**Proposal Evaluation Sheet**

**Please prepare one for each proposal evaluated**

Your team name: Team BMG

Your team members: Matthew Fabian, Brandon Hansen, Gregory Nemecek

**1. Title of the proposal and name of the project team evaluated**

Jackalope – Wake Up Call

**2. Overall Evaluation of the proposal**

1. The proposal should be placed in the (Excellent, Very Good, Good, Fair, Poor) category. Note that we are using National Science Foundation proposal evaluation structure; Excellent: Outstanding proposal in all respects; deserves highest priority for support. Very Good: High quality proposal in nearly all respects; should be supported if at all possible. Good: A quality proposal, worthy of support. Fair: Proposal lacking in one or more critical aspects; key issues need to be addressed. Poor: Proposal has serious deficiencies)

Excellent

1. Overall the proposal ranks (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th) among all the proposals we reviewed.

2nd

**3. Detailed evaluation of the proposal**

1. Please rank the proposal in terms of technical innovation (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th).

5th

1. Please rank the proposal in terms of project complexity ((1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, please factor in the size of the team)

3rd

1. Please rank the proposal in terms of milestones and planning (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th).

5th

1. Please rank the proposal in terms of technical writing (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th).

3rd

1. Please rank the proposal in terms of broader impact if it is successfully completed (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th).

2nd

1. What do you like most about the proposal?

This project may have a large impact on a broader scale (i.e. household object in 5 – 10 years)

1. What aspect of the proposal can be improved? How?

Technical writing could be improved: use of the third person, paragraph strucutre. Timeline could be more specific.

1. Confidential comments (this will not be forwarded and only the instructor can see it).