

SOUTHGATE TERMINAL

Port Operations Security Documentation

Multi-System Failure Coordination Guide

Document Information

Document Type: Technical Coordination Framework Intended Users: Technical Team, Operations Team, Incident Coordinators Usage Context: When multiple operational systems fail simultaneously Related Scenarios: Network + AIS + CCTV failures, coordinated system impacts

Purpose

This guide provides coordination framework for incidents affecting multiple operational systems simultaneously, ensuring systematic response prioritization and effective cross-team coordination when normal escalation paths are overwhelmed.

When to Use This Guide

- Three or more operational systems affected simultaneously
 - System failures that appear coordinated or related
 - Cascading failures affecting dependent systems
 - Resource conflicts between multiple system restoration efforts
 - Cross-system impacts requiring integrated response
-

Multi-System Failure Classification

Type A: Cascading Failures

Characteristics: - One system failure causes others to fail - Clear dependency relationships - Predictable failure sequence - Single root cause

Examples: - Network failure - AIS data loss - Manual operations - CCTV reliance - Power failure - Multiple system shutdowns - Database corruption - Multiple application failures

Type B: Coordinated Attacks

Characteristics: - Multiple systems targeted simultaneously - No clear dependency relationship - Sophisticated attack patterns - Evidence of deliberate ac-

tion

Examples: - Network interference + AIS spoofing + CCTV blackout - Unauthorized access to multiple unrelated systems - Systematic log deletion across multiple platforms

Type C: Environmental/External

Characteristics: - External factor affecting multiple systems - Natural or infrastructure-related cause - Affects systems sharing common dependencies - Typically affects physical layer

Examples: - Weather affecting antenna systems - Utility failures affecting multiple systems - Vendor outage affecting multiple services

Coordination Framework

Phase 1: Initial Response (0-15 minutes)

Multi-System Triage Process

1. System Impact Assessment

- ☐ Primary Systems: AIS, CCTV, Network, Container Management
- ☐ Secondary Systems: Communications, Email, HVAC, Access Control
- ☐ Safety Systems: Emergency communications, Safety monitoring
- ☐ Support Systems: Backup power, Environmental controls

2. Failure Pattern Analysis

- ☐ Timing: All at once vs. sequential failures
- ☐ Geography: Localized vs. distributed
- ☐ Dependencies: Related vs. independent systems
- ☐ Severity: Complete failure vs. degraded performance

3. Safety Impact Evaluation

- ☐ Immediate Dangers: Active operations requiring immediate attention
- ☐ Safety Monitoring: Systems critical for personnel safety
- ☐ Emergency Response: Capability to respond to emergencies
- ☐ Evacuation Capability: Ability to safely evacuate if needed

Priority Matrix for Multi-System Response

System	Safety Impact	Operational Impact	Restoration Complexity	Priority
Emergency Communications	Critical	High	Low	1
CCTV (Safety Areas)	Critical	Medium	Medium	2
AIS (Active Vessels)	High	Critical	Medium	3
Network (Core)	Medium	Critical	High	4
Container Management	Low	High	Low	5
Email/Adm Sys-tems	Low	Low	Low	6

Phase 2: Coordination Structure (15-30 minutes)

Multi-System Response Team Structure Incident Commander: Senior Operations Manager or designated authority - Overall coordination and resource allocation - Safety decision authority - Executive communication

Technical Coordinator: Senior Technical Lead - Technical restoration prioritization - Resource allocation for technical teams - Cross-system dependency management

Operations Coordinator: Operations Team Lead - Operational continuity planning - Manual procedure implementation - Personnel safety coordination

Communications Coordinator: Incident Communications Lead - Internal team coordination - External stakeholder communication - Information flow management

Team Coordination Protocols

1. Situation Briefings

- ☐ Every 15 minutes for first hour
- ☐ Every 30 minutes thereafter

- ☐ Emergency briefings as required
- 2. Decision Authority
 - ☐ Safety decisions: Operations Coordinator (immediate implementation)
 - ☐ Resource allocation: Technical Coordinator (technical resources)
 - ☐ Strategic decisions: Incident Commander (overall direction)
 - ☐ External communication: Communications Coordinator
- 3. Information Flow
 - ☐ All teams report to coordinators every 15 minutes
 - ☐ Coordinators brief Incident Commander every 30 minutes
 - ☐ Critical updates communicated immediately

Phase 3: Integrated Response Strategy (30-60 minutes)

System Restoration Prioritization

- 1. Critical Path Analysis
 - ☐ Identify systems that enable restoration of other systems
 - ☐ Map dependencies and restoration sequences
 - ☐ Identify parallel vs. sequential restoration opportunities
- 2. Resource Allocation Strategy
 - ☐ Concentrated Approach: All resources on highest priority
 - ☐ Parallel Approach: Resources split across critical systems
 - ☐ Hybrid Approach: Staged resource reallocation
- 3. Risk vs. Benefit Assessment
 - ☐ Quick Wins: Low-effort, high-impact restorations
 - ☐ Foundation Systems: Systems that enable other restorations
 - ☐ Safety Critical: Systems essential for safe operations

