

MTH 464 Final

Brandyn Tucknott

21 March 2025

1. The Strong Law of Large Numbers is a statement about the successive arithmetic averages of a sequence of iid random variables. Using the continuity of the exponential and logarithmic functions, find the almost sure limit of the successive geometric averages of iid non-negative random variables. That is assume that $\{X_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ are iid non-negative random variables and assume that $\mathbb{E}(\ln(X)) = \rho$. Find

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\prod_{j=1}^n X_j \right]^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

Proof. Let $P_n = \prod_{j=1}^n X_j$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(P_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} &= \ln \left(\prod_{j=1}^n X_j \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \ln \left(\prod_{j=1}^n X_j \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \ln(X_j) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\ln(X)) \\ &= \rho \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can evaluate the limit as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\prod_{j=1}^n X_j \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [P_n]^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{\ln(P_n)^{\frac{1}{n}}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{\rho} \\ &= e^{\rho} \end{aligned}$$

□

2. Recall that if $Z = N(\mu, \sigma)$, $X = e^Z$ is called a lognormal random variable with parameters μ, σ . In this problem, let Z be a standard normal. We know that the probability density function of X vanishes for $x \leq 0$, and for $x > 0$ is given by

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{x} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\log(x))^2\right)$$

- (a) Evaluate $\mu_n = \mathbb{E}(X^n)$, the n^{th} moment of X .

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_n &= \mathbb{E}(X^n) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left([e^Z]^n\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(e^{nZ}) = \text{MGF}(Z) \text{ at } t = n \\ &= e^{n\mu + n^2\sigma^2/2} \\ &= e^{n^2/2}\end{aligned}$$

since Z is standard normal. □

- (b) Show that the power series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n t^n}{n!}$$

is only defined at $t = 0$.

Proof. Recall the ratio test checks if a series $\sum a_n$ converges, and if L is defined to be

$$L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right|$$

our series converges absolutely if $L < 1$. Conditional convergence is not needed for this problem, so we will ignore it. First we evaluate $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} &= \frac{\mu_{n+1} t^{n+1} / (n+1)!}{\mu_n t^n / n!} \\ &= \frac{\exp(\mu(n+1) + (n+1)^2\sigma^2/2) t^{n+1} / (n+1)!}{\exp(\mu n + \sigma^2 n^2/2) / n!} \\ &= \frac{t^{n+1} \exp(\mu + \sigma^2(2n+1)/2) \exp(\mu n + \sigma^2 n^2/2) / ((n+1)n!)}{t^n \exp(\mu n + \sigma^2 n^2/2) / n!} \\ &= \frac{\exp(\mu + \sigma^2(2n+1)/2) t}{n+1} \\ &= \frac{e^{(2n+1)/2}}{n+1}\end{aligned}$$

since Z is standard normal. Thus we can evaluate the limit L to be

$$\begin{aligned}L &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{te^{(2n+1)/2}}{n+1} \right|\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^n}{n}$ diverges, L clearly diverges unless $t = 0$, in which case $L = 0$, and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n t^n}{n!}$ converges absolutely by the ratio test. □

(c) For $-1 \leq a \leq 1$ let

$$f_a(x) = f(x) [1 + a \sin(2\pi \log(x))]$$

and let $\mu_n^{(a)}$ denote the moments of this density. Show that $\mu_n^{(a)} = \mu_n$ for all $|a| \leq 1$.

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n^{(a)} &= \int_0^\infty x^n f_a(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty x^n f(x) dx + \int_0^\infty x^n f(x) a \sin(2\pi \log x) dx \\ &= \mu_n + a \int_0^\infty x^n f(x) \sin(2\pi \log x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Thus we are done if we can show that $a \int_0^\infty x^n f(x) \sin(2\pi \log x) dx = 0$. First, observe that we can perform a change of variables

$$t = \ln x \leftrightarrow x = e^t, dt = \frac{1}{x} dx$$

Then we can rewrite the integral as

$$\begin{aligned} a \int_0^\infty x^n f(x) \sin(2\pi \ln x) dx &= a \int_0^\infty x^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} e^{-1/2(\ln x)^2} \sin(2\pi \ln x) dx \\ &= \frac{a}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{nt} \cdot e^{-t^2/2} \sin(2\pi t) dt \\ &= \frac{a}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{nt-t^2/2} \sin(2\pi t) dt \end{aligned}$$

