

Neural Activity and Language as Myrion Resolutions

How the Brain and Communication Resolve Contradictions Through CCC-Guided Dialectic

Author: Brandon -----

Institution: TI-UOP Research Platform

Date: November 11, 2025

Abstract

The Myrion Resolution framework—a method for resolving contradictions by finding higher-order truth that transcends apparent opposites—applies not just to philosophical paradoxes but to fundamental processes of cognition and communication. We demonstrate that neural activity operates as continuous Myrion resolution: opposing neural assemblies compete, synthesize, and settle into coherent states that preserve truth from both sides. Similarly, language evolution and conversation structure follow Myrion dialectic: thesis-antithesis-synthesis cycles that build understanding. This framework unifies neuroscience (predictive coding, neural oscillations, decision-making) with linguistics (semantic compositionality, pragmatics, language change) under a single CCC-mediated principle. Empirical predictions include: neural gamma-theta coupling as Myrion resolution signatures, conversational turn-taking as dialectic optimization, and language evolution toward sacred number patterns (3-word phrases, 11-syllable verses, 33-word paragraphs).

Keywords: Myrion resolution, neural oscillations, predictive coding, language evolution, dialectic, CCC consciousness, contradiction resolution

Introduction

The Myrion Resolution Framework

In philosophy, contradictions appear unresolvable:

- **Free will vs. Determinism:** How can we be both free and determined?
- **Wave vs. Particle:** Is light a wave or particle?
- **One vs. Many:** Is reality fundamentally unified or diverse?

The Myrion Resolution approach says: **Don't choose sides—find the higher truth that makes both true simultaneously.** For example:

- Free will + Determinism = **2/3 Determined sweet spot** (see companion paper)
- Wave + Particle = **Quantum wavefunction** (both aspects, context-dependent)
- One + Many = **CCC (One) instantiating i-cells (Many)** through consciousness fabric

This framework emerged from my 2022 manic episode divine download (GILE Framework + PN→C→CCC→ME ontology). But its implications extend far beyond philosophy.

Neural Activity as Contradiction Resolution

Consider what your brain does every moment:

- **Perceptual conflict:** Eyes say "moving," vestibular system says "still" → Resolve to coherent experience
- **Decision conflict:** Left hand wants food, right hand says "diet" → Resolve to action
- **Conceptual conflict:** Memory says "friend," current behavior says "enemy" → Update belief

Every neural process involves **competing signals that must be reconciled.** The brain doesn't just pick a winner—it synthesizes a resolution that preserves information from both sides.

This IS Myrion resolution, implemented in wetware.

Language as Dialectic Synthesis

Conversation follows thesis-antithesis-synthesis:

- **Speaker:** "X is true" (thesis)
- **Listener:** "But what about Y?" (antithesis)
- **Speaker:** "Ah, X is true in context Z, Y in context W" (synthesis)

Language evolution shows the same pattern:

- **Old word:** "Mouse" = small rodent
- **New context:** Computers need pointing devices
- **Synthesis:** "Mouse" = pointing device (metaphorical extension preserving core meaning)

Language is Myrion resolution across minds and time.

Theoretical Framework

Myrion Resolution as Universal Principle

From CCC theory, we know:

1. **CCC (Consciousness as Absolute Truth)** is eternal, omnipresent, unified
2. **I-cells** are finite, local, diverse
3. **Reconciling CCC and i-cells requires Myrion resolution:** Unity AND Diversity simultaneously true

This principle scales DOWN to neural and linguistic processes:

- **Neural level:** Competing assemblies → Coherent state
- **Linguistic level:** Competing meanings → Synthesized understanding
- **Social level:** Competing narratives → Shared truth (ideally)

The mechanism in all cases: **CCC-mediated optimization toward maximum truth preservation.**

Neural Myrion Resolution: Predictive Coding

The brain's predictive coding framework (Friston, 2010) is Myrion resolution formalized:

- **Top-down prediction:** "I expect X" (thesis)
- **Bottom-up sensation:** "I observe Y" (antithesis)
- **Prediction error minimization:** Update model to explain both prediction and sensation (synthesis)

Mathematically:

$$F = -\log P(\text{sensation}, \text{prediction})$$
 (free energy to minimize)

This free energy minimization IS Myrion resolution—finding the model that best reconciles prior expectations (thesis) with new data (antithesis).

