Develop PD model, by Brent Oeyen

The goal of this code is to compare the following Probability of Default models

- An IRLS logistic regression with a classic transformation of variable;
- · An elastic net logistic regression with raw numeric input variables, calibrated with scipy minize;
- A Keras DNN binary classification algorithm with raw numeric input variables transformed with a standard score;
 and
- A Keras elastic net with raw numeric input variables transformed with a standard score.

The following packages are used in the implementation:

```
In [1]: import os
        import pandas
                                          as pd
        import numpy
                                          as np
        import scipy.optimize
                                          as optimize
        import scipy.stats
                                         as st
        from keras.models
                                          import Sequential
        from keras.layers
                                          import Dense
        from keras.wrappers.scikit_learn import KerasClassifier
        from keras.layers
                                          import Dropout
        from keras.constraints
                                          import maxnorm
        from keras.optimizers
                                          import SGD
        from sklearn.model selection
                                          import cross val score
        from sklearn.preprocessing
                                          import LabelEncoder
        from sklearn.model selection
                                          import StratifiedKFold
        from sklearn.preprocessing
                                          import StandardScaler
                                          import Pipeline
        from sklearn.pipeline
        from sklearn.calibration
                                          import CalibratedClassifierCV
        from sklearn.linear model
                                          import LogisticRegression
        from sklearn.model selection
                                          import train test split
        from Codes.PD.PD tests
                                          import *
        from Codes.PD.Logistic regression import *
```

Using TensorFlow backend.

Load dataset, source: "http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/machine-learning-databases/statlog/german/(http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/machine-learning-databases/statlog/german/)".

Split data set into input (X) input variables and binary (Y) output variable:

Transform input variables with a logit transformation per quantiles:

```
In [6]: def transform(df, j):
        df.columns = ['var', 'binary']
          df['decile'] = pd.qcut(df['var'], q=np.arange(1, 51)/50, labels=False)
                      = pd.merge(df.astype(float), df.groupby('decile', as index=Fals
        e)['binary'].mean(), \
                                 on='decile', how='inner')
         except:
                      = pd.merge(df.astype(float), df.groupby('var', as index=Fals
          df
        e)['binary'].mean(), \
                                 on='var' , how='inner')
                      = df.iloc[:, -1].fillna(0.5).replace(0, 0.00001)
        return pd.DataFrame(('X'+str(j): np.log(pi/(1-pi)).replace(np.inf, 15)))
        X scaled = transform(dataframe.iloc[:, [0,24]], 1)
        for i in range(1, dataframe.shape[1]-1):
        X_scaled['X'+str(i+1)] = transform(dataframe.iloc[:, [i,24]], i+1)
                      = X scaled.fillna(0)
        X train, X test, Y train, Y test = train test split(X scaled, Y, test size=0.2
        , random state=42)
        X_tr , X_tes , Y_tr , Y_tes = train_test_split(X , Y, test_size=0.2
        , random_state=42)
```

Output variance of each transformed input variable as an indication of which variables contain a lot of information:

```
In [7]: print(X_train.var())
                0.762004
        X 1
        X2
                3.421997
        Х3
                0.295841
        X4
               14.081804
        X5
              0.226596
        X6
               0.085566
        X7
               0.043210
        X8
                0.003601
        Х9
               0.110774
        X10
               1.683886
              0.053565
        X11
              0.013477
        X12
        X13
              0.000043
        X14
               0.006430
              0.056956
        X15
              0.041302
        X16
        X17
              0.065301
              0.000003
0.014833
        X18
        X19
        X20
              0.036471
        X21
              0.079953
        X22
              0.000149
        X23
              0.002296
               0.000869
        X24
        dtype: float64
```

Verify whether they the transformation of variable was successful by comparing the rank correlation:

```
In [8]: print("Raw input variable X2: ", st.kendalltau(Y, X[:, 1]))
    print('Transformed input variable X2:', st.kendalltau(Y, X_scaled.iloc[:, 1]))
    Raw input variable X2: KendalltauResult(correlation=0.17609245525504136, pva
    lue=7.975280722434196e-11)
```

Transformed input variable X2: KendalltauResult(correlation=0.013532833811191

Create a Master Scale of 22 ratings mapped to equidistant PDs in logit space:

117, pvalue=0.6174826267368242)

