . . .	7

*“A dedication quote/sentence”*

# Acknowledgements

---

*"A quote"*

By whom *From what source*

## Funding Bodies and Affiliations

Insert thanks to funding bodies, and affiliations (e.g. partner company, CDT, governments, University funders, grants/awards, etc. . . .) There are no strict rules on logos here — use at your discretion.

## Personal Thanks

Insert personal thanks, e.g. supervisors, friends, family, colleagues. Keep it professional.

"8. Acknowledgements/Dedications 8.1 It is understandable that you will have benefitted from the support of family, friends and peers whilst undertaking your studies and you may wish to express your thanks in an acknowledgements/dedication section of your thesis. If you choose to do this, you should be mindful of the nature and tone of your comments as they may be read widely, including by future employers, funders or other colleagues. 8.2 For data protection purposes, you should not include any private personal details or other confidential information about yourself or others in the acknowledgements section or elsewhere in the thesis." — University (<https://intranet.cardiff.ac.uk/students/study/postgraduate-research-support/thesis-and-examination-preparing-your-thesis>) Submission-and-Presentation-of-Research-Degree-Theses V5.0 September 2022





Chapter 1

# Introduction

---

## 1.1 A title



# Herschel-ATLAS Data Release III

---

## 2.1 The Herschel-ATLAS

The *Herschel* Astrophysical Terahertz Large Area Survey (H-ATLAS; Eales et al. 2010) was the largest open-time sub-mm survey carried out with *Herschel*. The survey was observed across five photometric bands using two instruments onboard the *Herschel Space Observatory*: the Photodetector Array Camera (PACS, Poglitsch et al. 2010) at 100 and 160  $\mu\text{m}$ , and the Spectral and Photometric Imaging Receiver (SPIRE, Griffin et al. 2010) at 250, 350 and 500  $\mu\text{m}$ . Compared to the first SMGs (Assumption: I have already described SMGs and their initial discovery in the 80s/90s.) detected using SCUBA at 850  $\mu\text{m}$  (Smail et al. 1997; Barger et al. 1998; Hughes et al. 1998), the PACS and SPIRE wavebands span the peak of the infrared spectrum for low redshift ( $z < 1$ ) galaxies. Their intrinsic brightness at the SPIRE wavelengths makes their detection in the thousands more achievable.

The complete survey covers  $\sim 660 \text{ deg}^2$ , split into three regions located to avoid emission from Galactic dust and to utilize complimentary spectroscopic surveys including the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS, York et al. 2000), the 2df Galaxy Redshift Survey (2dfGRS, Colless et al. 2001) and the Galaxy and Mass Assembly (GAMA, Driver et al. 2009). The North Galactic Pole (NGP) region covers  $\sim 180 \text{ deg}^2$  of the northern sky, centered at R.A  $13^h 18^m$  and declination  $+29^\circ 13'$  (J2000); three equatorial fields, located at approximately R.A  $9^h$ ,  $12^h$  and  $15^h$  coinciding with the GAMA survey (henceforth named GAMA9, GAMA12 and GAMA15 fields), each with an area of approximately  $54 \text{ deg}^2$ , and the South Galactic Pole (SGP) region, centered at R.A  $0^h 6^m$  and declination  $-32^\circ 44'$  (J2000) with an area of  $\sim 318 \text{ deg}^2$ .

### 2.1.1 Detecting Submillimeter Sources on Herschel Images

Due to [...] sub-mm images suffer from two types of noise; instrumental noise [...] and confusion noise which is highly correlated between pixels, most of its contribution coming from the blending

together of faint sources. Source confusion is of particular importance to sub-mm surveys [...]. The result of combining instrumental noise with confusion noise is that almost all sources in the Herschel images are unresolved and the optimum filter for detecting these unresolved sources is no longer the point spread function (PSF). Consider a *Herschel* map in which there is only one source of noise: an image with instrumental noise but no confusion noise (i.e. there is only one point source and no fainter, confusing sources), the optimal detection of this source is obtained by convolving the image with the PSF of the instrument. On the other hand, a map with no instrumental noise, but many confused point sources would be optimally detected with its best signal to noise ratio (SNR) by taking the Fourier transform of the image, dividing by the Fourier transform of the PSF and taking the inverse Fourier transform to obtain a perfect deconvolution of the original map (Reference). For images that have a variable ratio of instrumental to confusion noise like the *Herschel* images of H-ATLAS, Chapin et al, 2011 showed that a convolving function or "matched filter" can be calculated to provide the maximum SNR for an unresolved source. To detect H-ATLAS sources from the 250  $\mu$ m maps using a matched filter (the 250  $\mu$ m band is the most sensitive of the SPIRE bands and given the lower sensitivity of the PACS instrument, all sources detected on the PACS images would also be detected on the SPIRE 250  $\mu$ m image), Maddox et al, 2020 developed a source detection algorithm called the Multi-band Algorithm for Source Detection and eXtraction (MADX).

### 2.1.2 Data Releases of the H-ATLAS

## 2.2 Identifying Multiwavelength Counterparts to Herschel Sources

### 2.2.1 The Likelihood Ratio Method

## Chapter 3

# Conclusion

---

*"A quote"*

By whom *From what source*



Appendix A

## An Appendix

---

A.1 An Appendix





# Bibliography

---

- Barger A. J., Cowie L. L., Sanders D. B., Fulton E., Taniguchi Y., Sato Y., Kawara K., Okuda H., 1998, *Nature*, 394, 248
- Colless M., et al., 2001, *MNRAS*, 328, 1039
- Driver S. P., et al., 2009, *Astronomy and Geophysics*, 50, 5.12
- Eales S., et al., 2010, *PASP*, 122, 499
- Griffin M. J., et al., 2010, *A&A*, 518, L3
- Hughes D. H., et al., 1998, *Nature*, 394, 241
- Poglitsch A., et al., 2010, *A&A*, 518, L2
- Smail I., Ivison R. J., Blain A. W., 1997, *ApJ*, 490, L5
- York D. G., et al., 2000, *AJ*, 120, 1579