

Report of MaaS-API working group

*30/09/2020, session #34
10:00h-11:00h, Teleconference*

Attended by (13)

Christiaan Rakowski (XXIMO)
Eddy Borremans (Nazza)
Edwin van den Belt (DAT.Mobility)
Eric Verbeek (Arriva)
Gadi Lenz (UMI)
Kushagra Sharma (Radiuz)
Marijn Rovers (PON)
Martijn van der Linden (Mobiliteitsfabriek)
Rens Wagenbuur (Translink)
Robert Baart (Paxx)
Ruud Mollema (Ministry of IenW)
Stefan Bollars (Innovactory)
Tjalle Groen (Taxistop)

Summary of decision points & feedback from actions from previous working session

The following tasks were defined during the previous sessions:

<i>No.</i>	<i>Context</i>	<i>Task</i>	<i>Status</i>	<i>Due date</i>
34.1	Release v1.0	Edwin & Tjalle make some last changes to the blueprint. Ruud releases the press release & blueprint.	To do	30-09-2020
34.2	Send survey to the network	All are requested, by WT2, to reach out to your own network and send out the new survey.	To do	14-10-2020
34.3	Setup subgroup for the website.	Martijn will initiate a sub-group for the website. (in collab with WT4)	To do	14-10-2020
34.4	Authentication	Edwin revisits authentication in the next meeting	To do	14-10-2020

1. Approval of report of previous working session

The report of the previous working session (#33) was reviewed during this session and has been approved. It will be added to GitHub.

Action points :

33.1 : Bon has contacted Paul & Stijn

33.2 : new milestones for Elephant :

- Edwin : Make TOMP-API compliant with the full MaaS ecosystem. Communicate with all other parties & structures.
- Martijn : Add private pools / closed user groups. Enable private assets for certain groups of users. Edwin will invite Martijn to the discussions.

2. Release of the blueprint for Dragonfly version 1.0

The blueprint has been approved for release, with a minor change regarding future development of payment options.

Dragonfly 1.0 will be released today 30.09.2020.

3. WT1 Technical issues

- Finished all issues regarding the release
- Updated the reference implementations.
- Some new issues for version 1.1 – question – when to release a new breaking update.
- 0.9 and 1.0 are backwards compatible, there are no breaking changes.

4. WT2 Reference implementation and certification

- Survey for the test of TOMP-API – the response has been disappointing.
- Working on the next implementation survey. (almost ready)
Discussion on how to get more results :
 - focus on rate of adaptation.
 - Fitness of the TOMP-API
 - Anonymous – honest responses
 - Use (personal) network to get more responses. (see task 34.2)
- Next up : How to reach standardization of deep linking.

5. WT3 Standards

- Invited Paul & Stijn to give a presentation on their (TOMP-API related) Initiatives – (next meeting or meeting after that).
- Edwin : GBFS – community – do we have connections? 2.0 has been released & updates are coming. What are the consequences of these releases.

6. WT4 Dissemination and communication

- Blueprint for Dragonfly – ready to be released.
Starting from the WIKI & exporting to PDF. The framework is setup & working– for the next release some more attention to visual detail is possible.

- The website has been updated with an introduction text.

7. Any other business and tasks for next meeting

- Frequency : We will keep the current two weekly schedule. But keep them short (about 60 minutes).
After the responses of the v1.0 we will revisit the frequency.
- WT4 will start managing the website. A subteam will be setup to get this going. (Kushagra and/or Ross are kindly invited), this team should manage the next steps. Update in the next meeting.
- Round table on Authentication – lead by Edwin.
 - "A physical – offline - agreement between MP-TO is needed before they can do any business": actually everyone agreed on this statement. Even 'decoupling' the MP and TO by placing a clearing house in between requires standardized contract.
 - "As TO I want to be able to connect to all MPs": general disagreed. A TO wants to elect MPs it wants to work with.
 - "As MP I want to use be able to connect to all TOs": general agreed. Although some hesitation was noticed.
 - "Security of the data is really important, the 'how' is less important": general disagree. We actually agreed on there must be a strong recommendation for ONE standard
 - "As MaaS party, we recommend" We were with only a limited amount of people, but OAuth2 seems to be worth while to investigate.

Next online meeting (working session #35) on Wednesday 14-10-2020, 10:00h