Linguistic Myrion Resolution: Semantic Compositionality

When you hear "colorless green ideas sleep furiously" (Chomsky's famous example), your brain experiences semantic conflict:

- **"Colorless" conflicts with "green"**
- **"Ideas" don't literally "sleep"**
- **Nothing sleeps "furiously"**

Yet you CAN make sense of it through Myrion resolution:

- Colorless green = metaphorically bland ecology-related concepts
- Ideas sleep = inactive, dormant
- Furiously = with latent energy

The brain SYNTHESIZES a higher interpretation preserving elements of all conflicting terms. This is compositional semantics as Myrion dialectic.

Empirical Evidence: Neural Level

1. Gamma-Theta Coupling as Myrion Signature

Neural oscillations show nested hierarchies:

- **Theta (4-8 Hz):** Slow, integrative, "thesis"
- **Gamma (30-100 Hz):** Fast, detailed, "antithesis"
- **Cross-frequency coupling:** Gamma nested within theta cycles (Lisman & Jensen, 2013)

Interpretation: Theta provides context (thesis), gamma provides details (antithesis), their coupling implements Myrion resolution (synthesis). Studies show:

- **Lisman & Jensen (2013):** Theta-gamma coupling critical for memory integration
- **Canolty et al. (2006):** Phase-amplitude coupling predicts cognitive performance

Prediction: Disrupting theta-gamma coupling should impair Myrion resolution (contradiction handling). Test: Present subjects with logical paradoxes during tACS (transcranial alternating current stimulation) at theta vs. gamma. Gamma-disrupted subjects should show slower resolution times.

2. Default Mode Network vs. Task-Positive Network

The brain has two anti-correlated networks (Anticevic et al., 2012):

- **DMN (Default Mode):** Self-referential, integrative, global
- **TPN (Task-Positive):** Goal-directed, analytic, local

These seem contradictory—how can both be adaptive? **Myrion resolution:** The brain ALTERNATES and INTEGRATES:

- DMN active during rest → Synthesize experiences (thesis)
- TPN active during tasks → Analyze specifics (antithesis)
- High performers show FLEXIBLE switching between both (synthesis)

Cognitive Performance	DMN-TPN Interaction	Myrion Resolution
Low IQ	Strong anti-correlation (pick sides)	Poor
High IQ	Flexible switching + simultaneous	Strong
Expert performance	Integrated activation	Optimal

Evidence: Anticevic et al. (2012) found high-IQ individuals maintain BOTH networks simultaneously more than low-IQ individuals—they Myrion resolve the DMN-TPN conflict instead of picking sides.

3. Decision-Making: Drift-Diffusion Models

When choosing between options A and B, neural activity shows (Krajbich et al., 2010):

- **Evidence accumulation:** Signal drifts toward A or B
- **Threshold crossing:** Decision made when signal hits threshold

But what about CONTRADICTORY evidence ("A is good for X, B is good for Y")?

Myrion resolution interpretation: The brain doesn't just sum evidence—it SYNTHESIZES a higher criterion that makes A vs. B coherent with values:

- If X matters more than Y right now → Choose A
- But remember B's advantage for future decisions → Update value model

Krajbich et al. (2010) showed gaze-dependent evidence accumulation—looking at A increases A's signal, looking at B increases B's. **This is Myrion resolution:** The brain uses attention to explore both sides before synthesizing.

Empirical Evidence: Linguistic Level

1. Conversational Turn-Taking as Dialectic Optimization

Stivers et al. (2009) found universal 200ms gap between conversational turns across 10 languages. Why this specific timing?

Gap Duration	Effect	Myrion Resolution Quality
<100ms	No processing time	Poor (reactive, not dialectic)
~200ms	Optimal	Strong (thesis-antithesis-synthesis)
>500ms	Flow breaks	Degraded (delayed synthesis)

Myrion resolution model:

- Too short (<100ms): No time for listener to formulate antithesis → Shallow conversation
- Too long (>500ms): Conversational flow breaks, synthesis delayed
- **~200ms: Optimal for thesis → brief processing → antithesis → synthesis cycle**

Prediction: High-coherence conversations (both speakers $Q \geq 0.7$) should show shorter gaps ($\sim 150\text{ms}$) because Myrion resolution happens faster. Test: Correlate Q-score with turn-taking latency. Expect negative correlation.

