#### **Dataset Statistics**

- Table A (OpenLibrary) size: 10000 books (updated)
- Table B (Google Books) size: 6455 books (Updated crawler to get 10000 (max), and it came back with this number)
- Total possible pairs (Cartesian product): 64,550,000 pairs
- Actual matches in Table C: 541 pairs

## **Matching Algorithms Used**

- 1. Blocking Algorithm
  - Purpose: Makes the program go a little bit more faster when running. I noticed that a previous algorithm I used took so long I wasn't sure if it was executing correctly.
  - Method: Group records by first 3 characters of normalized title and author. This
    helped with speed. There maybe some false positives of course, but the majority
    are accurate matches (probability wise).
- 2. Field-Specific Matching
  - Title matching: SequenceMatcher with 0.85 threshold incase of extra characters
  - Author matching: SequenceMatcher with 0.85 threshold ^
  - ISBN matching: Direct comparison after normalization (even though there are different ISBN's (10, 13))

## Weighted scoring system:

```
"python
field_weights = {
    'Title': 0.4,  # Primary identifier
    'Author': 0.4,  # Primary identifier
    'ISBN': 0.2  # Secondary verification
}
```

- 3. Similarity Calculation
  - Text normalization (lowercase, remove punctuation)
  - Fuzzy string matching using difflib.SequenceMatcher package/library
  - Overall match threshold: 0.8 (80% confidence)

### **Problems Encountered**

- 1. Data Format Inconsistencies
  - Inconsistent ISBN formats (ISBN-10 vs ISBN-13)
  - Different date formats (YYYY vs. MM/DD/YYYY)
  - Mixed character encodings
  - Inconsistent field names between sources

### 2. Content Variations

- Author name variations ("J.K. Rowling" vs "Rowling, J.K.")
- Title differences ("Harry Potter and the Philosopher's Stone" vs "Sorcerer's Stone")
- Edition-specific variations
- Multiple authors in different orders

# 3. Missing Data

- Incomplete ISBNs
- Missing publication years
- Absent author information
- Empty title fields

## 4. Technical Challenges

- Performance issues with O(n²) comparisons
- False positives with similar titles
- Duplicate entries in source data
- Not enough data (1,000 each previously)