VHash: A Voronoi-Based Multidimensional Distributed Hash Table

Brendan Benshoof Andrew Rosen Department of Computer Science, Georgia State University bbenshoof@cs.gsu.edu rosen@cs.gsu.edu

Abstract-Distributed Hash Tables are used as a tool to generate overlay networks for P2P networks. Current DHT techniques are not designed to take the nature of the underlying network into account when organizing the overlay network. Current DHT networks assign nodes locations in a ring or tree, limiting the ability of these networks to be more efficient. A DHT technique that allows for efficient construction of an overlay network that takes into account the real underlying network would allow for higher performance and faster P2P networks. We present VHash as a spacial DHT based on approximate Delaunay Triangulation to integrate distance information between nodes into overlay network topology. VHash allows for the creation of P2P networks with faster record lookup time, storage, and maintenance with a geographically diverse set of nodes.

I. INTRODUCTION

A Distributed Hash Table is used provide an overlay network for many P2P applications. State of the art DHT techniques are built on trees or log-ring structures to ensure that the routing distance is O(lq(n)) hops between nodes.

In the vast majority of Distributed Hash Tables, such as Chord, Kademlia, Pastry, a node is mapped key on a 1dimensional keyspace. This key is chosen via a hash function, such as SHA-1, ensuring that nodes are randomly and uniformly the overlay network. This provides the network with fault tolerance; if all the nodes located in a real geographic region were suddenly taken offline, the damage to the network would be spread uniformly throughout the network and maintenance would repair the damage. These topologies, while sufficient in reasonably local networks, do not take embed the lengths or latencies of routes defined by the topology and assume that every hop has similar latency and throughput. For a global network, a more intelligent means of generating a dynamic overlay network with efficient routing, storage, and backups is needed for future P2P applications.

We present VHash as a DHT designed to take inter-node latency information into account when generating an overlay on a massive scale. VHash creates an approximation of a Voronoi network to define the routing tables and dictate where content is stored in the network. We accomplish this by assigning each node d coordinates, rather than than a single key. The naive method of doing so is to assign coordinates to servers based on the geographic location of nodes. More complex approaches approximate a minimum latency space based on internode latency. VHash can be considered a generalized extension of VoroNet [1] and RayNet [2].

Our paper presents the following:

• We present the algorithms that are used to approximate the Voronoi region and demostrate that these approximations are accurate and sufficient enough to efficiently route between arbitrary nodes.

1

- We show how VHash can be used to create a robust, fault-tolerant file-sharing service.
- We created a simulation of our protocol and compared it to previous Voronoi based algorithms.
- We present the related work and how VHash improves upon the previous work and identify future areas of fruitful research.

II. VHASH

A. Summary of the Protocol

Voronoi diagrams define ownership of a space, with each object in the space owning all the points closest to it. We denote the region owned by an object as a Voronoi region, or simply that object's region. In VHash, these objects are nodes mapped to a d-dimensional toroidal space and the regions they own define the range of keys they are responsible for. 1.

Each node's routing table is composed of their neighbors in the overlay's corresponding Delaunay triangulation².

Computing Voronoi Diagrams is expensive, but a greedy approximation of the Voronoi regions is sufficient for the protocol. An online algorithm maintains the set of peers defining the node's Voronoi region. The set of peers required to define a node's Voronoi Region corresponds to a solution to the dual Delaunay Triangulation.

By assigning nodes and files a set of coordinates composed of not only the hashkey, but information that can be used as a metric for routing. This allows us to optimize routing in the the network along some metric, such as latency, without jeopardizing the distributed quality of the network³. Algorithm 1 describes the process for performing a minimum latency embedding using VHash.

The routing tables in VHash are O(1) space and provides ?⁴ average lookup time in hops with a minimized latency.

B. Key Generation - Mapping a Node to Coordinates

We suggest using the following spaces as our dimensions

¹If Chord defined node repsonisbilty in terms of distance to the key, Chord would be a 1-dimensional Voronoi diagram

²Well a subset anyway. Or superset

³Need better way to say "anti-nuke" property.

