Roadmap

The roadmap is used to plan out (and log) features for each tagged version of the game. Git tags will be used as well so a simple “git checkout tags/v0.1.0” can be used to check what the code was like at a certain point.
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# V0.1.0

This version should have the raw foundation of the game, including the GUI for the player, the class to represent a game board, a few pre-requisites for AI, and a way to represent a tree of moves.

Goals:

* Have a class to represent the game board **[V0.0.2]**
* Have a basic controller class setup for the Player (AI will come later) to interact with the board via a GUI **[V0.0.3]**
* The GUI should at the moment, only bother displaying the game board, as well as who’s turn it is **[V0.0.3]**
* The game board class should have the basic game rules implemented (can only place pieces on empty spaces. Game is won if 3 pieces are in a row, etc.) **[V0.0.2]**
* Have a way to hash the game board **[V0.0.1]**
* Have a way to represent a tree of moves (the Node class and the MoveTree class provide this) **[V0.0.1]**
* Have a way to calculate the statistically best path of moves to make (Average.statisticallyBest sorts this out, I know it’s a bit strange to have this before working on the AI, but I just needed it out the way) **[V0.0.1]**
* Allow any Node class to be serialised/unserialised. **[V0.0.1]**

## Final version for V0.0.X

The final version of every Minor version update should contain general clean-ups/refactoring of code. The final version is generally also the next minor version. So the version 0.0.4 is also v0.1

Goals:

* Remove the ‘MoveTree’ class. First, create a Node.root property to create an empty node (suitable as a root). Then, move the MoveTree.walk function into the Node class, since it makes more sense and provides more flexibility there. **[Done in V0.0.2]**
* Use the ‘Board’ class as a namespace for the ‘Hash’ class. So ‘Hash’ becomes ‘Board.Hash’. This better reflects its purpose, and becomes consistent with ‘Board.Piece’. **[Done in V0.0.4]**
* ~~Change ‘Node’ and ‘Hash’ to be created using~~ [~~Object Initialisers~~](https://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/bb384062.aspx)~~, as it creates cleaner (and clearer) code.~~ (After a change of thought, this could be more of a burden, as it would require me to make some read-only properties non-read-only)

## V0.0.4

Achieved:

* Put the ‘Hash’ class under the ‘Board’ namespace, so it is used like ‘Board.Hash’.

My reason behind this decision, is because, in my eyes, the code is more structured like this.

## V0.0.3

Achieved:

* Add support for running a thread for all the game logic, and providing a way for the two threads to speak to each other.
* Add a GUI, and a controller to let the player interact with the board.
* Add code to handle when two controllers tie.
* Fixed a bug in the win checking code. It was checking a wrong slot when checking “Top right to bottom right”.

When deciding how the game loop should work, there were 3 different ideas that came to mind.

The first idea was to run the game logic in the same thread as the GUI. However, with the way the game loop works and is structured, it would cause the GUI to freeze and prevent the user from actually interacting with the game. This obviously was not ideal.

The second idea, and the one I went with, was to use a separate thread for the game logic, and provide a way (in this case, a thread-safe queue) to allow the GUI thread to communicate with the game thread.

The final idea was using C#’s support for asynchronous tasks. However, in my past experience with asynchronous code (In C#, at least), I haven’t ever been able to structure things in a sane way, and usually end up with a buggy, unreadable mess. So, I decided to avoid it.

## V0.0.2

Achieved:

* Add basic serialisation support.
* Add the ‘Board’, and ‘Controller’ classes, with very basic tests. (This was a lot of code)
* Implement serialisation for the ‘Hash’ and ‘Node’ class.
* Remove the ‘MoveTree’ class, and move its functionality to ‘Node’.

MoveTree was removed because it wasn’t offering any special functionality that I couldn’t just put inside ‘Node’. A special ‘Node.root’ function was made to replace usage of ‘MoveTree.root’.

## V0.0.1

Achieved:

* Have a way to hash the game board
* Have a way to represent a tree of moves
* Have a way to calculate the statistically best path to make
* Included this document

# V0.2.0

This version should be an unpolished version of what the final game will be like. This version should include at least 1 AI mode, a move tree which the AI uses to decide it’s move (and a way to load/save this data to a file), and better management for when the game thread throws an exception.

Goals:

* Have at least 1 controller for the AI, which should use the ‘Average.statisticallyBest’ function to determine its next move.
* Create a static class which contains the code for interacting with the file system. The reason for a separate class is to allow a cleaner interface for the rest of the code, as well as separating file input/output code from things such as the AI. Example = ‘var move\_tree = GameFiles.loadMoveTree(MoveTrees.Global);’ to load the global move tree, the AI doesn’t need to know the specifics of it such as the file name, so the GameFiles class is used as an abstraction.
* ~~Provide a function inside of MainWindow for the game thread to call whenever an unhandled exception occurs (this function is called using the window’s dispatcher). The game~~ **~~should not crash~~** ~~due to its own mistakes, so any exception thrown must be reported and fixed. The game~~ **~~is only allowed to crash~~** ~~if, for example, the user decides to corrupt one of the files used by the game, and the game chokes when loading it. In short,~~ **~~crash due to the user, not due to buggy code.~~** ~~But even then, it’s ideal to just display an error message instead of crashing, since the program should still be in a valid state from a user error.~~ Never mind, seems the program will crash regardless of what thread throws it. Which is the desired behaviour.
* Modify the ‘Node.walk’ function so it will instead, now allow a function to be called on every node that is walked to. The old functionality can still be replicated because the new functionality will allow much more flexible code, and will allow new opportunities. **[V0.1.1]**
* Provide an easy to use interface in the class described in the 2nd bullet point to save/load arbitrary trees of nodes.

Possible api = “GameFiles.saveTree(myRootNode, “super\_tree”);”,

“var root = GameFiles.loadTree(“super\_tree”)”

* Provide a way to merge the data from one move tree into another. For example, say I gave my friends the current version of my project to play with, and then I asked them for their AI move trees so I could merge them all into my personal AI move tree. This would allow for the AI to make use of more data.
* Provide a separate debug window that an AI can hook up into to allow a Graphical representation of their move tree to be seen, as well as tools to modify the tree. This window can also be used so the AI can report back any info I need.
* Using the algorithm used in “Average.statisticallyBest”, create a function such as ‘Node.walkEveryPath’ which will go over every possible path found in the tree, and give an ‘Action<List<Node>>’ every path found. **[V0.1.1]**

## V0.1.1

Achieved:

* Display the current version of the project within the window’s title. E.g. “CS Project v0.1.1 prototype”. If “prototype” is displayed, then it is an incomplete version. So “v0.1.1 prototype” is an incomplete version of version 0.1.1, whereas “v0.1.1” would be the final, complete version of version v0.1.1.
* Create ‘Node.walkEveryPath’ which is a function based off of the algorithm used in ‘Average.statisticallyBest’. This function allows code to perform an action on every possible path in the tree. ‘Average.statisticallyBest’ was modified to use this new function.
* Modify ‘Node.walk’ to allow an action to be performed on every node walked to.

This version of the game will not have a single noticeable difference when playing the game, since this is exclusively backend stuff (that for the most part, isn’t even used yet). It focuses more on making it easier to write code that traverses a node tree, which will be very beneficial for the near future for the AI/any other algorithm that uses the node tree.