MTH 312 HW 8

Brandyn Tucknott

11 March 2025

8.2.2. Let C[0,1] be a collection of continuous functions on the closed interval [0,1]. Decide which of the following are metrics on C[0,1].

(a)
$$d(f,g) = \sup\{|f(x) - g(x)| : x \in [0,1]\}$$

Solution.

Since $|f(x) - g(x)| \ge 0$ with equality only when f = g for all $x \in [0, 1]$, this satisfies property (i). It is also obvious that

$$|f(x) - g(x)| = |g(x) - f(x)|$$

so property (ii) holds. Finally, observe that

$$|f(x) - h(x)| + |h(x) - q(x)| \ge |f(x) - h(x)| + |h(x) - q(x)| = |f(x) - q(x)|$$

so property (iii) holds. With this, we conclude that d(f,g) is a metric.

(b)
$$d(f,g) = |f(1) - g(1)|$$

Solution.

Consider when f(x) = 0, g(x) = 1 - x. Then

$$|f(1) - g(1)| = |0 - 0| = 0$$

but here d(f,g) = 0 with $f \neq g$, thus d(f,g) is not a metric.

(c)
$$d(f,g) = \int_0^1 |f - g|$$
 Solution.

since $|f-g| \ge 0$, certainly $\int_0^1 |f-g| \ge 0$, with equality only when f=g. Thus property (i) holds. It is also clear that $\int_0^1 |f-g| = \int_0^1 |g-f|$, thus property (ii) holds. Now by triangle equality we have that

$$|f - g| \le |f - h| + |h - g|$$

$$\int_0^1 \le |f - g| \le \int_0^1 |f - h| + \int_0^1 |h - g|$$

Thus property (iii) holds, and we conclude that d(f,g) is a metric.

8.2.13. If E is a subset of a metric space (X, d), show that E is nowhere dense in X if and only if \overline{E}^c is dense in X.

Proof. If \overline{E}^c is dense in X, then

$$X = \overline{\overline{E}^c}$$

$$\overline{\overline{E}^c}^c = \emptyset$$

$$\left(\left(\overline{E}^c\right)^c\right)^\circ = \emptyset$$

$$\overline{E}^\circ = \emptyset$$

Thus E is nowhere dense in X. Now suppose that E is nowhere dense in X. Then

$$\overline{E}^{\circ} = \emptyset$$

$$\left(\left(\overline{E}^{c}\right)^{c}\right)^{\circ} = \emptyset$$

$$\overline{\overline{E}^{c}}^{c} = \emptyset$$

$$X = \overline{\overline{E}^{c}}$$

Thus \overline{E}^c is dense in X. We conclude that

 \overline{E}^c is dense in $X \iff E$ is nowhere dense in X

8.4.1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$n\# = n + (n-1) + (n-2) + \ldots + 2 + 1$$

(a) Without looking ahead, decide if there is a natural way to define 0#. How about (-2)#? Conjecture a reasonable value for $\frac{7}{2}\#$.

$\underline{Solution.}$

Observe that

$$n\# = n + (n-1)\#$$

for $n \ge 2$, and we can directly compute 1# = 1, but also that

$$1# = 1 + 0#$$
$$0# = 1# - 1$$
$$= 1 - 1$$
$$= 0$$

Similarly we can compute

$$0# = 0 + (-1)#$$
$$= 0 + (-1) + (-2)#$$
$$(-2)# = 1$$

Although there is nothing "natural" about the next definition, since

$$1# + (-1)# = 1 + 0 = 0$$

 $2# + (-2)# = 3 + 1 = 4$, we might guess that
 $n# + (-n)# = n^2$

To evaluate the last value, we first evaluate the intermediate value $(-\frac{1}{2})\#$.

$$\frac{1}{2}\# = \frac{1}{2} + (-\frac{1}{2})\#$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\# + (-\frac{1}{2})\# = \frac{1}{2} + 2(-\frac{1}{2})\#$$

$$\frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2} + 2(-\frac{1}{2})\#$$

$$(-\frac{1}{2})\# = -\frac{1}{8}$$

Using this, we evaluate $\frac{7}{2}$ #.

$$\frac{7}{2}\# = \frac{7+5+3+1}{2} + (-\frac{1}{2})\#$$
$$= 8 + -\frac{1}{8}$$
$$= \frac{63}{8}$$

(b) Now prove that $n\# = \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and revisit Part (a).

Proof. We will use induction to prove the relation. As a base case, observe that when n = 1,

$$1\# = \frac{1}{2}(1)(1+1) = \frac{1}{2}(1)(2) = 1$$

This holds with the traditional definition of n#, so we assume the definition holds up to n-1, and we aim to show this implies it is true for n.

$$(n-1)\# = \frac{(n-1)n}{2}$$

$$n + (n-1)\# = n + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$

$$n\# = \frac{2n + n^2 - n}{2}$$

$$= \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

Using our new formula, we can now directly calculate the expressions.

$$0# = \frac{0(0+1)}{2} = 0$$
$$(-2)# = \frac{(-2)(-2+1)}{2} = 1$$
$$\frac{7}{2}# = \frac{\frac{7}{2}(\frac{7}{2}+1)}{2} = \frac{63}{8}$$

These values align exactly with what was found in Part (a).