On redundant Sylow subgroups

Benjamin Sambale*

December 17, 2023

Abstract

A Sylow p-subgroup P of a finite group G is called redundant if every p-element of G lies in a Sylow subgroup different from P. Generalizing a recent theorem of Maróti–Martínez–Moretó, we show that for every non-cyclic p-group P there exists a solvable group G such that P is redundant in G. Moreover, we answer several open questions raised by Maróti–Martínez–Moretó.

Keywords: Sylow subgroups, covering, *p*-elements

AMS classification: 20D20

1 Introduction

By Sylow's theorem, every p-element of a finite group G lies in some Sylow p-subgroup of G. In the past, group theorists were interested in groups with trivial-intersection Sylow subgroups, i. e. every non-trivial p-element lies in a unique Sylow subgroup. In the present paper we are interested in opposite situation: groups whose p-elements all lie in at least two Sylow subgroups for a fixed prime p. Mikko Korhonen [9] has asked 10 years ago whether such groups actually exist. A positive answer was given by Jack Schmidt [9] using a group G with elementary abelian Sylow p-subgroups. Most recently, Maróti–Martínez–Moretó [11, Theorem A] have shown that for a given p-group P of exponent p there exists a solvable group p with p is such that every element of p lies in a Sylow subgroup different from p. They called such a Sylow subgroup p redundant in p and so do we (by Sylow's theorem, either all or no Sylow p-subgroup is redundant and in the former case every p-element lies in at least two Sylow subgroups).

In general, it is easy to see that redundant Sylow subgroups must be non-cyclic. Maróti–Martínez–Moretó have speculated on p. 483 that the restriction on the exponent of P in their theorem might be superfluous. In this paper, we show in Theorem 1 that this is indeed the case. In contrast to the proof of [11, Theorem A] (which depends a deep theorem of Turull and the solvable case of Thompson's theorem), our proof is elementary. Using a refined method in Theorem 2, we also provide examples where the number of Sylow p-subgroups $\nu_p(G)$ of G only depends on p. In particular, we show that $\nu_2(G) = 27$ is the smallest possible value for a group G with a redundant Sylow 2-subgroup. We also the determine the minimum of $\nu_p(G)$ for p-solvable groups in Theorem 7. This is a contribution to [11, Question 8.5].

Let G_p be the set of p-elements of G. In [11, p. 846], the authors state that there are very few groups G such that $|G_p| < \nu_p(G)$ and only examples with elementary abelian Sylow p-subgroups are known. Our

^{*}Institut für Algebra, Zahlentheorie und Diskrete Mathematik, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Welfengarten 1, 30167 Hannover, Germany, sambale@math.uni-hannover.de

construction yields such examples for every non-cyclic p-group P. This leads to a negative answer to [11, Question 8.7]. On the other hand, we provide a positive answer to [11, Question 8.8] in Theorem 9.

2 Results

Theorem 1. For every non-cyclic p-group P and every prime $q \neq p$ there exists an elementary abelian q-group N such that P acts on N and $G := N \times P$ has the following properties:

- (i) P is redundant in G.
- (ii) $|G_p| < \frac{1}{q^{p-1}}|G|$.
- (iii) G_p is covered by $\frac{1}{a^{p-1}}\nu_p(G)$ Sylow p-subgroups.

Proof.

(i) Let V be the regular $\mathbb{F}_q P$ -module with basis $B := \{v_x : x \in P\}$. Then P acts trivial on $Z := \langle \prod_{x \in P} v_x \rangle$. Let $N := V/Z \cong C_q^{|P|-1}$ and $G := N \rtimes P$. Since P acts transitively on B, it follows that $C_N(P) = 1$ and $N_G(P) = P$. Let $x \in P$. Since P is not cyclic,

$$w := \prod_{c \in \langle x \rangle} v_c Z \in \mathcal{C}_N(x) \setminus \{1\}.$$

Hence, $x = wxw^{-1} \in wPw^{-1} \in Syl_p(G) \setminus \{P\}$. This shows that P is redundant in G.

