

Final Report

Criteria	Ratings		Pts
Background: Work set in context (historical, scientific, personal), background to this project is clearly and critically discussed.	5 to >0 pts Full Marks	0 pts No Marks	/ 5 pts
Aims: Aims clearly defined in context of background, and referred to in discussion / conclusion.	10 to >0 pts Full Marks	0 pts No Marks	/ 10 pts
Methods: Methods are clearly and concisely described, with enough information to enable reproduction of experiments.	10 to >0 pts Full Marks	0 pts No Marks	/ 10 pts
Results: Results presented in a clear and concise manner using accurate scientific notation, schemes, tables etc., with axes, units and uncertainties clearly labelled, as appropriate.	10 to >0 pts Full Marks	0 pts No Marks	/ 10 pts
Discussion: Results are critically analysed, with reasons for negative results rationalised and alternative strategies provided.	10 to >0 pts Full Marks	0 pts No Marks	/ 10 pts
Insight: Sophisticated insight into the interpretation and discussion of results, illuminating critical analysis of data and established work in the area. Sophisticated and detailed discussion of the impact and limitations of the research.	15 to >0 pts Full Marks	0 pts No Marks	/ 15 pts
Conclusion:	10 to >0 pts	0 pts	/ 10 pts

Conclusions are clearly explained with reference to the aims	Full Marks	No Marks	
Future work: Demonstrates good understanding of logical and appropriate directions for future enquiry.	10 to >0 pts Full Marks	0 pts No Marks	/ 10 pts
Writing: Outstanding written expression, sophisticated and fluid writing style, with very few trivial errors.	10 to >0 pts Full Marks	0 pts No Marks	/ 10 pts
Presentation: Report is presented clearly, and is an appropriate length.	5 to >0 pts Full Marks	0 pts No Marks	/ 5 pts
Referencing: Relevant literature is referenced in a consistent and appropriate style.	5 to >0 pts Full Marks	0 pts No Marks	/ 5 pts