

Translation

March 20, 2023

As is well known, in the type-free λ -calculus exactly the recursive functions are *definable*¹. The concept used here of the definability of a function is also useful for the λ -calculus with types. We answer the question *here*² according to the then definable functions.

Types are 0 and with σ, τ also $(\sigma \rightarrow \tau)$. Terms (denoted by r^τ, s^τ, t^τ) formed from variables with types by application and λ -abstraction. Type indices that result from the context or that are immaterial, we often leave it out. Terms that differ only by bound renaming divorce, are identified. The relation $t \models t'$ (t is reducible to t') becomes inductively defined by

1. $(\lambda x.t)s \models t_x[s]$
2. When $t \models t'$ and $s \models s'$, so $ts \models t's'$
3. When $t \models t'$, so $\lambda x.t \models \lambda x.t'$
4. $t \models t$
5. When $t \models t'$ and $t' \models t''$, so $t \models t''$

A term is called in normal form if it has no subterms of the form $(\lambda x.t)s$. As is well known, for every term t there is a uniquely determined normal form t' with $t \models t'$. Two terms are equal if they have the same normal form. One can now introduce natural numbers as terms $\bar{n} \equiv \lambda \alpha. \alpha^n$ vom type $\nu \equiv (0 \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow (0 \rightarrow 0)$; where α^n is the n-th iterate of α , i.e. $\equiv \lambda x. \alpha(\alpha \dots (\alpha x) \dots)$. Every closed term of type ν in normal form is an \bar{n} . So defined every closed term t of the type $\nu \rightarrow (\nu \rightarrow \dots (\nu \rightarrow \nu) \dots)$ is a number-theoretic one Function f determined by $t\bar{n}_1, \dots, \bar{n}_k = \overline{f(n_1, \dots, n_k)}$. For example *will*³

1. $n + m$ define as $\lambda F G \alpha. (F \alpha) \circ (G \alpha)$
2. $n \cdot m$ define as $\lambda F G \alpha. F \circ G$
3. k (constant function) define as $\lambda F \alpha. \alpha^k$
- 4.

$$d(n, m, i) = \begin{cases} n & i = 0 \\ m & i \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

define as $\lambda F G H \alpha x. H(\lambda y. G \alpha x)(F \alpha x)$

[F, G, H variables of type $\nu, t^{\tau \rightarrow \tau} \circ s^{\tau \rightarrow \tau} \equiv \lambda x^\tau. t(sx)$]. The set of bare functions is apparently closed against insertions. So are all Polynomials definable, and more generally all functions resulting from polynomials Case distinction according to disappearance or non-disappearance of arguments are definable; in the 2-digit case, these are the functions

$$f(n, m) = \begin{cases} k & n = 0 \text{ and } m = 0 \\ P_1(m) & n = 0 \text{ and } m \neq 0 \\ P_2(m) & n \neq 0 \text{ and } m = 0 \\ P_3(n, m) & n \neq 0 \text{ and } m \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

with polynomials P_1, P_2, P_3 . In the following it will be shown that this is all definable functions are.

So t defines a roughly 2-place function. Bring $tFG\alpha$ to normal form.

Each subterm (of the normal form of $tFG\alpha$) has one of the types $0, 0 \rightarrow 0$, or ν . Each subterm of type ν is identical to F or G . Possible subterms from Type $0 \rightarrow 0$ are:

1. α
2. With s also Fs, Gs .
3. With s_1, \dots, s_q , formed according to (1), (2) also $\lambda y.s_1(\dots s_q(z)\dots)$, where z can also be identical to y .

In the following, s stands for subterms of the type $0 \rightarrow 0$. — For F, G set \bar{n}, \bar{m} , where initially $n, m \geq 1$ is assumed. By induction over s one shows: Each $s' \equiv s_{F,G}[\bar{n}, \bar{m}]$ is equal to $\alpha^{P(n,m)}$ or equal to a constant function $\lambda y.\alpha^{P(n,m)}z$ ($P(n, m)$ polynom). Proof: To (2)

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda\beta.\beta^n)\alpha^{P(n,m)} &= \alpha^{P(n,m) \cdot n} \\ (\lambda\beta.\beta^n)(\lambda y.\alpha^{P(n,m)}z) &= \lambda y.\alpha^{P(n,m)}z \end{aligned} \quad (n \geq 1)$$

To (3). Case 1: None of the s'_i is constant.

$$\lambda y.s'_1(\dots s'_q(z)\dots) = \lambda y.\alpha^{P_1(n,m) + \dots + P_q(n,m)}z$$

Case 2: There is a first constant s'_i , say $s'_i = \lambda\tilde{y}.\alpha^{P_i(n,m)}\tilde{z}$

$$\lambda y.s'_1(\dots s'_q(z)\dots) = \lambda y.\alpha^{P_1(n,m) + \dots + P_q(n,m)}z$$

Since the normal form of $tFG\alpha$ does not contain a free variable of type 0 , it is nach replacing F, G with \bar{n}, \bar{m} ($n, m \geq 1$) equal to an $\alpha^{P(n,m)}$.

If about $n = 0, m \geq 1$, then one can replace all outermost subterms of the form Fs by $\lambda x.x$ and gets $\alpha^{P(m)}$ like before.