(ii) Let $R \subseteq P$ be a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of P. By construction, every p-element of G is conjugate to a unique element $x \in R$. Let $g \in C_G(x)$ and write g = ny with $n \in N$ and $y \in P$. Then $xy \equiv xg \equiv gx \equiv yx \pmod{N}$ and therefore $[x, y] \in P \cap N = 1$. This shows that $y \in C_P(x)$, $n \in C_N(x)$ and $C_G(x) = C_N(x)C_P(x)$. Every right coset C of $\langle x \rangle$ in P determines an element $w_C := \prod_{c \in C} v_c \in C_V(x)$. It is easy to check that the elements $\{w_C : C \in \langle x \rangle \setminus P\}$ form a basis of $C_V(x)$. This yields

$$|C_N(x)| = |C_V(x)/Z| = q^{|P:\langle x \rangle|-1} \ge q^{p-1}.$$

Hence,

$$|G_p| = \sum_{x \in R} |G : C_G(x)| = \sum_{x \in R} |P : C_P(x)| |N : C_N(x)| < \frac{|N|}{q^{p-1}} \sum_{x \in R} |P : C_P(x)| = \frac{1}{q^{p-1}} |G|.$$

(iii) Since P is non-cyclic, there exist maximal subgroups $P_1, \ldots, P_{p+1} \leq P$ such that $P = P_1 \cup \ldots \cup P_{p+1}$. Then $N_i := C_N(P_i) \cong C_q^{p-1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p+1$ by the argument of (ii). Since $P_j \leq P$, each P_i acts on N_j . For $i \neq j$, we have $N_i \cap N_j = C_N(\langle P_i, P_j \rangle) = C_N(P) = 1$. By the Fitting decomposition (see [7, Theorem 4.34]), we obtain

$$N_j = \mathcal{C}_{N_j}(P_i) \times [P_i, N_j] = [P_i, N_j] \le [P_i, N].$$

Since $N = N_i \times [P_i, N]$, it follows that

$$N_i \cap \prod_{j \neq i} N_j \leq N_i \cap [P_i, N] = 1.$$

Therefore, $N_1 \times ... \times N_{p+1} \leq N$. We choose a basis $b_{i,1}, ..., b_{i,p-1}$ of N_i for every i = 1, ..., p+1. Then the elements $b_{i,j}$ are linearly independent and can be extended to a basis B of N. For $w \in N$ and $b \in B$ let w_b be the coefficient of w with respect to b. Define

$$T := \Big\{ w \in N : \forall j = 1, \dots, p - 1 : \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} w_{b_{i,j}} \equiv 0 \pmod{q} \Big\}.$$

Then $|T| = \frac{1}{q^{p-1}}|N|$. Let $n \in N$ and $x \in P$ be arbitrary. There exist i and $t \in T$ such that $x \in P_i$ and $t_b = n_b$ for all $b \in B \setminus \{b_{i,1}, \dots, b_{i,p-1}\}$. It follows that $t^{-1}n \in N_i \leq C_N(x)$ and $nxn^{-1} = txt^{-1} \in tPt^{-1}$. Hence, G_p is covered by $\{tPt^{-1} : t \in T\}$.

If $q^{p-1} > |P|$ in the situation of Theorem 1, then $|G_p| < |N| = |G: N_G(P)| = \nu_p(G)$ by (ii). If p or q goes to infinity, (iii) furnishes a counterexample to [11, Question 8.7]. At the same time, it provides some evidence for [11, Question 8.6]. If P contains a cyclic subgroup of index p, one can show that G_p cannot be covered by less than $\frac{1}{q^{p-1}}\nu_p(G)$ Sylow subgroups.