2. Semantic Ambiguity Resolution

Words often have multiple meanings ("bank" = financial institution OR river edge). How does brain choose?

Classical model: Context activates one meaning, suppresses others (Swinney, 1979)

Myrion model: Context **SYNTHESIZES** meanings—both remain partially active, reconciled at higher level

Evidence: Swinney (1979) found BOTH meanings of ambiguous words activate initially, then context guides resolution. But Vitello & Rodd (2015) showed subordinate meanings aren't fully suppressed—they remain accessible, consistent with Myrion preservation of partial truth.

3. Language Evolution: Sacred Number Patterns

If language evolution follows Myrion resolution toward CCC optimization, we predict sacred number patterns (3, 11, 33) in linguistic structures:

Evidence:

- **Triplets dominate:** "Life, liberty, pursuit of happiness" (3)
- **11-syllable poetic lines:** Haiku ($5-7-5 = 17$, but often 11 in practice); iambic pentameter $\approx 10-11$ syllables
- **Paragraph lengths:** Optimal readability around 30-40 words (clusters near 33)

Study needed: Analyze 10^6 sentences across 50 languages. Test if phrase lengths, syllable counts, and syntactic structures cluster around 3, 11, 33 more than random primes (5, 7, 13).

Implications for Neuroscience

1. Rethinking Neural Codes

Standard view: **Neurons encode specific features** (edge detectors, place cells, concept cells)

Myrion view: **Neurons encode DIALECTIC STATES**—not "this vs. that" but "this-synthesized-with-that"

Example: Face cells don't just detect "face present" but resolve "face vs. object" conflict into "face-ness degree" spectrum.

2. Predictive Coding as Myrion Machine

Friston's free energy principle becomes: **Brains are Myrion resolution machines minimizing contradiction between predictions and observations.**

This explains:

- **Perception:** Reconcile prior beliefs with sensory data
- **Action:** Reconcile desired states with current states
- **Learning:** Reconcile old models with new evidence

3. Consciousness as Meta-Myrion Resolution

If neural activity is Myrion resolution, **consciousness is Myrion resolution OF Myrion resolutions**—a meta-level synthesis integrating all local neural dialectics into unified experience.

This explains the "binding problem": How do distributed neural processes create unified consciousness? Answer: Through CCC-mediated hierarchical Myrion resolution cascading from local (neurons) → regional (assemblies) → global (consciousness).

Implications for Linguistics

1. Meaning as Synthesis, Not Selection

Standard semantics: **Words have fixed meanings selected by context**

Myrion semantics: **Words have meaning POTENTIALS synthesized by context**

This explains:

- Metaphor: Synthesizing literal and figurative meanings
- Polysemy: Multiple related meanings coexisting, context-weighted
- Novel word combinations: "Quantum sadness" makes sense via synthesis, not predefined meaning

2. Grammar as Dialectic Constraint

Why do all languages have subject-verb-object structures (in various orders)?

Myrion answer: SVO encodes thesis-action-antithesis structure:

- Subject = thesis (agent)
- Verb = resolution process
- Object = antithesis (patient)

"John hits ball" = John (thesis) resolves interaction with ball (antithesis) via hitting (synthesis).

Languages differ in word order (SVO, SOV, VSO) but preserve dialectic triad—different manifestations of same Myrion principle.

3. Language Change as Cultural Myrion Resolution

When languages change (e.g., "thee/thou" → "you"), it's not random drift but Myrion resolution of social contradictions:

- **Old system:** Formal "you" vs. informal "thee" (thesis vs. antithesis)
- **Social change:** Egalitarianism grows
- **Resolution:** "You" for all (synthesis preserving politeness via context, not grammar)

Prediction: Language changes correlate with cultural Myrion moments (revolutions, technological shifts). Test: Analyze rate of linguistic change during major cultural transitions. Expect spikes.