Integration Challenges and Solutions Challenge: Competing Resource Demands - Solution: Establish clear priority hierarchy - Process: Technical Coordinator allocates based on priority matrix - Escalation: Incident Commander resolves conflicts

Challenge: Cross-System Dependencies - Solution: Map dependencies and coordinate restoration sequence - Process: Technical teams provide dependency information - Coordination: Shared timeline with checkpoints

Challenge: Information Overload - Solution: Structured reporting with standardized updates - Process: 15-minute status reports using standard format - Filtering: Coordinators filter information for decision makers

System-Specific Coordination Procedures

Network + AIS Failure Coordination

Immediate Actions: - [] Determine if network failure is causing AIS issues - []
Implement manual vessel tracking if AIS dependent on network - [] Prioritize
network restoration if it enables AIS recovery

Resource Coordination: - [] Assign network team to core infrastructure - []
Deploy operations team for manual AIS tracking - [] Coordinate vessel commu-
nications through harbor master

CCTV + Operations System Failures

Immediate Actions: - [] Deploy manual spotters for safety-critical areas - []
Implement enhanced radio communication - [] Slow operations in areas without
visual coverage

Resource Coordination: - [] Operations personnel for manual monitoring - []
Technical personnel for system restoration - [] Safety personnel for enhanced
oversight

Multi-System + Network Failures

Immediate Actions: - [] Establish alternative communication methods - [] Im-
plement manual coordination procedures - [] Consider external technical assis-
tance

Resource Coordination: - [] Contact external technical support - [] Implement
manual inter-team coordination - [] Establish physical coordination center if
needed

Communication Protocols

Internal Coordination Messages

Multi-System Status Update Template TO: All Coordinators FROM: [System
Team Lead] SUBJECT: Multi-System Status - [Timestamp]

SYSTEM STATUS: - Primary System: [Status/ETA] - Secondary Impact: [De-
scription] - Resource Needs: [Specific requirements] - Coordination Require-
ments: [Dependencies on other teams]

Coordinator Briefing Template TO: Incident Commander FROM: [Coordina-
tor] SUBJECT: Coordination Status - [Timestamp]

OVERALL STATUS: [Green/Yellow/Red] KEY DEVELOPMENTS: [Major changes since last update] RESOURCE ALLOCATION: [Current assignments] CRITICAL DECISIONS NEEDED: [Items requiring IC input] ESTIMATED RESOLUTION: [Timeline assessment]

External Communication

Stakeholder Notification Template TO: [External Stakeholders] SUBJECT: Operational Status Update - Multi-System Incident

SITUATION: We are managing a multi-system technical incident affecting [general description].

CURRENT STATUS: Operations continuing with [enhanced safety procedures/reduced capacity/manual procedures].

SAFETY: All safety measures remain in place and are being enhanced during response.

TIMELINE: We expect [gradual restoration over X hours/significant progress by X time].

NEXT UPDATE: [Specific time for next communication]

Escalation Triggers

Technical Escalation

Escalate to External Technical Support When: - ☐ Multiple systems failing faster than restoration capability - ☐ Evidence of coordinated cyber attack - ☐ Technical teams overwhelmed or lacking expertise - ☐ Restoration timeline exceeds acceptable operational impact

Executive Escalation

Escalate to Executive Team When: - ☐ Safety concerns requiring operations shutdown - ☐ Estimated restoration time exceeds 4 hours - ☐ Evidence suggesting deliberate attack requiring legal response - ☐ External assistance or emergency declaration needed

Emergency Services Escalation

Escalate to Emergency Services When: - ☐ Personnel safety cannot be assured - ☐ Emergency response capability compromised - ☐ Environmental or public safety risks identified - ☐ Criminal activity suspected

Recovery Coordination

Restoration Verification Process

1. Individual System Testing
 - ☐ Verify each system functions independently
 - ☐ Test core functionality before integration
 - ☐ Document any ongoing issues or limitations
2. Integration Testing
 - ☐ Verify cross-system communications
 - ☐ Test dependent system functionality
 - ☐ Confirm data synchronization and integrity
3. Operational Verification
 - ☐ Test operational procedures with restored systems
 - ☐ Verify safety systems and monitoring
 - ☐ Confirm normal operational capacity

Lessons Learned Process

1. Immediate Debrief (Within 24 hours)
 - ☐ What worked well in coordination?
 - ☐ What communication challenges occurred?
 - ☐ Which resource allocation decisions were effective?
 2. Technical Analysis (Within 72 hours)
 - ☐ Root cause analysis for each system failure
 - ☐ Dependency mapping accuracy assessment
 - ☐ Technical response time evaluation
 3. Process Improvement (Within 1 week)
 - ☐ Update coordination procedures based on experience
 - ☐ Revise priority matrices if needed
 - ☐ Enhance training for multi-system scenarios
-

Success Criteria

- Safe coordination of response to multiple simultaneous system failures
- Effective resource allocation and priority management
- Clear communication and decision-making structure
- Minimized operational impact through coordinated response
- Successful restoration of all systems with lessons learned integration

Related Documents

- Safety Risk Assessment Template
- Crisis Decision Authority Matrix
- Network Diagnostics SOP
- CCTV Blackout Response SOP
- AIS Signal Validation Procedures