⁴Our initial assement was d-root, but other papers say Polylogarithmic routing [3] [2]

Algorithm 1 VHash Minimum Latency Embedding

- 1: d is the dimensions of the hash space
- 2: seed the space with d+1 nodes at random locations
- 3: A node n wishes to join the network
- 4: n pings a random subset of peers to find latencies L
- 5: Normalize L onto (0.0,1.0) to yield L_N
- 6: Choose position p that minimizes

$$\sum_{i \in peers} (L_N[i] - dist(p, i))^2$$

7: Re-evaluate location periodically

- 1) Cryptographic Keyspace:
- 2) Latency Spring Based Model:
- 3) Security/Trust Space:
- 4) Social Network Influence as an attribute.:
- 5) Handling Files:

C. Node Degree

- 1) Average Case: Avg. Node degree is the average degree of a point in a d-dimensional toroidal space. Consider a d-dimensional toroidal space. Let some arbitrary point A be the center of this space⁵. A hypercube that surrounds the space would be defined by 3^d-1 points citation ⁶
- 2) Worst Case: The expected maximum degree of a ?point? in d-dimensional Delaunay Triangulation is $\Theta(\frac{\log n}{\log \log n})$ [4]. We don't know how this is affected by toroidal spaces.
- 3) Memory Overhead: In order to route, a node maintains a routing table consisting of the nodes it borders a region with. Unlike other DHTs, which keep routing tables of a set size, VHash's routing table depends on the nodes that it shares a border with, which is on average $3^d 1$???

D. Toroidal Distance Equation

As VHash uses multiple dimensions, responsibity for a key is assigned to the node closest to that key. Given two vector locations \vec{a} and \vec{b} on a d dimensional unit toroidal hypercube, the distance between them is:

$$\sqrt[d]{\sum_{i \in d} (\min(|\vec{a}_i - \vec{b}_i|, 1.0 - |\vec{a}_i - \vec{b}_i|))^2}$$

E. Mechanism

VHash maps nodes to a d dimension toroidal unit space overlay. This is essentially a hypercube with wrapping edges. The toroidal property makes visualization difficult but allows for a space without a sparse edge, as all nodes can translate the space such that they are at the center of the space. In effect, each node views itself at the center of the graph.

VHash nodes are responsible for the address space defined by their Voronoi region. This region is defined by a list of peer nodes maintained by the node. A minimum list of peers is maintained such that the node's Voronoi region is well defined. Fig. 1: The starting network topology. The blue lines demark the Voronoi edges, while the red lines connecting the nodes correspond to the Delaunay Triangulation edges and one-hop connections.

The links connecting the node to its peers correspond to the links of a Delaunay Triangulation. One such possible network is shown on Figure 1.

F. Approximation

VHash does not strictly solve Voronoi diagrams [5], as the toroidal nature of the space preclude the traditional means of solving for Voronoi regions. However, VHash's peer management approximates a topology with similar properties.

- 1) Voronoi Difficulties: Two difficulties that arise in attempting to use Voronoi Tessellation for constructing a DHT.
 - Voronoi diagrams are expensive to solve when $d \geq 3$ and would require massive overhead costs for each node to define it's own region. We solve this by approximating the region, rather that solving it.
 - How do yiou define the routing list?

Algorithm 2 VHash Greedy Peer Selection

- 1: Candiates is the set of candidate peers
- 2: Peers is the set of this node's peers
- 3: Canidates is sorted by each node's closeness to this node
- 4: The closest member of *Canidates* is popped and added to *Peers*
- 5: for all n in Canidates do
- 6: c is the midpoint between this node and n
- 7: **if** Any node in Peers is closer to c than this node **then**
- 8: reject n as a peer
- 9: **else**
- 10: Add n to Peers
- 11: end if
- 12: end for

G. Messages

Maintenance and joining are handled by a simple periodic mechanism. A notification message consisting of a node's information and active peers is the only maintenance message. All messages have a destination hash location which is used to route them to the proper server. This destination can be the hash location of a particular node or the location of a desired record or service. The message is received by the node responsible for the location. Services running on the DHT define their own message contents, such as commands to store and retrieve data.

