PHYS-243 Brandon Evans - Homework #1 Notebook

April 13, 2019

1 PHYS-243 - Spring 2019

1.1 Homework 1 - Due 12-Apr-2019

Dr. Mobasher / TA Abtin Shahidi

Brandon Evans MSOL

1.1.1 1.1 - Recursive to Iterative Function

```
In [1]: #Fibonacci sequence is in the form of preceeding 2-terms' sum form next term: 0,1,1,2
        #This is where F0=0, F1=1, else F(x) = F(x-2)+F(x-1)
        import numpy as np
        import time
        import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
        def fibrec(n):
            if n < 0: raise ValueError('Invalid input value: %d' % n)</pre>
            if n <= 1:
                return n
            return fibrec(n-2)+fibrec(n-1)
        def fibloop(n):
            if n < 0: raise ValueError('Invalid input value: %d' % n)</pre>
            fib_array = np.empty([0],dtype=int)
            for i in range(0,n+1):
                if i < 2:
                    #The first two iterations are the basic elements of the Fibanacci sequence
                    fib_array = np.append(fib_array,[i],axis=0)
                else:
                    \#Accessing\ previous\ n elements of array made easy with Python syntax
                    fib_array = np.append(fib_array,[(fib_array[-1]+fib_array[-2])],axis=0)
            return fib_array[-1] #Result is merely the n-1 element calculated if we over-drive
```

1.1.2 Hypothesis of performance between Recursive (fibrec) and Iterative (fibloop) functions:

fibrec(n): I believe this will be of $O(c^n)$ time due to the recursion required to reach the core elements of the sequence (0 and 1) fibloop(n): I believe this will be of O(n) time due to a single loop of n+1 iterations

1.1.3 Do fibrec() and fibloop() functions agree?

Functions agree?: True

1.1.4 1.3 Function Performance

```
In [3]: def func_timer(f,n,k,debug=False):
    #Function (f), Fibonacci steps (n), test cycles (k)
    if debug:
        print("Testing %d steps, %d cycles:" % (n, k))
    timer_start = time.time()
    for x in range(0,k):
        f(n)
    elapsed = time.time() - timer_start
    avg_time = round(elapsed/k,5)
    if debug:
        print("Function %s finished in %s secs. Average time: %s secs" % (f,round(elapreturn avg_time))

#for x in range(0,n):
# fibloop(x)
#print("fibloop() finished in %s secs" % round(time.time() - timer_start,3))
```

Testing 24 steps, 30 cycles:

res = func_timer(fibrec,24,30,1)
res = func_timer(fibloop,24,30,1)

Function <function fibrec at 0x7f99c83626a8> finished in 0.744 secs. Average time: 0.02481 secs. Testing 24 steps, 30 cycles:

Function <function fibloop at 0x7f999ffabd90> finished in 0.002 secs. Average time: 8e-05 secs

1.1.5 1.4 Performance Charts

chartPerformance()

```
In [7]: def chartPerformance(steps=24):
            #Steps are Fibonacci sequence numbers
            #Abtin, I struggled here to make code cleaner, open to your critique.
            x= np.empty([steps])
            y1 = np.empty([steps])
            y2 = np.empty([steps])
            for i in range(0,steps):
                x = np.append(x,i)
                y1 = np.append(y1, func_timer(fibrec,i,60)) #30 test cycles to stabilize avera
                y2 = np.append(y2, func_timer(fibloop,i,60))
            #Generally don't like hard-coding stuff
            plt.plot(x,y1, label="fibrec")
            plt.plot(x,y2, label="fibloop")
            plt.title(r"Function Performance")
            plt.xlabel(r"$n$")
            plt.ylabel(r"$Average Time (s)$")
            plt.legend()
            plt.show()
```

