September 28, 2022 School Building Committee LPS Central Office, School Committee Conference Room

The minutes were taken by Maureen Kavanaugh

#### 1. Introductions

- James Malloy, Interim Chair, Town Manager (present virtual)
- Joseph N. Pato, Select Board (present virtual)
- Julie Hackett, Superintendent of Schools (present in person)
- Kathleen M. Lenihan, School Committee (present in person)
- Mark Barrett, Public Facilities Project Manager (present virtual)
- Charles Favazzo Jr., Permanent Building Committee(present virtual)
- Jonathan A. Himmel, Permanent Building Committee Chair (virtual in person)
- Andrew Stephens, Lexington High School Principal (present in person)
- Carolyn Kosnoff, Finance Assistant Town Manager (present virtual)
- Michael Cronin, Facilities Director (present in person)
- Hsing Min Sha, Community Representative (present in person)
- Kseniya Slavsky, Community Representative (present in person)
- Charles W. Lamb, Capital Expenditures Committee (present virtual)
- Alan Mayer Levine, Appropriation Committee (present in person)
- Dan Voss, Sustainable Lexington Committee

### 2. Organization of the Committee

- Questions about Chair and Vice Chair? Do we need them both? When we bring on the OPM, this entity will essentially act as Chair and the selected chair will act as vice chair. Committee ultimately selected to have a Chair and Vice Chair
- What does the chair and vice chair do? There is a very prescribed process for the MSBA. The Chair needs to know how we are addressing the deliverables and setting agendas for the School Building Committee. When the OPM comes on board, this person would take the lead on this work.

# 3. Elect Chairperson of SBC

- Agreement that it would be better to have it be someone with a direct connection to the public schools (i.e. someone close to the work and have a sense of what is going on a daily basis
- Dr. Hackett made a motion to appoint Kathleen Lenihan as Chair of the School Building Committee. Hsing Min Sha seconded. The motion passed unanimously.
- Dr. Hackett made a motion to appoint Michael Cronin as the Vice Chair of the School Building Committee. Andrew Stephens seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

### 4. How did we get here?

# A review of the Master Planning Committee's efforts

- Master Planning materials currently posted to the district website (see also LPS Technical Master Plan and compendium). This group found that while enrollment growth had slowed at the elementary level, growth had shifted to the high school level. This new high school enrollment put more pressure on a building that was already overcapacity. After much analysis and in light of current and projected LHS enrollments being substantially higher than a reasonable estimate of the school's capacity and the age and condition of the facility, the Master Planning Advisory Committee strongly endorsed the plan to increase the size of the high school facility by replacing it with a new building or by completing a comprehensive renovation with additions.
- At the same time, there was also ongoing planning to make the most of existing space (e.g. converting storage to office space and office space to classrooms) and making reasonable additions (e.g. modulars). This work included a space utilization study that found a very high rate of use for nearly all of the existing spaces.
- This space crunch has affected how we design the high school schedule. While
  freshman and sophomores are scheduled fully, we do not have studies for Junior
  and Seniors. We do not have the space in the building to have all students from
  all four grades in the building at the same time.
- Cafeteria and lunch is another particular pinch point. We use the field house, hallways and outdoor space in the quad for lunch to supplement the current cafeteria space.
- Its age also presents challenges. Learning spaces, including science labs, are smaller than current standards. Our current approaches to special education and inclusion were not a consideration when the building was originally built.
- There is not much more we can do with the building.
- During this process, LPS and DPF have been and will continue to be mindful of making unnecessary or unwise investments in the existing building while we were considering longer term remedies.
- Addressing the building maintenance, during the project? Work of SBC or another group? This will be the work of the Master Facilities Planning Committee.
   We will continue our current maintenance plan. Given the age of the facilities, we will likely experience more utility failures (e.g. last winter heating failure in the modular classrooms). Last spring, TMMA voted to set aside a budget for emergency repairs that would go beyond this normal maintenance.

#### **Submission of Statement of Interest**

- Submitted the Statement of Interest to MSBA three times. With the third application, we were invited into the eligibility phase. This phase is focused on proving that we're ready to execute a project of this scale.
- MSBA is a partner and will give us prescribed work to do. They generally will not attend these meetings (but may on occasion).
- Tasks that have already been completed: Information about our educational programming and enrollment. Also TMMA voted to fund the feasibility study last spring at the annual town meeting.
- MSBA would cover about 25-26% of the cost of the project. We're very fortunate to receive this support.
- Next we will meet with MSBA to review enrollment information that has been submitted (September 29, 2022). In the following months, MSBA will vote to determine whether we would proceed to the next phase (year long feasibility study).

## 5. Article 97 Update

- The current LHS facility sits on and is next to land under Article 97 protection.
   Any construction that takes place on land under Article 97 protection (i.e. land designated for recreation) needs to be replaced with an equal size parcel of land.
   Town Manager, DWP and LPS has reviewed the current site and adjacent land and believe this will be possible
- Construction would likely take place on the east side of the Vinebrook. The land to the west of the Vinebrook would temporarily be used as a staging area. The specifics will depend on the actual footprint
- We have a survey that identifies all the parcels under Article 97 protection. Is this study available? The Town Manager is happy to share this with the SBC.

# 6. Where are we in the MSBA process?

- Module 1: Eligibility Period: According to MSBA, the goal of this phase is to identify "early in the process whether a District is ready to manage and fund a capital project. It will help to determine a District's financial and community readiness to enter the capital pipeline. It will also assist Districts locally by providing a definitive schedule and identifying needs for planning and budgeting."
- Community members and committee members can see the <u>MSBA website</u> for an overview of the whole process along with other information.

## 7. New LHS Capital Planning Website

• Will be launched soon. This is the best place to get accurate information.

- How does the public interact with this committee? How does this committee respond back? We should have a unified communication strategy.
  - If a committee member receives questions or requests for information, this can be brought back to the committee.
  - Frequent/commonly requested info should be posted to the website.
  - Next step: reviewing MSBA process and determining where and when there are opportunities for feedback and be clear that members of the community are aware of these.

Future meetings? Chair will reach out to group about future scheduling

Hsing Min Sha motioned to adjourn at 4:31 p.m. Dr. Hackett seconded the motion.