H. Message Routing

Messages are routed over the overlay network using a simple algorithm (Algorithm 3). When routing a message to an arbitrary location, a node calculates who's Voronoi region

⁵Any point in a toroidal space can view itself as it's center

⁶Is this rigorous enough?

the message's destination is in amongst the itself and its peers. If the destination falls within its own region, then it is responsible and handles the message accordingly. Otherwise, the node forwards the message to the closest peer to the destination location. This process describes⁷ a pre-computed and cached A* routing algorithm [6].

Algorithm 3 Vhash Routing

- 1: P_0 is this node's set of peers
- 2: N is this node
- 3: m is a message addressed for L
- 4: Forwards is the set $P_0 \cup N$
- 5: find C: member of Forwards which has the shortest distance to L
- 6: if C is N then
- 7: N is the responsible party.
- 8: Handle m
- 9: else
- 10: Forward m to C for handling or further routing
- 11: end if

I. Joining and Maintenance

Joining the network is a straightforward process. A new node first learns the location of at least one member of the network to join. The joining node then choses a location in the hash space either at random or based on a problem formulation (for example, based on geographic location or latency information).

After choosing a location, the joining node sends a "join" message to its own location via the known node. The message is forwarded to the current owner of that location who can be considered the "parent" node. The parent node immediately replies with a maintenance message containing its full peer list. This message is sent to the joining node, who then uses this to begin defining the space it is responsible for.

The joining node's initial peers are a subset of the parent and the parent's peers. The parent adds the new node to its own peer list and removes all his peers occluded by the new node. Then regular maintenance propagates the new node's information and repairs the overlay topology. This process is described by Algorithm 4.

J. Eclipse and Sybil Attacks

Each node in the network performs maintenance periodically by a maintenance message to its peers. The maintenance message consists of the node's information and the information on that node's peer list. When a maintenance message is received, the receiving node considers the listed nodes as candidates for its own peer list and removes any occluded nodes (Algorithm 2).

When messages sent to a peer fail, it is assumed the peer has left the network. The leaving peer is removed from the peer list and candidates from the set of 2-hop peers provided by other peers move in to replace it. Maintenance is described by

Algorithm 4 Vhash Join

- 1: new node N wishes to join and has location L
- 2: N knows node x to be a member of the network
- 3: N sends a request to join, addressed to L via x
- 4: node Parent is responsible for location L and receives the join message
- 5: Parent sends to N its own location and list of peers
- 6: Parent integrates N into its peer set
- 7: N builds its peer list from N and its peers
- 8: regular maintenance updates other peers

Fig. 2: Here, a new node is joining the networks and has established that his position falls in the the yellow shaded Voronoi region.

Algorithms 5 and 6. Figures ??, 3, and 4 illustrate the joining processing.

Algorithm 5 VHash Maintenance Cycle

```
1: P_0 is this node's set of peers
```

2: T is the maintenance period

3: while Node is running do

4: **for all** node n in P_0 **do**

5: Send a Maintenance Message containing P_0 to n

6: end for

7: Wait T seconds

8: end while

Algorithm 6 VHash Handle Maintenance Message

```
1: P_0 is this node's set of peers
```

2: Receive a Maintenance Message from peer n containing its set of peers: P_n

```
3: for all Peers p in P_n do
```

: Consider p as a member of P_0

5: **if** p should join P_0 **then**

6: Add p to P_0

7: **for all** Other peers i in p **do**

8: **if** i is occluded by p **then**

9: remove i from P_0

10: **end if**

11: end for

12: **end if**

13: end for

There is no function for a "polite" exit from the network. VHash assumes nodes will fail and the difference between an intended failure and unintended failure is unnecessary. The only issue this causes is that node software should be designed to fail totally when issues arise rather then attempt to fulfill only part of its responsibilities.