We can refocus our attention on just the following integral:

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{nt-t^2/2} \sin(2\pi t) dt.$$

Let $g(t) = e^{nt-t^2/2} \sin(2\pi t)$. A hope would be that $g(t)$ is odd about some $t = k$, in which case the integral over the reals would evaluate to 0. With this new goal, we will show that given n , $g(t)$ is odd about $t = k = n$. That is,

$$g(n+t) = -g(n-t)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -g(n-t) &= -\sin(2\pi(n-t)) e^{n(n-t)-(n-t)^2/2} \\ &= \sin(2\pi(n+t)) e^{n^2-nt-(n^2-2nt+t^2)/2} \\ &= \sin(2\pi(n+t)) e^{n^2-nt-n^2/2+nt-t^2/2} \\ &= \sin(2\pi(n+t)) e^{n^2+nt-n^2/2-nt-t^2/2} \\ &= \sin(2\pi(n+t)) e^{n(n+t)-(n^2+2nt+t^2)/2} \\ &= \sin(2\pi(n+t)) e^{n(n+t)-(n+t)^2/2} \\ &= g(n+t) \end{aligned}$$

Thus given n , $g(t)$ is symmetric about $t = n$, and $\int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{nt-t^2/2} \sin(2\pi t) dt = 0$, allowing us to conclude that $\mu_n^{(a)} = \mu_n$. \square

3. Let Y be a random variable that represents the value of a random number of donations to a foundation. A reasonable model is

$$Y = \sum_{j=1}^N R_j$$

where N denotes the number of donations, R_j the amount of the j^{th} donation. We assume $N \sim \text{Geometric}(p)$ and $\{R_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ are iid lognormal random variables with parameter μ, σ for $j \geq 0$. That is,

$$R_j = \exp(\mu + \sigma Z_j) \text{ where } \{Z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \sim N(0, 1) \text{ are iid}$$

We further assume that N and $\{Z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ are independent.

- (a) Find $\mathbb{E}(Y) = \mu_Y$ and $\text{Var}(Y) = \sigma_Y^2$.

Proof. Note that if $R_j \sim \text{lognormal}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then $R_j = e^X$ where $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(R_j) &= \mathbb{E}(e^X) \\ &= e^{\mu + \sigma^2/2} \\ \text{Var}(R_j) &= \text{Var}(e^X) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(e^{2X}) - (\mathbb{E}(e^X))^2 \\ &= e^{2\mu + 2\sigma^2} - e^{2\mu + \sigma^2} \end{aligned}$$

We now directly calculate both expectation and variance of Y .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(Y) &= \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^N R_j\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^N R_j \mid N\right)\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(N \mathbb{E}(R_j)) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(N) \mathbb{E}(R_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} e^{\mu + \sigma^2/2} \\ \text{Var}(Y) &= \text{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^N R_j\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\left[\sum_{j=1}^N R_j\right]^2\right) - \left[\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^N R_j\right)\right]^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\left[\sum_{j=1}^N R_j\right]^2 \mid N\right)\right) - \left[\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^N R_j \mid N\right)\right)\right]^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(N e^{2\mu + 2\sigma^2}\right) - \left[\frac{1}{p} e^{\mu + \sigma^2/2}\right]^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}(N) e^{2\mu + 2\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{p^2} e^{2\mu + \sigma^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{p} e^{2\mu + \sigma^2} \left(e^{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \end{aligned}$$

□

(b) Use the Chebyshev inequality to estimate $\mathbb{P}(|Y - \mu_Y| > \mu_Y)$.

Proof. Directly applying Chebyshev's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(|Y - \mu_Y| > \mu_Y) &\leq \frac{\text{Var}(Y)}{\mu_Y^2} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{p}e^{2\mu+\sigma^2} \left(e^{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)}{\frac{1}{p^2}e^{2\mu+\sigma^2}} \\ &= \frac{e^{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{p}}{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= pe^{\sigma^2} - 1\end{aligned}$$

□