If P is the Klein four-group and q=3, then the construction of the proof above yields the group $G \cong \mathtt{SmallGroup}(108,40)$ with $\nu_2(G)=27$, which was mentioned in [11, Introduction]. Question 8.5 of [11] asks for the smallest possible value of $\nu_p(G)$ when G has a redundant Sylow p-subgroup. Our proof of Theorem 1 yields $\nu_p(G)=|N|=q^{|P|-1}$. We give a better bound, which only depends on p.

Theorem 2. For every non-cyclic p-group P there exists a solvable group G such that P is redundant in G and $\nu_p(G) = q^{p+1}$, where q > 1 is the smallest prime power congruent to 1 modulo p.

Proof. Since P is non-cyclic, there exist maximal subgroups $P_1, \ldots, P_{p+1} \leq P$ such that $P = P_1 \cup \ldots \cup P_{p+1}$. Since $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, the finite field \mathbb{F}_q contains a primitive p-th root of unity. Hence, for $i = 1, \ldots, p+1$ there exists a 1-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q P$ -module N_i with kernel P_i . Define $N = N_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus N_{p+1}$. Since every $x \in P$ lies in some P_i , it follows that $C_N(x) > 1 = C_N(P)$. Now by the proof of Theorem 1(i) (or using [11, Corollary 3.2]), it follows that P is redundant in $G := N \rtimes P$ and $\nu_p(G) = |N| = q^{p+1}$ (we do not need that P acts faithfully on N).

Theorem 2 provides the following upper bounds for the minimal values of $\nu_p(G)$:

Now we work in the opposite direction by finding lower bounds on $\nu_p(G)$. We following result settles the case p=2.

Theorem 3. Let G be a finite group with a redundant Sylow 2-subgroup. Then $\nu_2(G) \geq 27$.

Proof. Let N be the kernel of the conjugation action of G on $\mathrm{Syl}_2(G)$, i.e. N is the intersection of all Sylow normalizers. Let $P \in \mathrm{Syl}_2(G)$. Since P is the unique Sylow 2-subgroup of PN, the map $\mathrm{Syl}_2(G) \to \mathrm{Syl}_2(G/N)$, $P \mapsto PN/N$ is a bijection and P is redundant in $\mathrm{Syl}_2(G)$ if and only if PN/N is redundant in G/N. Hence, we may assume that N=1. Then G is a transitive permutation group of degree $\nu_2(G)$. We run through the database of all transitive groups of odd degree up to 25 in GAP [3]. For each such group we can quickly check whether the stabilizer has a normal Sylow 2-subgroup. If this is the case, we check whether G has a redundant Sylow 2-subgroup using [11, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.6]. It turns out that there are no examples with $\nu_2(G) < 27$.

With the same method, we obtain $\nu_3(G) \ge 49$ and $\nu_5(G) \ge 51$ whenever G has a redundant Sylow p-subgroup for p = 3 or p = 5 respectively. The next lemma improves [11, Theorem 8.4] with an easier proof.

Lemma 4. Let G be a finite group with a redundant Sylow p-subgroup. Then $\nu_p(G) \geq p^2 + p + 1$.

Proof. Let $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$ be covered by $P_1, \ldots, P_k \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G) \setminus \{P\}$ such that k is as small as possible. Then $P \cap P_i \neq P \cap P_j$ for $i \neq j$. Since P is not the union of p proper subgroups, we must have $k \geq p+1$. Let $g \in \operatorname{N}_P(P \cap P_i) \setminus P_i$. Then $g \notin \operatorname{N}_G(P_i)$, since otherwise $P_i \langle g \rangle$ would be a p-subgroup larger than P_i . Hence, the Sylow subgroups $P_i^{g^j}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, p$ are pairwise distinct and

$$P \cap P_i^{g^j} = P^{g^j} \cap P_i^{g^j} = (P \cap P_i)^{g^j} = P \cap P_i.$$

In this way we obtain kp Sylow p-subgroups different from P. Hence, $\nu_p(G) \ge kp+1 \ge p^2+p+1$. \square

Lemma 5. Let G be a finite group with a redundant Sylow p-subgroup. Then $\nu_p(G)$ is not a prime.