IRLS logistic regression with a classic transformation of variable

Calibrate model:

```
In [10]: betas start
                                   = np.append(np.log(Y train.mean()/(1-Y train.mean
         ())), np.zeros(8))
         betas_IRLS, y_train_IRLS = logistic_regression().IRLS(betas_start, np.append(n
         p.ones([len(X_train.index), 2]), \
                                     X train.iloc[:, np.r [0:4, 7:9, 16, 20]], axis=1)
         [:, 1:], Y train)
         X IRLS
                                  = np.append(np.ones([len(X_scaled.index), 2]), \
                                     X scaled.iloc[:, np.r [0:4, 7:9, 16, 20]], axis=1)
         [:, 1:]
         IRLS all
                                  = pd.DataFrame({'PD': 1/(1+np.exp(X IRLS.dot(-betas I
         RLS))))))
         IRLS all['Y']
         IRLS all['rating PD']
                                  = MS[idx.get indexer(IRLS all.PD)].values
```

IRLS converged after 4iterations.

Output results for a regression with the 8 highest correlated variables:

```
In [11]: print("Performance metrics: [AUC all %.2f%%]" % (PD tests().AUC(IRLS all.Y, IR
         LS all.rating PD,0)[0]*100))
         print("Coefficients regression:", betas IRLS)
                             = PD tests().Jeffrey(IRLS all, 'rating PD', 'PD', 'Y')
         dummy
         print('Jeffrey test')
         print(dummy.iloc[:, np.r_[0, 3, 6, 10:12]])
         Performance metrics: [AUC all 53.59%]
         Coefficients regression: [ 0.63104141 -0.00249486 -0.02409827 0.21552432 -0.
         00565019 0.70273931
           0.23757129 0.47568141 0.12372487]
         Jeffrey test
                                             PD
                                                                 Н0
                            rating_PD
                                                        Y
                                                                        p_val
                                count
                                           mean
                                                     mean
         rating PD
         0.21890161217657667
                                  2.0 0.198208 0.500000 0.198208 0.140557
         0.31496223179993454
                                529.0 0.273935 0.270321 0.273935 0.571111
         0.4426995169025332
                                469.0 0.333599 0.332623 0.333599 0.515712
         Portfolio
                               1000.0 0.805742 1.102944 0.301766 0.546622
```

Given the poor performance of the scaled input variables, the raw input variables are used instead:

```
In [20]: betas start
                                   = np.append(np.log(Y tr.mean()/(1-Y tr.mean())), np.z
         eros(8))
         betas IRLS, y train IRLS = logistic regression().IRLS(betas start, np.append(n
         p.ones([len(X tr), 2]), \
                                     X tr[:, np.r [0:4, 7:9, 16, 20]], axis=1)[:, 1:], Y
          tr)
         X IRLS
                                   = np.append(np.ones([len(X), 2]), \
                                     X[:, np.r[0:4, 7:9, 16, 20]], axis=1)[:, 1:]
         IRLS all
                                   = pd.DataFrame({'PD': 1/(1+np.exp(X IRLS.dot(-betas I
         RLS))))))
         IRLS all['Y']
                                  = MS[idx.get indexer(IRLS all.PD)].values
         IRLS all['rating PD']
         print("Performance metrics: [AUC all %.2f%%]" % (PD_tests().AUC(IRLS_all.Y, IR
         LS all.rating PD,0)[0]*100))
         print("Coefficients regression:", betas_IRLS)
                              = PD tests().Jeffrey(IRLS all, 'rating PD', 'PD', 'Y')
         dummy
         print('Jeffrey test')
         print(dummy.iloc[:, np.r [0, 3, 6, 10:12]])
```

2						
	rating_PD	PD	Y	H0	p_val	
	count	mean	mean			
rating_PD						
0.029760560578478736	4.0	0.027608	0.000000	0.027608	0.374643	
0.04491339548733918	26.0	0.039108	0.00000	0.039108	0.852174	
0.06751703372078455	63.0	0.056960	0.031746	0.056960	0.800781	
0.10090929621852611	96.0	0.083958	0.083333	0.083958	0.488103	
0.14953859341903025	115.0	0.125885	0.130435	0.125885	0.428017	
0.21890161217657667	135.0	0.184365	0.177778	0.184365	0.569288	
0.31496223179993454	162.0	0.263878	0.253086	0.263878	0.617424	
0.4426995169025332	163.0	0.377768	0.404908	0.377768	0.236454	
0.6036825140620321	128.0	0.526771	0.531250	0.526771	0.460227	
0.7933816324488658	90.0	0.688752	0.677778	0.688752	0.594176	
1.0	18.0	0.850305	0.833333	0.850305	0.609116	
Portfolio	1000.0	3.225356	3.123647	0.301186	0.530763	

