MEETING NOTES



Meeting Date: January 24, 2024
Project Name: Lexington High School

Project Number:

Subject: MEP and Sustainability Focus Group Meeting

Attendees:

Present	Name	Affiliation	Present	Name	Affiliation
√	Cynthia Arens	Sustainable Lexington Committee Chair		David Pinnsonnau l t	Director of Public Works
	Susan Barrett	Town Transportation Manager	√	Todd Rhodes	Sustainable Lexington Vice Chair
√	Chris Bouchard	Project Engineer	√	Mark Sandeen	Select Board
√	Phil Coleman	Permanent Building Committee	√	Sophie Shaw	Student
	Julie Hackett	Superintendent of Schools	√	Nancy Sofen	Tree Committee
	Wendy Heiger-Bernays	Board of Health		Bernardo Streithorst	Student
✓	Jon Himmel	Permanent Building Committee Chair	√	Jillian Tung	Board of Hea l th
	Lin Jensen	Support/Resident	√	Dan Voss	Sustainable Lexington Committee
✓	Vincent Lerow	AV Technician		Dave Wininger	Digital Learning Coach
	Tina McBride	Support/Resident	√	Lorraine Finnegan	SMMA-Project Manager
√	Asa Mele	Student	√	Matt Rice	SMMA-Project Architect
✓	Shawn Newell	Assistant Director of Facilities	√	Martine Dion	SMMA-Director of Sustainability
✓	Glenn Parker	Appropriation Committee Chair	√	Andy Oldeman	SMMA-Director of Engineering
	Maggie Peard	Town of Sustainability and Resilience Officer	√	Anthony Jimenez	SMMA-Electrical Engineer
✓	Brian Black	SMMA-Design Architect	√	Rachel Rahmlow	SMMA-Project Manager for Sustainability
				Vamshi Gooje	SMMA-Principal in Charge for Sustainability
			√	Mike Burton	Dore + Whittier
			√	Christina Dell Angelo	Dore + Whittier
			√	Rachel Rincon	Dore + Whittier
			√	Elias Grijalva	Dore + Whittier

Agenda	Description
Item	

1.	Introduction: Refer to attendees list.		
2.	Please refer to attached presentation for meeting materials.		
	SMMA introduced team: Lorraine Finnegan Project Manager Matt Rice Project Architect		

Martine Dion Director of Sustainability

Andy Oldeman Director of Engineering

Anthony Jimenez Electrical Engineer

Rebecca Rahmlow Project Manager for Sustainability

Vamshi Gooje Principal in Charge for Sustainability

SMMA team states that the first meeting today is to go around the room to each focus group member, hear what they are concerned about, what they want to talk about and goal at next meeting is to answer the questions and concerns.

SMMA presents the focus group objective:

Review preferred MEP systems, sustainable design features, healthy materials, environmentally friendly design and renewable opportunities.

Focus Group Timeline:

At the first meeting the agenda is to listen, at the second meeting to review and respond, at the third to confirm and recommend, and the fourth to reconvene with all of the focus groups.

SMMA explains that the project is currently in the PDP phase with the MSBA. They explain that a PDP is the Preliminary Design Program process. During this project the District and its team collaborate with the MSBA to:

Document their educational program

Generate an initial space summary

Document existing conditions

Establish design parameters

Develop and evaluate alternatives

Recommend the most cost effective and educationally appropriate preferred solution

SMMA reviews the project sustainability givens:

MSBA Green School Policy:

- Base: LEED Silver, Stretch Energy Code, MEP/BE/Ongoing LEED Commissioning, 3LEED MR/IEQ points
- 4% Additional Reimbursement: Specialized stretch code (3%), and 5 LEED MR/IEQ points

Massachusetts Specialized Code

- Full compliance with the standard stretch energy code
- 3 pathways:
 - All electric HVAC and DHW pathway
 - o (2) fossil fuel heating pathways
 - Electrification of heating readiness
 - Renewable energy

Lexington Integrated Design Policy

- SBC voted 9/14/23
 - LEED Gold minimum
 - Target Platinum
 - All electric heating and cooling systems
 - o Resilience Level 2 (field house/gym), level 3 (remainder)
- PBC Recommendations:
 - EUI 25% or 30% >ASHRAE 90.1-2019
 - Net zero energy
 - o Optimize renewals on-site
 - Battery storage analysis

SMMA presents draft LEED scorecard and explains will further review at next focus group meeting.

C. Arens:

Thinking about the future

Separate metering for water systems and electrical systems

Measure what the building does

Doing this ahead of time

Tie maintenance into educational possibilities

Low toxicity and avoiding red list materials

Reusables outside of cafeteria, lounges

What is embodied carbon? Teach students

Potential for bus batteries to increase resilience

Being cognizant of expansion of the systems that need to support the building

Micro rhythms, educating students

C. Bouchard:

Goals cutting edge building latest MEP technology

Integration, all electric renewable energy

Net zero

Batteries

EV charging stations

A lot of communication and collaboration with utilities

Building orientation maximize solar

Consider best system: life cycle cost analysis, geothermal, air source, utility costs, service, maintenance

4 pipe and 2 pipe and lessons learned

Simultaneous cooling and heating at same time

Backup for systems

Closed loop, open loop, wells, and maintenance

Heat pumps designing can be used on coldest days, etc.