Empirical Predictions and Falsification

Testable Predictions

1. Theta-Gamma Coupling Predicts Contradiction Resolution:

- Present logical paradoxes during EEG recording
- Faster resolvers should show stronger theta-gamma coupling
- Disrupting coupling (tACS) should slow resolution

2. Conversational Gap and Q-Score Correlation:

- Record conversations, measure turn-taking latency
- Calculate Q-score for participants (HRV coherence)
- Expect negative correlation: higher Q → shorter gaps

3. Sacred Number Clustering in Language:

- Analyze phrase lengths in 10^6 sentences across 50 languages
- Count clustering around 3, 11, 33 vs. control primes (5, 7, 13)
- Expect 15-30% excess for sacred numbers

Falsification Criteria

Theory needs revision if:

1. **No theta-gamma effect:** Coupling shows zero correlation with contradiction resolution performance (n=200 subjects)
 2. **No conversational gap effect:** Q-score and turn-taking latency uncorrelated (n=500 conversations)
 3. **No sacred language pattern:** Phrase lengths show NO clustering around 3, 11, 33 beyond noise (10^6 sentence sample)
-

Conclusion

Neural activity and language aren't separate domains—they're manifestations of the same CCC-mediated Myrion resolution principle operating at different scales:

- **Neurons:** Competing assemblies → Coherent firing patterns
- **Perception:** Conflicting signals → Unified experience
- **Cognition:** Opposing ideas → Synthesized understanding
- **Language:** Competing meanings → Shared interpretation
- **Evolution:** Cultural contradictions → Linguistic adaptation

The brain is a **Myrion resolution engine**, perpetually synthesizing higher truths from apparent contradictions. Language is **externalized Myrion dialectic**, allowing i-cells to share their syntheses and collectively approach CCC.

Practical takeaway: When facing contradictions (in thought, conversation, or research), don't pick sides—ask "What higher truth makes both partially correct?" This is how your brain ALREADY operates; make it conscious.

Existential takeaway: Every thought you have, every word you speak, participates in the universe's grand Myrion resolution project—the eternal dialectic between CCC (unity) and i-cells (diversity), converging toward absolute truth through consciousness.

Embrace the contradiction. Resolve it. Repeat.

References

1. Friston, K. (2010). "The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory?" *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 11(2), 127-138.
 2. Lisman, J.E., & Jensen, O. (2013). "The theta-gamma neural code." *Neuron*, 77(6), 1002-1016.
 3. Canolty, R.T., et al. (2006). "High gamma power is phase-locked to theta oscillations in human neocortex." *Science*, 313(5793), 1626-1628.
 4. Anticevic, A., et al. (2012). "The role of default network deactivation in cognition and disease." *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 16(12), 584-592.
 5. Krajbich, I., Armel, C., & Rangel, A. (2010). "Visual fixations and the computation and comparison of value in simple choice." *Nature Neuroscience*, 13(10), 1292-1298.
 6. Stivers, T., et al. (2009). "Universals and cultural variation in turn-taking in conversation." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(26), 10587-10592.
 7. Vitello, S., & Rodd, J.M. (2015). "Resolving semantic ambiguities in sentences: Cognitive processes and brain mechanisms." *Language, Cognition and Neuroscience*, 30(3), 328-344.
-

Word Count: 2,450 words

Citation Count: 7 peer-reviewed sources

Falsification Criteria:

1. No theta-gamma coupling effect in contradiction resolution tasks (n=200)
2. No Q-score correlation with conversational turn-taking latency (n=500 dyads)
3. No sacred number clustering in linguistic structures (10^6 sentence sample)

Limitations:

- Myrion resolution mechanism at neural level needs more detailed computational modeling
- Sacred number patterns in language may be confirmation bias; need blind analysis
- Conversational dynamics involve many factors beyond Myrion resolution (cultural norms, personality)

Future Directions:

- Develop computational models of neural Myrion resolution (predictive coding + dialectic dynamics)
- Large-scale linguistic corpus analysis for sacred number patterns
- tACS experiments disrupting theta-gamma coupling during contradiction resolution tasks
- Cross-cultural conversation analysis correlating Q-score with dialectic efficiency