

# MTH 311 Lab 4

Brandyn Tucknott

17 October 2024

1. Prove the following version of the Nested Interval Property:

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ , where  $a_n < b_n$ . Assume that the sequence  $I_n$  of any closed intervals is nested, i.e.  $I_n \supset I_{n+1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Prove that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$  is a nonempty closed interval.

Do this in the following steps:

- (a) Use the Monotone Convergence Theorem to prove that the sequences  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  converge.

*Proof.* To use the Monotone Convergence Theorem, we must first show that the sequences  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  are both bounded and monotone.

First, we show that they are both monotone. Consider the definition of  $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ ,  $I_n \supset I_{n+1}$ . This leads us to the definition that  $[a_n, b_n] \supset [a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}]$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then by definition,  $a_{n+1} \geq a_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , because if it were not, there would exist some  $k = [a_k, b_k]$  where  $I_{k-1} \not\supset I_k$ . But this is a contradiction, so it must be that  $a_{n+1} \geq a_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . By a similar argument, we can show that  $b_{n+1} \leq b_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . This gives us that our sequences  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  are both monotone and increasing / decreasing respectively.

It remains to show that  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  are both bounded. Since  $(a_n)$  is increasing, we wish to show it is bounded above, and similarly with  $(b_n)$  we wish to show it is bounded below. This is significantly easier given the condition that  $a_n < b_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . This tells us that  $(a_n)$  is bounded above by the largest  $b_n$ , and that  $(b_n)$  is bounded below by the smallest  $a_n$ . We know these to be  $b_1$  and  $a_1$  respectively, so we concluded that both sequences are bounded.

Since both  $(a_n)$  and  $b_n$  are monotone and bounded, we conclude that  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  converge by the Monotone Convergence Theorem.  $\square$

- (b) Let  $a = \lim a_n$  and  $b = \lim b_n$ . Use the Order Limit Theorem to prove  $a \leq b$ .

*Proof.* Since we have that  $a_n < b_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and the  $\lim a_n = a$ ,  $\lim b_n = b$ , by the Order Limit Theorem we conclude that  $a \leq b$ .  $\square$

- (c) The proof of the Monotone Convergence Theorem shows that  $a = \sup \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $b = \inf \{b_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Prove that  $a_n \leq a \leq b_n \leq b$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* By definition of  $\sup(a_n)$  and  $\inf(b_n)$  we have that  $a_n \leq a$  and  $b_n \leq b$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If we can show that  $a \leq b_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , then we are done. We will do a proof by contradiction to show  $a \leq b_n$ . Assume that for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $b_k < a$ . Then there exists some  $a_{k+l}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $a_{k+l} > b_k$ , breaking our initial condition of  $a_n < b_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and leading to a contradiction. If this does not happen, then  $a_n \leq b_k$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , implying  $b_k = \sup \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . This too is a contradiction, since  $a = \sup \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $b_k < a$ . Therefore  $b_k \geq a$ , and we conclude that  $a_n \leq a \leq b_n \leq b$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

- (d) Prove that  $[a, b] \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ . In other words, prove that for every  $x \in [a, b]$  and every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in I_n$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x \in [a, b] = [\sup \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \inf \{b_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}]$ . Then by definition  $x \in [a_n, b_n] = I_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . From this, we can conclude that  $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ .  $\square$

(e) Prove that for every  $x < a$ ,  $x \notin \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} [a_k, b_k]$ . During your proof, use the fact that  $a = \sup \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x < a$ . Then there exists some  $a_k > x$ , since  $a_n \leq a$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (more specifically, we let  $a_k = x + \epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ). Since there exists some  $a_k > x$ , the interval  $k = [a_k, b_k]$  with  $x \notin_k$  exists, allowing us to conclude that  $x \notin \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} [a_k, b_k]$ .  $\square$

(f) Use the result of Part (e) to prove  $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} [a_k, b_k] \subset [a, b]$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $x \in \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} [a_k, b_k]$ . Then by the contrapositive of Part (e),  $x \geq a$ . Suppose also that  $x > b$ . Then  $x > b \geq b_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , that is  $x > b_n$  for all  $n$ . If this is true, then  $x \notin_j$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{N} \rightarrow x \notin \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} [a_k, b_k]$ , a contradiction. Thus  $x > b$  is false, implying that  $x \leq b$ . Since  $a \leq x \leq b$ , we have that  $x \in [a, b]$  and conclude  $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} [a_k, b_k] \subset [a, b]$ .  $\square$

The combination of Parts (d) and (f) then yields  $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k=n}^{\infty} [a_k, b_k] = [a, b]$ , which is nonempty since  $a \leq b$ .