Elastic net logistic regression with raw numeric input variables

Output results for a regression with the 8 highest correlated variables:

```
In [57]: print("Results logistic regression ML: Elastic net (Lambda=0.3, L1 ratio=0.5)"
         )
         print("Performance metrics: [AUC all %.2f%%]" % (PD tests().AUC(LL all.Y, LL a
         ll.rating PD,0)[0]*100))
         print("Coefficients regression:", solution.x)
                            = PD_tests().Jeffrey(LL_all, 'rating_PD', 'PD', 'Y')
         print('Jeffrey test')
         print(dummy.iloc[:, np.r_[0, 3, 6, 10:12]])
         Results logistic regression ML: Elastic net (Lambda=0.3, L1 ratio=0.5)
         Performance metrics: [AUC all 72.35%]
         Coefficients regression: [ 1.34782851e+00 -3.40238037e-01 5.28438161e-02 -4.
         65549331e-01
         -1.58887734e-02 -1.50196892e-01 -1.39382220e-04 9.86211126e-10
          -1.51418317e-01]
         Jeffrey test
                            rating PD
                                            PD
                                                                Н0
                                                                       p val
                                count
                                          mean
                                                    mean
         rating PD
         0.04491339548733918
                                  3.0 0.036647 0.333333 0.036647 0.023039
         0.06751703372078455
                                 5.0 0.063221 0.400000 0.063221 0.009732
                                 28.0 0.091162 0.035714 0.091162 0.849184
         0.10090929621852611
                                87.0 0.123939 0.080460 0.123939 0.895987
         0.14953859341903025
         0.21890161217657667
                                162.0 0.185623 0.154321 0.185623 0.847680
         0.31496223179993454
                               184.0 0.263588 0.228261 0.263588 0.862264
                                204.0 0.376796 0.225490 0.376796 0.999998
         0.4426995169025332
         0.6036825140620321
                                195.0 0.514633 0.482051 0.514633 0.818697
         0.7933816324488658
                               119.0 0.685217 0.605042 0.685217 0.968352
                                13.0 0.841622 0.769231 0.841622 0.776087
         1.0
                               1000.0 3.182449 3.313904 0.362034 0.999982
         Portfolio
```

Keras DNN with raw numeric input variables transformed with a standard score

```
In [58]: def create binary():
                 # create model
                 model = Sequential()
                 model.add(Dense(24, input dim=24, activation='relu'))
                 model.add(Dropout(0.2))
                 model.add(Dense(24, activation='relu'))
                 model.add(Dropout(0.2))
                 model.add(Dense(1, activation='sigmoid'))
                 # Compile model
                 model.compile(loss='binary crossentropy', optimizer='adam', metrics=[
         'accuracy'])
                 return model
         estimators
                             = []
         estimators.append(('standardize', StandardScaler()))
         estimators.append(('mlp', KerasClassifier(build_fn=create_binary, epochs=80, b
         atch_size=16, verbose=0)))
                             = Pipeline(estimators)
         pipeline
         pipeline.fit(X_tr, Y_tr)
                             = StratifiedKFold(n splits=10, shuffle=True)
         kfold
         results
                             = cross_val_score(pipeline, X, Y, cv=kfold)
                             = pd.DataFrame(pipeline.predict proba(X tes))
         b pred
                          = ['ID', 'PD']
= Y_tes
         b_pred.columns
         b pred['Y']
         b pred['rating PD'] = MS[idx.get indexer(b pred.PD)].values
                            = pd.DataFrame(pipeline.predict proba(X))
         b all
                            = ['ID', 'PD']
         b all.columns
         b_all['Y']
                             = Y
         b_all['rating_PD'] = MS[idx.get_indexer(b_all.PD)].values
```