Proof. Let G be a minimal counterexample with $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$ redundant. As in the proof of Theorem 3, we may assume that G is a transitive permutation group of prime degree $q := |\operatorname{Syl}_p(G)|$. By a result of Burnside, G is a subgroup of the affine group $C_q \rtimes C_{q-1}$ or a 2-transitive almost simple group (see [2, Corollary 3.5B and Theorem 4.1B]). The first case is impossible, since P must be non-cyclic. The latter case can be investigated with the classification of the finite simple groups (see [2, p. 99] or [5]). More precisely, the socle N of G is one of the following simple groups:

- (i) $N = A_q$. Since the stabilizer A_{q-1} must have a normal Sylow p-subgroup, it follows that q = 5 and p = 2. By Theorem 3, neither $G = A_5$ nor $G = S_5$ has a redundant Sylow 2-subgroup.
- (ii) $N = \mathrm{PSL}(2,11)$ with q = 11. Here $|G:N| \leq 2$ and the stabilizer is isomorphic to A_5 , so it cannot have a normal Sylow p-subgroup.
- (iii) $N = M_{11} = G$ with q = 11. Again the stabilizer M_{10} has no normal Sylow p-subgroup.
- (iv) $N = M_{23} = G$ with q = 23. Here the stabilizer M_{22} is simple.
- (v) $N = \operatorname{PSL}(n,r)$ with $q = \frac{r^n-1}{r-1}$ where n is a prime. Suppose that $n \mid r-1$. Then $q = 1 + r + \ldots + r^{n-1} \equiv n \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ and q = n. But this contradicts q > r-1. Hence, $\gcd(n,r-1) = 1$ and $N = \operatorname{SL}(n,r)$. Here G acts on the set of lines or hyperplanes of \mathbb{F}_r^n . In both cases the stabilizer, say N_v contains a copy of $\operatorname{GL}(n-1,r)$. If n > 2, then $|\operatorname{GL}(n-1,r)|$ is divisible by $r \frac{r^{n-1}-1}{r-1} = q-1$. In particular, N_v has a non-trivial Sylow p-subgroup, which cannot be normal since $\operatorname{GL}(n-1,r)$ is involved in N_v . Consequently, n = 2 and q = r+1 is a Fermat prime. Now G/N is a cyclic 2-group. For p > 2 it is well-known that the Sylow p-subgroup of N and G are cyclic (see [10, 8.6.9]). Hence, p = 2 and G = PN. The upper unitriangular matrices constitute a Sylow 2-subgroup $Q \leq P$ of N. We consider $x := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in Q$. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{C}_N(x) = Q$. In particular, Q is the only Sylow 2-subgroup of N containing x. Since $\operatorname{N}_G(P) \leq \operatorname{N}_G(P \cap N) = \operatorname{N}_G(Q)$ and $\nu_p(G) = q$ is a prime, we have

$$\nu_p(N) = |N:\mathcal{N}_N(Q)| = |N:N\cap\mathcal{N}_G(Q)| = |N\mathcal{N}_G(Q):\mathcal{N}_G(Q)| \ \big| \ |G:\mathcal{N}_G(P)| = \nu_p(G)$$

and $\nu_p(N) = \nu_p(G)$. Therefore, P is the only Sylow 2-subgroup of G containing Q and x. Thus, P is not redundant and we derived a contradiction.

Now we consider p-solvable groups. For $H \leq P \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$ let $\lambda_G(H)$ be the number of Sylow p-subgroups of G containing H. The following result was proved using Wielandt's subnormalizers.

Lemma 6 (Casolo). Let G be a p-solvable group and $H \leq P \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$. Let \mathcal{M} be the set of p'-quotients in a normal series of G whose quotients are p-groups or p'-groups. Then

$$\lambda_G(H)|N_G(P):P| = \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{M}} |C_Q(H)|.$$

Proof. See Theorems 2.6 and 2.8 in [1].

