Test Plan for: Risk Assessment Metric Application

Version: 1.0

Scope:

Minimum viable product providing functionality relating to adding metrics and their corresponding risk values, displaying individual statistics/statuses and overall status of the project.

People:

A team of two developers (Brandon Hillbom and Dillan Zurowski) will complete and present their application for No-Risk Software, Inc. The developers will directly report to Adam Tilson for guidance and project specifications

Test Strategy:

- Create documentation plan that will outline the main areas of testing to be implemented.
- Create documentation outline test specifications.
- Implement the main functionality and then use a Test Driven Development approach when fixing bugs and other defects encountered.
- Document a log of important defects that should be flagged for future consideration.
- Retest the application after any changes made to the program.
- Organize tests in an easily understandable format (UI testing in its own test file, functionality testing in another test file, black box testing recorded in documentation).
- Ensure and verify that all paths are covered and each test uses risky values to attempt to find maximum defects.
- Cover test items and barriers to improvement in daily team meetings.
- Update time estimates and plan time allocation accordingly.

Test activities and estimates:

Test effort is expected to take about 40% of the project time. An estimate of 6 hours worth of testing is our current projection with an emphasis on time estimation subject to change. Near the end of the project, widget testing took a considerable amount of time and there was a steep learning curve with undesirable results

Test Design Documentation:

| 1 1 | Features to be tested | Pass/fail criteria | Procedure steps |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
|-----|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|

| 1 | Boundary tests | Ensure all boundary values for status are acceptable and process the risk properly | Perform boundary tests:01, 0, 0.01, 0.32, 0.33, 0.34, 0.65, 0.66, 0.67, 0.99, 1, 1.01 |
|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| 2 | Path coverage | Ensure that individual paths are all being tested | Cover all logic statements and functions |
| 3 | Widgets/add button | When the user enters the add button at the bottom, a new widget with the risk assessment requirements should appear below the previous widget. | Perform widget tests as done during the lecture with the inputs/buttons expecting some behaviour after |
| 4 | Score | The scores shown should match the scores calculated. | Create 2 widget boxes, calculate expected score and compare with the actual score. |

Test Case Specifications:

| Test ID | 1 |
|--------------|---|
| Description | Test inputs at all important boundaries |
| Precondition | Widgets are created by the user and has entered values into the votes section |

| Step | Procedure | Expected result |
|------|--|--|
| 1 | Test values +/- 0.1 around 0,½, ½, and 1 | <0 & >1= Unknown >0 & < ½ = RED >½ & < ⅔ = YELLOW >⅓ & <1 = GREEN |
| 2 | Test for non integer inputs | Text field should erase and reset |

| Test ID | 2 |
|--------------|--|
| Description | Path coverage |
| Precondition | The user is entering values and the app is calling functions |

| Step | Procedure | Expected result |
|------|---|--|
| 1 | Create mock scenarios calling the functions | Flutter should be abe to find and call all functions successfully |
| 2 | Compare expected return results with actual | The expected return should be the same as the actual for each function |

| Test ID | 3 |
|--------------|---|
| Description | Widgets testing |
| Precondition | Widgets are created by the user and has entered values into the votes section |

| Step | Technique | Procedure | Expected result |
|------|------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 1 | Automatic | Open the application | The default metrics should appear automatically |
| 2 | User-input | Enter values into the votes | The scores and total risk should be dynamically changing |
| 3 | User-input | Click the add button | A new blank widget should appear at the bottom of the page |

| 4 | User-input | Add new values | Adding new values should change the overall risk and satus |
|---|------------|----------------|--|
|---|------------|----------------|--|

| Test ID | 4 |
|--------------|---|
| Description | Verify the scoring calculations are accurate |
| Precondition | Widgets are created by the user and has entered values into the votes section |

| Step | Technique | Procedure | Expected result | |
|------|------------|---|--|--|
| 1 | Manual | Grab expected inputs from the excel sheet provided | Capture the expected results | |
| 2 | User-input | Create 2 widget boxes and enter the same inputs as before | The scores and total risk should be the same as the ones calculated before | |

Equivalence Class Testing:

Only 1 of the 4 levels of risk needs an inputted value as calculations will be made and taken using 0 as the value for the empty vote fields.

Minimum Vote: - Minimum Vote: - Minimum Vote: - Low Vote: - Low Vote: - Low Vote: - Low Vote: -

Reasonable Vote: - Reasonable Vote: - Reasonable Vote: -

High Vote: - High Vote: - High Vote: X

Defect log

| <u>ID</u> | Description | Source of issue | <u>Fixed</u> | Solution |
|-----------|--|-----------------------------------|--------------|--|
| 1 | Issue dynamically adding widgets | ListBuilder | Yes | Used .map(<widget>).tolist</widget> |
| 2 | Page crashing on wrong input type | FormatException, only intaccepted | Yes | If statement checking for type, clears input on incorrect type |
| 3 | Widget testing not finding dynamically added widgets | Can't find new Keys | No | N/A |
| 4 | Integer values displaying .00 after the value | .toStringAsFixed (2) | Yes | Removed extra code for total votes displayed value |

User Acceptance Testing

| ID | Objective | Steps | Expected Result | Actual Result | Pass |
|----|---|--|--|--|-----------|
| 1 | Create a "red status" table | Add a table, fill out the metrics & input min votes: 1, low votes: 1, reasonable votes: 3, high votes:5 | status: red, risk: 0.733, low score: 0.33, med score: 2, high score: 5, total votes: 10, overall status: red, overall risk: 0.733 | status: red, risk: 0.733, low score: 0.33, med score: 2, high score: 5, total votes: 10, overall status: red, overall risk: 0.733 | ✓ |
| 2 | Create a "yellow status" table | Add a table, fill out the metrics & input min votes: 5, low votes: 4, reasonable votes: 5, high votes: 4 | status: yellow, risk: 0.481, low score: 1.33, med score: 3.33, high score: 4, total votes: 18, overall status: yellow, | status: yellow, risk: 0.481, low score: 1.33, med score: 3.33, high score: 4, total votes: 18, overall status: yellow, | ✓ |

| | | | overall risk: 0.607 | overall risk: 0.607 | |
|---|--|--|--|--|----------|
| 3 | Create a "green status" table | Add a table, fill out the metrics & input min votes: 6, low votes: 2, reasonable votes: 2, high votes: 0 | status: green, risk: 0.2, low score: 0.67, med score: 1.33, high score: 0, total votes: 10, overall status: yellow, overall risk: 0.472 | status: green, risk: 0.2, low score: 0.67, med score: 1.33, high score: 0, total votes: 10, overall status: yellow, overall risk: 0.472 | ✓ · |
| 4 | Add an empty table | Add an empty table, ensure the overall status and risk are unchanged. | status: unknown, risk: "please add values", overall status: yellow, overall risk: 0.472, 0.00 for all scores | status: unknown, risk: "please add values", overall status: yellow, overall risk: 0.472, 0.00 for all scores | ✓ |
| 5 | Check missing vote input | Add a table and input a vote value for 1 to 3 of the risk levels (equivalence class) | The missing inputs will be taken as 0. No errors. | The missing inputs are taken as 0. No errors. | 1 |