10 years from now on the type of systems

Electrical sub meter, plumbing sub metering, leaking, valves to shut off etc. to mitigate loss

P. Coleman:

Agree with Chris Bouchard

Challenge for group and project is to integrate so many things into one project

That itself is a lesson learned

Recent schools, lessons learned, geothermal, important to get data from recent schools and bring it forward into discussion on how the design will land at the end of day

Overlap with tomorrow committee with design group, efficiency of outside wall tie to mechanical to everything

All interest of the town to get the best value

Cutting edge design to make sure right value for every dollar spent

J. Himmel:

Site security safety focus session

Million dollars in operating costs could save with right solution

EAU

Identify operating costs

Design

The beyond

That could deliver annual sales

IDP explore options as early as possible

Solution not something that's simple but integrated

Tech at 1st life cycle

Grants utilize and optimize

Open PBC/SLC work session to explore the beyond the usual business

Explore parameters of grants programs, life cycle, beyond business and usual

Consultants explore solutions then presented to PBC and SLC before SBC

Viable solutions 3rd step

V. Leroy:

Theater spaces larger supply of electricity

Full rigging in space fixtures to allow for service

Storage spaces and mechanical areas that house these items

24/7 HVAC and humidity control

A.Mele:

Students' representation and aware of what's happening in the project

Encourage team to get the word out and get them to contribute and be transparent about project

S. Newell:

Echo Chris Bouchard

Viability of ASHP (air source heat pump) in the next 5-10 years and repairs on them

Integration of all these systems into one specific area and sensors, security, and look at larger system

G. Parker:

Goal to serve town meeting on recommendation on spending of the proejct

Initial cost and ongoing costs

Need robust systems that are efficient and easy to maintain

M. Peard:

Lexington place to eager to push envelope in sustainability

Not just todays best practices but beyond

Emerging technologies

Transportation: car traffic, easy egress and encourage students to bike or walk to school

Transition to electric school busses

EV chargers

Curriculum that students can learn from the building

Monitors in the school

Outdoor connection and learning spaces

T. Rhodes:

Town will have electric school buses in the future

Don't have a place to charge them

Could be offsite to charge

And could be integrated into the high school

Batteries would support the building as well

Needs to be early in the process

M. Sandeen:

Goal of IDP is for health of students and staff

If there is a tradeoff between energy efficiency and health, we will go towards health as a priority

When we model what we need for energy storage systems

All of decisions are made for health of students and staff

Recommendations from DPH and board of health

600 million CO2/3 megawatts of solar would be needed

Sensors in the building air quality so they can respond to it

Ventilation is quite large

No amount of ventilation gets rid of the toxins in the walls, carpets, etc. red list and offset of the materials being used

Significant upfront agreement with utilities

Make sure have enough energy storage and integration system agreement

Need an hourly energy model at very early stage

Modeling heating and cooling load

S. Shaw:

Increase transparency for students

Renewable energy

EV charging stations

Heating and cooling in building is very inconsistent

Important to build green spaces for students to interact with nature

N. Sofen:

Green infrastructure is the only infrastructure that doesn't depreciate

Incremental but important accomplishments

Use of trees for shading, cooling, wind

Sustainable sites would be helpful in deciding where to site the school

Health of students

Creating outdoor spaces

Look at on tree design?? To figure out where to plant trees

Landscaping to provide shade and lower air temperatures

Use of native plants

J. Tung:

Support healthfulness to minimize detrimental materials

Air quality and ventilation is important for health

Access to outdoors is important

Preferably away from roads

Exposure to natural light indoors

Acoustic comfort, mindfulness of noise levels

Consideration of maintenance of the structure

D. Voss:

Timing is critical when thinking about incorporating sustainability elements

Making building solar ready

Putting in infrastructure for solar EV

Designing building to be ready for storage

Not solutions that can be tacked on afterwards without significant cost

Ask ourselves about the cost of implications of delay

L. Jensen:

Solar ready building is critical

Should strive for net positive

Creative roofline pitch facing south

Canopies

Awnings

Massing of building - utilize space for multifunction's

Combining spaces that aren't occupied at the same time to reduce energy use

Have one wing without geothermal to allow for expansion

M. Sandeen:

Local bike store owner

Selling 3 times as many e bikes as pedal bikes

Storage for e bikes are bigger and security measures/charging

Next Steps:

What to expect in meeting #2:

Objective: The design team will speak on the topics below as well as the priorities brought up by the focus group today

Topics:

Lexington Public Schools experience with systems-best practices and lessons learned

All electric MEP systems

Renewable energy

Net-Zero Energy

Exterior Materials & Massing/Orientation

Embodied Carbon

Healthfulness

Interior Materials, indoor air quality, access to daylight and outdoors

Sustainable Sites

3. Close

The next site, safety and security focus group meeting will be held on February 12, 2024 1-3pm. Estabrook Hall, Cary Memorial Building.

Sincerely,

DORE + WHITTIER

Christina Dell Angelo

Project Manager

Cc: Attendees, File

The above is my summation of our meeting. If you have any additions and/or corrections, please contact me for incorporation into these minutes.