Theorem 7. Let G be a p-solvable group with a redundant Sylow p-subgroup. Then $\nu_p(G) \geq q^{p+1}$, where q > 1 is the smallest prime power congruent to 1 modulo p.

Proof. Let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ and \mathcal{M} as in Lemma 6. Choosing H = P in Lemma 6 yields

$$|N_G(P):P| = \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{M}} |C_Q(P)|.$$

$$\nu_p(A \times P) = |A : C_A(P)| = |N : C_N(P)| = \nu_p(G).$$

Thus, we may assume that N=A is abelian. Then $C_N(P) \subseteq G$. Going over to $G/C_N(P)$, we may assume that $C_N(P)=1$. Let $P_1,\ldots,P_{p+1} \le P$ be maximal subgroups of P such that $P=P_1 \cup \ldots \cup P_{p+1}$. If $C_N(P_i)=1$ for some i, then P_i is redundant in P_iN and $\nu_p(P_iN)=|N|=\nu_p(G)$. Arguing by induction on |G|, we can assume that $N_i:=C_N(P_i)>1$ for $i=1,\ldots,p+1$. Using the Fitting decomposition as in the proof of Theorem 1(iii), we obtain $N_1 \times \ldots \times N_{p+1} \le N$. Since P acts non-trivially on each N_i , it is clear that $|N_i| \ge q$. In total, $|N| \ge q^{p+1}$.

We remark that G := PSL(2, 11) has a redundant Sylow 2-subgroup by [11, Theorem D] and $\nu_2(G) = 55$ is a product of only two primes. This indicates that Theorem 7 may not hold for arbitrary groups.

For $x \in P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ let $\lambda_G(x) = \lambda_G(\langle x \rangle)$. Gheri [4] has introduced the following condition:

$$\nu_p(G)^{|P|/p} \ge \prod_{x \in P} \lambda_G(x). \tag{2.1}$$

He has shown in [4, Theorem B] that (2.1) holds for all finite groups if and only it holds for all almost simple groups. No counterexamples are known to exist. This yields a conjectural bound for $\nu_p(G)$.

Theorem 8. Suppose that G has a redundant Sylow p-subgroup of order p^n . If G satisfies (2.1), then

$$\nu_p(G) \ge (p+1)^{\frac{p^n-1}{p^n-1}} > (p+1)^p.$$

Proof. Let $x \in P \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$. Since P is redundant, there exists a Sylow p-subgroup $Q \neq P$ such that $x \in P \cap Q$. As in the proof of Lemma 4, we may choose $g \in \operatorname{N}_P(P \cap Q) \setminus Q$ such that $Q^g, Q^{g^2}, \ldots, Q^{g^p}$ are distinct Sylow p-subgroups containing x. Hence, $\lambda_G(x) \geq p+1$. Moreover, $\lambda_G(1) = \nu_p(G)$. Now (2.1) implies

$$\nu_p(G)^{p^{n-1}} \ge \lambda_G(1) \prod_{x \in P \setminus \{1\}} \lambda_G(x) \ge \nu_p(G)(p+1)^{p^n-1}.$$

Since P is non-cyclic, $n \ge 2$ and $\frac{p^n-1}{p^{n-1}-1} > p$.

If n=2 in Theorem 8, then $\nu_p(G) \geq (p+1)^{p+1}$. This coincides with Theorem 7, whenever, p is a Mersenne prime or p=2. The proof of [4, Theorem B] reduces (2.1) to an almost simple group S such that $\nu_p(S) \leq \nu_p(G)$. Then S is a primitive permutation group of degree $\leq \nu_p(S)$. If $\nu_p(G)$ is small, say $\nu_p(G) < 2^{12}$, we can check (2.1) by running through the library of primitive groups in GAP [3]. We did not find examples among non-solvable groups improving the values in Theorem 2.

Next we answer [11, Question 8.8].

