1 Abstract

The Minorities at Risk (MAR) is a long running project to moniter an anyalze the situation of minorities around the world. While flawed due to only considering minorities that the are already at some sort of political, social or physical risk, it has been used in a number of cited papers to anyalize using primarily linear regression to determine what key risk factors are. However, fitting into a broader trend in socieal sciences these investigations have been broadly anyalitical istead of predictive. The purpose of this project is to reverse that trend, and instead of simply identifying key factors, try to predict how changes in minority group status will affect a groups security

2 Literature Review

The research for this paper focused on two distinct points: the broader history of the use of advanced statistical anylaisis and Machine Learning within the social sciences, and then an investigation of other research on the MAR project. These allow the reearch conducted within this project to be placed within a proper context, and the significane of the result truly comprehended.

2.1 A History of Machine Learning in the Social Sciences

Coming soon....

2.2 Reseach on the MAR Project

Coming soon...

3 Methodology

Approaching this problem there were two main decisions that had to be made: how to prune the data, and how to find sets that were particularly illuminating as the complete size of the data was far too large for accurate classification to occur. Beyond that my primary challenges were as expected simply attempting to find the correct level of sampling, learning rate and epochs that would maximize the accuracy of the results. The exact methodologies are explained separately.

Page 1 of 4

3.1 Modeling

3.2 Data Grooming

One of the main challenges with doing a proper statistical anylaisis on the project was that it was not entirely set up for statistical anylaisis of the type that I wanted to conduct. As it origionally stood each of the data entries was individually labeled with over 40 different variables. As we only had Slightly over 850 different cases, it was clear that we would be forced to prune the data to allow it to be more accuratly surveyed. The exact specifics, amd justification of why each group was excluded is explained below, but the general approach was to eliminate anything where the data was woefully incomplete, or severed as a unique identifir such as name. This type of variable is innefective as it is far too specific as identifies just one element, making the predictive powers employed here far too weak. The other main change that I made was to discretize some of the data, or convert it from text based catagories to numerical ones that the computer could full process. In the chart below I refer to each of the variables that were changed or elimiated by variable name as defined in the code book for the MAR project. The data is broken up into tow sunbsections. Those that I eliminated from the project and those that I simpley changed. For each there is an explanation of why this was done, and for any changes an exact description of the changes.

3.2.1 Deletions

As explained above some of the data needed to be deleted. The following table offers an explanation behind each piece of Data that I decided to delete, but in gneneral what was deleted was either extraneous, a repeat, or unusable for the reason specified.

Table 1: A simple longtable example

Variable	Reason For Deletion
numcode	This is a unique identifier of each minority group that
	was included in the data set, with it being the same
	across years for each group. As we are trying to identify
	predictive capabilities about future groups this clearly
	has no relavance and can be safely eliminated
VMARGROUP	VMARGROUP is simply the name of the group. Once
	again this is far to specific, and will not accurately create
	generizable trends. Therefore it is also eliminated
	Continued on next page

Page 2 of 4

Table 1 – Continued from previous page

Table 1 – $Continued\ from\ previous\ page$		
Variable	Reason For Deletion	
country	This is the actual name of the country, as there is also a	
	numerical representation of the country already in place,	
	it is safe to elnate this as it is duplicate information,	
	and the numerical values are much easier to work with	
	anyway	
year	The year the data was collected in while certianly help-	
v	ful in undersatnding how situations changed for ethnic	
	grups across time in nations, is not particuarly insightful	
	into their risk factors.	
AUTONEND	This variable originally referred to the year that the last	
	mjor loss of automity occurred for the group. This would	
	be vry helpful for historical researchers, bu as the date	
	was already discretized in the variable YEARWT, it was	
	deemed unceessary and deleted	
TRANSYR	Once again this was a case of the variable being cov-	
	ered already, in this case with YEARWT as well. This	
	variable was simply a measure of when authority was	
	transferred an it the vast majority of times conferred with	
	AUTOEND.	
FACTCC1	while the presence of intergroup conflict is particuarly	
1110101	important, the name of the group that is involved in	
	the coflict is not particularly important. In addition this	
	measure is very sparse, and thus pruning made sense	
FACTCC2	This is the exact same logic as the for the FACTCC1	
11101002	execpt this is name of the second group a minrity group	
	is in conflict with.	
FACTCC3	Exact same logic as FACTCC2 and FACTCC1	
FACTCC2	This is the exact same logic as the for the FACTCC1	
11101002	execpt this is name of the second group a minrity group	
	is in conflict with.	
CCGROUP1	We have now moved on to instead of intercommunity	
	conflict to intra-community conflict. The logic behind	
	not being particular concerned with the names of the	
	groups invloved, just their prenesce or lack therof still	
	stands however.	
CCGROUP2	Ditto	
CCGROUP3	Ditto	
000110013	D1000	

Continued on next page

Table 1 – Continued from previous page

Variable	Reason For Deletion
REPNVIOL	This is outside the scope of the project. The purose
	of the project as expalind above is to attempt to use
	machine learning to attempt to predict whether or not
	a state will inflict violince or persecution on a minority
	group within its borders. Being repressive to protesters,
	while normatively reprehensible is outside the scope of
	the project.
REPVIOL	Similarly to REPNVIOL the governments crackdown on
	violint seperatist while illuminating is not particuarly
	informative on their reations to civilians, Thereofore this
	too is deleted.

3.2.2 Deletions

Similarly sevral of the variables had to have their data discretized to make the prhgram function better. I have detailed the necessary changes below for conveniance. I have listed the changes that were necessary below for clarities sake:

Table 2: A simple longtable example

Variable	Reason For Deletion
VMARREGION	Regionality, and broader social and cultural actor may
	very easily play a role in determing the response to eth-
	nic groups and therefore it is important to include. How-
	ever, as it was currently it was strings, and thus I decided
	to convert it to categories as follows: 0). Asia, 1). Latin
	America and the Caribbean, 2). Middle East and North
	Africa, 3). Post Communits States, 4). Sub Saharan
	Africa, 5). Western Democracies and Japan