MEETING NOTES



Meeting Date: August 28, 2024
Project Name: Lexington High School

Project Number: Click or tap here to enter text.

Subject: Attendees: LHS Communications Working Group Meeting

Christina Dell Angelo	DWMP - Project Manager
(CA)	
Mike Burton (MB)	DWMP- Partner
☑ Jacob Greco (JG)	DWMP - Assistant Project Manager
Lorainne Finnegan (LF)	SMMA – Principal in Charge
Anoush Krafian (AK)	SMMA – Assistant Project Manager
Mark Barrett (MB2)	LHS- Public Facilities Project Manager
Mike Cronin (MC)	SBC Vice Chair & LPS Facility Director
Joe Pato (JP)	Select Board
Julie Hackett (JH)	Superintendent
☑ Jon Himmel (JH2)	PBC Chair
☑ Kathleen Lenihan (KL)	SBC Chair
✓ Kseniya Slavsky (KS)	SBC Community Representative
☑ Hsing Min Sha (HMS)	SBC Community Representative
• Jonas Miller (JM)	Communications Director

Agenda Item	Description
1.	Introduction: Refer to attendees list.

2. Review FAQ items and comments from team members, discuss additional recreation items

- J.Miller shared the draft FAQs This can be viewed here
- Add in debate at the start
- How much will it cost?
 - C.Kosnoff noted that there are multiple documents published that are showing costs over 700 million when the larger field houses are included
 - H.M. Sha noted here is a range of costs are only conceptual and they will change
 - J.Himmel noted that this cost is really three costs and should be divided into three paragraphs
 - K.Lenihan noted she is worried about these responses being too long and thinks they should be smaller with links to more detail
 - J.Hackett thinks it should be called out for the base project scope and have the additional options separate
- How long will it take?
 - o K.Slavsky noted the use of the phrase final design should not be used as this is a selection of a concept not a final design
 - K.Lenihan thinks it is important to put themselves in the mindset of a community member that does not know a lot about the project
 - K.Slavsky noted the timeline shown is for the option of new construction on the fields and if it is a renovation there will be phasing which will take longer
 - M.Burton suggested just having it say it will take 4-5 years depending on the chosen option
- Where will it be located?
 - o J.Himmel noted this current wording only reflect new construction
 - o H.M. Sha just recommends referring to it as the future building
 - K.Slavsky recommends sharing what parts of the site will absolutely not be affected
- C.D. Angelo recommends scheduling a sub-sub group to work on finalizing the FAQs
 - K.Lenihan agrees

3. Outline tasks for September community meeting

- K.Lenihan asked if the location has been finalized
 - J.Hackett thinks it should stay at Baton Hall unless people have very strong feelings about it being at the high school

- K.Lenihan confirmed the location
- J.Hackett thinks this one should be turned back to the project team as it is very detailed and a presentation should be used to help share this information
- J.Miller thinks that the presentation should be simplified to around 10-15 minutes for the public to just grasp the topics. Miller believes the public will focus mostly on the comparisons to other schools
- K.Slavsky noted that people keep asking about how this project compares to other schools, she noted how the costs we currently have are based on the historical date of other schools. Slavsky noted that the payback period for the sustainable incentives is one to two years. She also thinks the high cost of doing nothing should be shared as being important
 - M.Cronin noted that this building is way past being fixed and will need 100-200 million dollars to fix
- K.Lenihan thinks it will be helpful to provide a handout or two with the information on the project and cost comparisons and one about why the sustainable incentives are being pursued.
- J.Himmel agrees with K.Slavsky and thinks it will be beneficial to price all the items and then compare it to the historical date to back into it from a top down way
- K.Lenihan wanted to outline the actual tasks for the meeting
 - o K.Slavsky thinks that the "High Cost of doing Nothing" should be an important part of the presentation
 - M.Cronin noted he is uncomfortable with just assuming they can patch things up in the building as if the vote does not pass there will need to be a nine-figure investment just to fix the basics which would not help the overcrowding or the educational program
 - K.Lenihan agrees and thinks this will be important to include in the FAQs, what will the Plan B be?
 - M.Burton noted the presentation should start with an update about what has been completed and then lead into the next steps required to get to one option on November 12th
 - K.Lenihan agrees and thinks there should be about 15 minutes of presenting/talking at the start. She also noted the next summit is the day after this on the 19th.
 - K.Slavsky nominated M.Cronin to talk to the cost of doing nothing but thinks it should be included in the 15 minutes and not extra time
 - M.Burton noted that if we want to keep it short the video should be shared ahead of time and referenced and not actually viewed during the meeting
 - K.Lenihan suggested adding a QR code to the video on the flyers that are sent out

	 C.D. Angelo brought up the list of stakeholder meetings and how there are no zoom links or location for any of them yet. K.Lenihan said she would look into and talk to Dr.Hacketts office
7.	Close

Sincerely,

DORE + WHITTIER

Jacob Greco Assistant Project Manager

Cc: Attendees, File

The above is my summation of our meeting. If you have any additions and/or corrections, please contact me for incorporation into these minutes