

350 HW 4

① bad Closest Pair Alg.

1' - split // get $A_1 + A_2$, $O(n)$ 2' - bad Closest Pair on A_1 // gets δ_1 , $T_{\text{avg}}(\frac{n}{2})$ - bad Closest Pair on A_2 // gets δ_2 , $T_{\text{avg}}(\frac{n}{2})$ 3' - Pick smallest δ among points between $A_1 + A_2$. // gets δ , $O((2 \cdot \frac{n}{2})(2 \cdot \frac{n}{2}))$ 3'' = $O(n \cdot n) = O(n^2)$

Step ① Formula: $T_{\text{avg}}(n) = \underbrace{2 \cdot T_{\text{avg}}(\frac{n}{2})}_{\text{Step 2}} + \underbrace{O(n^2)}_{\text{Step 3}} + \underbrace{O(n)}_{\text{Step 1}}$

~~$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (2 \cdot T_{\text{avg}}(\frac{n}{2}) + O(n^2) + O(n))$~~

Step ② Guess: $T_{\text{avg}}(n) = O(n^2)$. That is, $\exists c > 0$, such that

$$T_{\text{avg}}(n) \leq c \cdot n^2 \text{ for all } n.$$

Step ③ Check:

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{\text{avg}}(n) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 2 \cdot T_{\text{avg}}(\frac{n}{2}) + O(n^2) + O(n) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n 2 \cdot T_{\text{avg}}(\frac{n}{2}) + \sum_{i=1}^n O(n^2) + \sum_{i=1}^n O(n) \right\} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n 2 \cdot T_{\text{avg}}(\frac{n}{2}) + a \cdot n^3 + a \cdot n^2 \right\} \\
 &= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T_{\text{avg}}(\frac{n}{2}) + a \cdot n^2 + a \cdot n \\
 &\leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n c \cdot \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2 + a \cdot n^2 + a \cdot n \\
 &\leq \frac{2c}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2 + a \cdot n^2 + a \cdot n \\
 &\leq \frac{2c}{n} \int_0^n f(x) dx + a \cdot n^2 + a \cdot n \\
 &\leq \frac{2c}{n} \int_0^n \frac{x^2}{4} dx + a \cdot n^2 + a \cdot n \\
 &= \frac{2c}{n} \left\{ \frac{x^3}{12} \right\}_0^n + a \cdot n^2 + a \cdot n \\
 &= \frac{2c}{n} \left\{ \frac{n^3}{12} \right\} + a \cdot n^2 + a \cdot n \\
 &= \frac{cn}{6} + a \cdot n^2 + a \cdot n \\
 &\leq c \cdot n^2 \text{ when } c > a.
 \end{aligned}$$

I.H: $\forall i \leq n$,
 $T_{\text{avg}}(i) \leq c \cdot i^2$

② Naive Karatsuba Alg
 $\beta = \alpha_1$
 for $(i = 2 \text{ to } n)$
 $\beta = \beta \cdot \alpha_i$ (using Karatsuba) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} // O(n^2) \\ // O(n) \\ // \times O(n^{1.59}) \end{array} \right\} = O(n^{2.59})$

return β .
 ① $T_w(n) = \max_{1 \leq r \leq n} \{ O(n^{2.59}) + O(1) \}$
 $= \max_{1 \leq r \leq n} \{ \underbrace{a \cdot n^{2.59}}_{F(r)} \} = a$

$F(r) = a n^{2.59}$
 $= \max \{ F(1), F(n) \} = \max \{ a \cdot n^{2.59} \} = a \cdot n^{2.59} \leq a \cdot n^3$
 $= O(n^3)$

③ $T(n, m)$: multiply m strings of length n .
 Clearly, the worst case is $T(n, n)$ which is bounded by the summation of the first group, 2nd group, + results from both groups.

$T(n, m) = 2 \cdot T(n, \frac{n}{2}) + O(n^{1.59})$, $G(n) = 2 \cdot G(\frac{n}{2}) + G(n \cdot n)^{1.59}$

Guess: $G(n) = O((n^2)^{1.59})$

I.H: $\forall i \leq n, T(i) \leq C \cdot (i^2)^{1.59}$

Check:

$= 2 \cdot C \left(\left(\frac{n}{2} \right)^2 \right)^{1.59} + G\left(\left(\frac{n}{2} \right) \cdot n \right)^{1.59} \leq C \cdot (n^2)^{1.59}$

Clearly, the algorithm would have a worst case complexity of $O((n^2)^{1.59})$ because the n length n amount of numbers would take $O(n^2)$ to compute and for each number, we would have to perform better Karatsuba which would cause the n^2 to be performed $n^{1.59}$ times.

Bringing the total complexity of worst case for better Karatsuba to $O((n^2)^{1.59})$

④ The first step is to think of all airplanes currently in the air as occupying space. Each airplane is at least 1 unit apart from another. This means that there can only be a finite number of airplanes located inside a $1 \times 1 \times 1$ unit space. Knowing this information, I can cut the airspace in half so that roughly half the airplanes are in box 1 and the other half are in box 2. Next, I can look at box 1 to find the closest pair of airplanes for box 1 (δ_1), and do the same for box 2 to get (δ_2). After I have $\delta_1 + \delta_2$, I can look at only airplanes located within $\min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ from the line I originally cut. Because there would now be a finite number of airplanes located in this box between box 1 and box 2 all less than $\min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ distance from the middle, I could enumerate every possible pair and compare to find a global δ_3 . Once I have $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3$, I can look at $\min\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3\}$ to find the total closest pair of airplanes. This algorithm is a variation of the closest-pair algorithm which could find the closest pair of airplanes in linear time.

⑤ n strings on $\{a, b\}$ each with length $\geq m$.
 $d(\alpha, \beta)$ is distance between two points. Each string
is a point of A 's + B 's.

Ex: obbabab $\Rightarrow (3, 4)$

Now, n -strings are translated into n -points.

Run classic close-pair algorithm to get closest different pair.

Travle = $\Theta(n \log n)$ \checkmark

Worst = $\Theta(n \cdot m)$ \checkmark

When \checkmark is higher than \checkmark , that means that
the length of the strings is much smaller than
the total number of strings.

This is a problem because we want the algorithm
to run in time $\Theta(n \cdot m)$. If this is the case,

We can check the length of the strings to
see if ~~the~~ $m \geq n \log n$. If m is less than

$n \log n$, then we could ~~take~~ take ~~the~~ m^2 as the
size of the strings rather than m . This

would ensure that $\checkmark = \Theta(\checkmark)$ or, the
algorithm would be upper bound by $\Theta(m \cdot n)$