

Proof

March 24, 2023

$$\nu = (0 \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow (0 \rightarrow 0)$$

Let's consider t - two-digit function and N, M - two numerals and f as general number function, then their types are:

$$\begin{aligned} t &: \nu \rightarrow \nu \rightarrow \nu \\ N, M &: \nu \\ f &: 0 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then let's consider normal form of $t' = tNMf : 0 \rightarrow 0$

Import to notice that t is closed.

We will proof that any subterm of t' has one of types from set $S = \{0, 0 \rightarrow 0, \nu\}$.

Declare function for our comfort in usage T which return type of expression in some context:

$$\forall e - \text{term}, \Gamma - \text{context} : T(\Gamma, e) = \tau, \text{ if } \Gamma \vdash e : \tau$$

For this let introduce Lemma which will helps us in it:

Lemma 0.1. *Consider some expression in normal form e in context Γ with such requirements:*

1. *Lets consider e has type τ then τ must be in set S*
2. *For each free variable $x \in FV(e)$ is true that $T(\Gamma, x) \in S$*

Then each subterm of e also has type which is in set S

Proof. We will proof this by induction on each step considering expression constructed by one of the possible ways.

Consider all possible deconstructions of expression e

1. $e = c_i$ where $c_i \in FV(e)$
2. $e = \lambda x.B$ then $\tau = t_1 \rightarrow t_2$
3. $e = PQ$ then $\exists \alpha. P : \alpha \rightarrow \tau, Q : \alpha$

For case 1 the lemma is explicitly satisfied since e doesn't have any subterm, except itself so lemma is correct

For case 2 consider few options

Option 1:

$$\begin{cases} \tau &= 0 \rightarrow 0 \\ t_1 &= 0 \\ t_2 &= 0 \end{cases}$$

Then $FV(B) = FB(e) \cup \{x\}$ and because of B exists in context $\Gamma' = \Gamma, x : 0$ and has type 0 then by induction case is clear that each B 's subterm has correct type, but because if x is subterm B , then x is subterm of e .

Other option:

$$\begin{cases} \tau &= \nu \\ t_1 &= 0 \rightarrow 0 \\ t_2 &= 0 \rightarrow 0 \end{cases}$$

Same proof as for option 1.

For case 3 let's recall that e in normal form that means P - is not lambda (otherwise it would be reduced) and two options

1. $P \in FV(e)$ than the same variants for type τ as for case 2 with induction step for Q
2. $P = P_2Q_1$

We can repeat choosing option 2 finite number of times because we are in normal form. Then consider

$$e = PA_1A_2...A_k, \text{ where } P \in FV(e)$$

Again few options:

$$\begin{cases} e &: 0 \\ P &: \nu \\ A_1 &: 0 \rightarrow 0 \\ A_2 &: 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} e &: 0 \\ P &: 0 \rightarrow 0 \\ A_1 &: 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} e &: 0 \rightarrow 0 \\ P &: \nu \\ A_1 &: 0 \rightarrow 0 \end{cases}$$

Again induction

□