K. Data Storage and Backups

The primary goal of a DHT is to provide a distributed storage medium. We extend this idea to distribute work and

⁷Wording. Is equivalent a better word?

Fig. 3: The network topology after the new node has finished joining.

Fig. 4: The topology immediately after the new node leaves the network. After maintenance takes place, the topology repairs itself back to the configuration shown in Figure 1.

information among nodes using the same paradigm. Resources in the network, be it raw data or assigned tasks, are assigned hash locations. The node responsible for a given hash location is responsible for the maintenance of that resource. When a node fails, its peers take responsibility of its space. Thus it is important to provide peers with frequent backups of a node's assigned resources. That way, when a node fails, its peers can immediately assume its responsibilities.

When a resource is to be stored on the network, it is assigned a hash location. The hash locations assigned could be random, a hash of an identifier, or have specific meaning for an embedding problem. The node responsible for that resource's hash location stores the resource.

A resource is accessed by contacting the node responsible for the resource. However, the requester generally has no idea which node is responsible for any particular resource. The data request messsage is addressed to the location corresponding to the resource, rather than the node responsible for that location. The message is forwarded over the overlay network, each hop bringing the node closer until it reaches the responsible node, who sends the resource or an error if the resource does not exist.

Some options are immediately apparent for dealing with wasted storage space. A system that is primarily read driven can record the time of the last read or a frequency of reads such that resources that are not read often enough are deleted after a certain period of time. If a system is write driven, allow the resource to be assigned a time to live, which can be updated as needed.

A node periodically sends a message containing backups of the resources for which it became newly responsible for to each of its peers. To minimize bandwidth and time wasted by backups, the node should only send the records changed since last backup.

III. ERROR ANALYIS

What is the error of the Voronoi Region and Delaunay Triangulation.

IV. SIMULATIONS

We simulated VHash to acertain the protocol's performance. We began by creating a large underlay network. Then n random nodes were chosen to join the overlay 8 . Nodes in the suimulation would route a message to one another and we plotted the latency and successful deliveries for VHash and Raynet. Latency was measured by the number of hops in

the *underlay* network. For comparison we also compared the performance if messages were routed perfectally and optimally to see how close to the ideal our performance was.

V. RELATED WORK AND FUTURE

Voronet stuff here.

Beaumont $et\ al\ [2]$ argues that a loose structure enough for searching. Assume a d-dimension space, each dimension tied to some attribute of an object and each object identified by a unique set of values. Objects should be linked to other objects that are close in the space.

The key insight that Beaumont *et al* had was that nodes only needed to calculate their regions locally and this can be done via goosip-based protocol.

Each node maintains a *view*, the closest 3d+1 neighbors it knows of and periodically exchanges information with them.

Pastry also tried to address this problem.

Apply this to MANET.

REFERENCES

- O. Beaumont, A.-M. Kermarrec, L. Marchal, and E. Rivière, "Voronet: A scalable object network based on voronoi tessellations," in *Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium*, 2007. IPDPS 2007. IEEE International, pp. 1–10, IEEE, 2007.
- [2] O. Beaumont, A.-M. Kermarrec, and É. Rivière, "Peer to peer multidimensional overlays: Approximating complex structures," in *Principles of Distributed Systems*, pp. 315–328, Springer, 2007.
- [3] J. M. Kleinberg, "Navigation in a small world," *Nature*, vol. 406, no. 6798, pp. 845–845, 2000.
- [4] M. Bern, D. Eppstein, and F. Yao, "The expected extremes in a delaunay triangulation," *International Journal of Computational Geometry & Applications*, vol. 1, no. 01, pp. 79–91, 1991.
- [5] F. Aurenhammer, "Voronoi diagrams—a survey of a fundamental geometric data structure," ACM Comput. Surv., vol. 23, pp. 345–405, Sept. 1991.
- [6] P. Hart, N. Nilsson, and B. Raphael, "A formal basis for the heuristic determination of minimum cost paths," *Systems Science and Cybernetics*, *IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 100–107, 1968.

⁸Can we used the number of underlay hops as out "latency dimension" here?