Theorem 9. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant $\delta_n < 1$ with the following property: For every set of Sylow p-subgroups P_1, \ldots, P_n of a finite group G we have $G_p = P_1 \cup \ldots \cup P_n$ or

$$|P_1 \cup \ldots \cup P_n| < \delta_n |G_p|$$
.

Proof. We assume that $G_p \neq P_1 \cup \ldots \cup P_n$ and argue by induction on n. Let $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G) \setminus \{P_1, \ldots, P_n\}$. A well-known theorem of Frobenius asserts that $|G_p| = a|P|$ for some integer $a \geq 2$ (see e.g. [8]). If n = 1, then the claim holds with $\delta_1 = \frac{1}{2}$. Now let $n \geq 2$ and assume that δ_{n-1} is already given. Let ρ_n be the smallest positive integer such that $\delta_{n-1} + \frac{1}{\rho_n} < 1$. If $a \geq \rho_n$, then induction yields

$$|P_1 \cup \ldots \cup P_n| \le |P_1 \cup \ldots \cup P_{n-1}| + |P| \le \delta_{n-1}|G_p| + \frac{1}{a}|G_p| \le \left(\delta_{n-1} + \frac{1}{\rho_n}\right)|G_p|.$$

Now suppose that $a \leq \rho_n$. We may assume that $P \not\subseteq P_1 \cup \ldots \cup P_n$. Hence, by [12, Theorem 1], there exists a constant $\gamma_n < 1$ such that

$$|P_1 \cup \ldots \cup P_n| \le |G_p \setminus P| + |(P \cap P_1) \cup \ldots \cup (P \cap P_n)| \le \frac{a-1}{a} |G_p| + \gamma_n |P|$$
$$= \left(1 - \frac{1 - \gamma_n}{a}\right) |G_p| \le \left(1 - \frac{1 - \gamma_n}{\rho_n}\right) |G_p|.$$

Finally, the claim holds with

$$\delta_n := \max \left\{ \delta_{n-1} + \frac{1}{\rho_n}, \ 1 - \frac{1 - \gamma_n}{\rho_n} \right\}.$$

We finally remark that the prime p in Theorem 1 can be replaced by a set of primes. In fact the proof easily generalizes to the following theorem:

Theorem 10. For every finite group H there exists a finite group G such that H is a Hall π -subgroup of G (where π is a set of primes) and every π -element of G lies in at least two Hall π -subgroups of G.

References

- [1] C. Casolo, Subnormalizers in finite groups, Comm. Algebra 18 (1990), 3791–3818.
- [2] J. D. Dixon and B. Mortimer, *Permutation groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 163, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [3] The GAP Group, GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.12.2; 2022, (http://www.gap-system.org).
- [4] P. Gheri, On the number of p-elements in a finite group, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **200** (2021), 1231–1243.
- [5] R. M. Guralnick, Subgroups of prime power index in a simple group, J. Algebra 81 (1983), 304–311.
- [6] B. Hartley and A. Turull, On characters of coprime operator groups and the Glauberman character correspondence, J. Reine Angew. Math. 451 (1994), 175–219.
- [7] I. M. Isaacs, *Finite group theory*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 92, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [8] I. M. Isaacs and G. R. Robinson, On a theorem of Frobenius: solutions of $x^n = 1$ in finite groups, Amer. Math. Monthly **99** (1992), 352–354.
- [9] M. Korhonen, If p is an odd prime, does every Sylow p-subgroup contain an element not in any other Sylow p-subgroup?, https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/299682.
- [10] H. Kurzweil and B. Stellmacher, *The theory of finite groups*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.
- [11] A. Maróti, J. Martínez and A. Moretó, Covering the set of p-elements in finite groups by Sylow p-subgroups, J. Algebra 638 (2024), 840–861.
- [12] B. Sambale and M. Tărnăuceanu, On the size of coset unions, J. Algebraic Combin. **55** (2022), 979–987.