time	Insertion sort			Se	election so	ort	Bubble sort			
cost	compare	move	total cost	compare	move	total cost	compare	move	total cost	
Best	θ(n)	θ(n)	θ(n)	$\theta(n^2)$	θ(n)	$\theta(n^2)$	θ(n)	0	θ(n)	
Average	$\theta(n^2)$	$\theta(n^2)$	$\theta(n^2)$	$\theta(n^2)$	θ(n)	$\theta(n^2)$	$\theta(n^2)$	$\theta(n^2)$	$\theta(n^2)$	
Worst	$\theta(n^2)$	$\theta(n^2)$	$\theta(n^2)$	$\theta(n^2)$	θ(n)	$\theta(n^2)$	$\theta(n^2)$	$\theta(n^2)$	$\theta(n^2)$	

SortAlgorithm	In-place	stable	Input-sensitive	average	Worst-case	Best-case	Space
Selection Sort	√	×	×	O(n ²)	O(n ²)	O(n ²)	O(1)
Bubble Sort	√	√	√	O(n ²)	O(n ²)	O(n)	O(1)
Insertion Sort	√	√	√	O(n ²)	O(n ²)	O(n)	O(1)
Shell Sort	√	×	√	$O(n\sqrt{n})$	O(n ²)	O(n)	O(1)
Merge Sort	×	√	×	O(nlogn)	O(nlogn)	O(nlogn)	O(n)
Quick Sort	×	×	√	O(nlogn)	O(n ²)	O(nlogn)	O(logn)
Heap Sort	√	×	×	O(nlogn)	O(nlogn)	O(nlogn)	O(1)
Counting Sort	×	√	×	O(n)	O(n)	O(n)	O(n)

SearchAlgorithm	average	Worst-case	Best-case
BruteForceStringMatching	O(n)	O(mn)	
Exhaustive Search			
Depth-First Traversal	$\theta(V ^2) \theta(V + E)$		
Breadth-First Traversal	$\theta(V ^2) \theta(V + E)$		
Binary Search	θ(log n)	θ(log(n+1))	
Quick Select (kth Smallest)	O(n)	O(n ²)	
Interpolation Search	O(log log n)		
Bottom-Up Heap Creation	O(n)		
ejection from a Heap	O(log n)		
Deletion, insertion and	θ(log n)	θ(log n)	
search of an AVL tree			
Deletion, insertion and	θ(log n)	θ(log n)	
search of a 2-3 tree			
Horspool's String Search	θ(n)	O(mn)	
Knapsack Problem	θ(nW).		
Warshall's Algorithm	$\theta(n^3)$	$\theta(n^3)$	$\theta(n^3)$
Floyd's Algorithm	$\theta(n^3)$	$\theta(n^3)$	$\theta(n^3)$
Prim's Algorithm	O(V · E)		
	O(E log V).		
Dijkstra's algorithm	O(V · E)		
	O(E log V).		

The time complexity of key-comparison based sorting has been proven to be $\,\Omega$ (n log n).

	worst access	worst search	worst insertion	worst deletion	average access	average search	average insertion	average deletion	best access	best search	best insertion	best deletion	space
unsorted array	1	n	1	1	1	n	1	1	1	1	1	1	n
sorted array	1	n	n	n	1	n	n	n	1	1	n(find position)	n(find position)	n
linked list	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	1	1	1	1	n
stack	n	n	1	1	n	n	1	1	1	1	1	1	n
hash table	-	n	n	n	-	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	n
BST	n(stick)	n(stick)	n	n	log n	log n	log n	log n	1	1	log n	log n(find bottom)+1 Or 1(find top)+log n(find to swap)	n
AVL tree	log n	log n	log n	log n	log n	log n	log n	log n	1	1	log n	log n(find bottom)+1 Or 1(find top)+log n(find to swap)	n

							<i>n</i> !
10 ¹	3	10^{1}	$3 \cdot 10^{1}$	10^{2}	10^{3}	10^{3}	$4 \cdot 10^6$
10 ²	7	10^{2}	$7 \cdot 10^2$	10 ⁴	10^{6}	10^{30}	$9\cdot 10^{157}$
10 ³	10	10^{3}	$1 \cdot 10^4$	10^{6}	10 ⁹	_	_

Hash table: m: large enough to allow efficient operations, without taking up excessive memory, prime.

load factor $\alpha = n/m$

Separate Chaining:

Number of probes in successful search \approx 1 + α /2.

Number of probes in unsuccessful search $\approx \alpha$

- +1.reduces the number of comparisons,
- +2.Good in a dynamic environment,
- +3.The chains can be ordered,
- -1. uses extra storage for links.

linear probing:

Successful search: $1/2+1/2(1-\alpha)$

Unsuccessful: $1/2+1/2(1-\alpha)^2$

+1. Space-efficient.

- -1. Worst-case performance miserable
- -2. Clustering is a major problem
- -3. Deletion is almost impossible.

To **Avoid**:

keep track of the load factor and to rehash when it reaches, say, 0.9.

Rehashing means allocating a larger hash table, and will introduce long delays at unpredictable times

Some drawbacks:

- 1.If an application calls for traversal of all items in sorted order, a hash table is no good.
- 2.Also, unless we use separate chaining, deletion is virtually impossible.
- 3.It may be hard to predict the volume of data, and rehashing is an expensive "stop-the-world" operation.

Warshall:

computes the transitive closure ("AND", reachable or not, 1 or 0), matrix for directed graph, ideal for dense graphs (DFS for sparse graphs) $O(|V|^3)$.

Floyd:

Shortest-Paths for All-Pairs ("+"), matrix for directed and undirected graphs. $O(|V|^3)$.

Prim:

Minimum Spanning Trees for undirected weighted graphs
Using weighted matrix: O(|V| · |E|)
Using adjacency lists: O(|E| log |V|).

Dijkstra:

shortest-path from a fixed start node for directed or undirected weighted graphs Using weighted matrix: O(|V| · |E|) Using adjacency lists: O(|E| log |V|).