Output results with all input variables being used:

```
In [59]: print("Performance metrics: Mean Accuracy CV %.2f%% (STD %.2f%%) [AUC test %.2
        f%%| [AUC all %.2f%%]" \
         % (results.mean()*100, results.std()*100, PD tests().AUC(b pred.Y, b pred.rati
        ng PD,0)[0]*100, \setminus
           PD_tests().AUC(b_all.Y, b_all.rating_PD,0)[0]*100))
                            = PD_tests().Jeffrey(b_all, 'rating_PD', 'PD', 'Y')
        print('Jeffrey test')
        print(dummy.iloc[:, np.r_[0, 3, 6, 10:12]])
        Performance metrics: Mean Accuracy CV 74.90% (STD 2.88%) [AUC test 78.31%] [A
        UC all 92.09%]
        Jeffrey test
                              rating PD
                                              PD
                                                         Y
                                                                  Н0
                                                                        p_val
                                  count
                                             mean
        rating PD
        0.0002999999999999976
                                   27.0 0.000120 0.000000 0.000120 0.064528
                                   5.0 0.000394 0.000000 0.000394 0.051319
        0.0004562208354469178
        0.0006937632793232519
                                   14.0 0.000580 0.000000 0.000580 0.102292
        0.0010549227058496641
                                  15.0 0.000922 0.000000 0.000922 0.133248
        0.0016039437457623122
                                  14.0 0.001259 0.000000 0.001259 0.150303
                                   24.0 0.001964 0.041667 0.001964 0.007378
        0.002438347229665251
        0.0037060190379897217
                                   20.0 0.003030 0.000000 0.003030 0.274099
        0.005630882685189334
                                   19.0 0.004764 0.052632 0.004764 0.019101
                                   28.0 0.007344 0.035714 0.007344 0.061640
        0.00855121588022675
                                   23.0 0.010860 0.043478 0.010860 0.080403
        0.012976261070719905
        0.01966854325104041
                                   30.0 0.016925 0.033333 0.016925 0.202517
                                  37.0 0.025303 0.000000 0.025303 0.832971
        0.029760560578478736
                                   44.0 0.036913 0.045455 0.036913 0.338925
        0.04491339548733918
        0.06751703372078455
                                   67.0 0.055981 0.059701 0.055981 0.416403
        0.10090929621852611
                                   63.0 0.084232 0.031746 0.084232 0.947688
                                   60.0 0.124943 0.133333 0.124943 0.403778
        0.14953859341903025
                                   79.0 0.180652 0.088608 0.180652 0.988616
        0.21890161217657667
        0.31496223179993454
                                   79.0 0.266653 0.215190 0.266653 0.850006
        0.4426995169025332
                                   84.0 0.373171 0.416667 0.373171 0.204085
```

Keras elastic net with raw numeric input variables transformed with a standard score

82.0 0.516930 0.609756 0.516930 0.045744

87.0 0.695557 0.885057 0.695557 0.000015

99.0 0.885664 0.939394 0.885664 0.037534

1000.0 3.294161 3.631731 0.277586 0.057593

```
= LogisticRegression(C=0.3, penalty='elasticnet', solver=
In [63]: LR
         'saga', 11 ratio=0.5, \
                                                 max iter=1000, tol=0.001)
                            = StandardScaler()
         scaler
         scaler.fit(X tr)
         LR.fit(scaler.transform(X_tr), Y_tr)
                    = pd.DataFrame(LR.predict proba(scaler.transform(X tes)))
                            = ['ID', 'PD']
         LR pred.columns
         LR_pred['Y']
                            = Y tes
         LR_pred['rating_PD'] = MS[idx.get_indexer(LR_pred.PD)].values
         LR all
                            = pd.DataFrame(LR.predict proba(scaler.transform(X)))
         LR all.columns
                            = ['ID', 'PD']
         LR all['Y']
         LR all['rating PD'] = MS[idx.get indexer(LR all.PD)].values
         results
                            = cross val score(LR, X, Y, cv=kfold)
```

0.6036825140620321

0.7933816324488658

1.0

Portfolio

```
print("Results logistic regression: Elastic net (Lambda=0.3, L1 ratio=0.5)")
print("Performance metrics: Mean Accuracy CV %.2f%% (STD %.2f%%) [AUC test %.2
f%%] [AUC all %.2f%%]" \
% (results.mean()*100, results.std()*100, PD tests().AUC(LR pred.Y, LR pred.ra
ting_PD,0)[0]*100, \
   PD_tests().AUC(LR_all.Y, LR_all.rating_PD,0)[0]*100))
print("Coefficients regression:", LR.coef )
                    = PD_tests().Jeffrey(LR all, 'rating PD', 'PD', 'Y')
print('Jeffrey test')
print(dummy.iloc[:, np.r [0, 3, 6, 10:12]])
Results logistic regression: Elastic net (Lambda=0.3, L1 ratio=0.5)
Performance metrics: Mean Accuracy CV 75.10% (STD 2.84%) [AUC test 80.51%] [A
UC all 81.15%]
Coefficients regression: [[-0.65714083 0.30592054 -0.39515111 0.15154385 -
0.23556821 - 0.15974222
  -0.11155633 0.01905668 0.16390315 -0.25583818 -0.22045609
                                                               0.14207899
              -0.07077531 -0.21050127 0.28600726 -0.2452701
                                                               0.20259282
   0.10702668 0.01964218 -0.19007338 -0.10013797 -0.04893568
                                                                         ]]
Jeffrey test
                                                          H0
                     rating PD
                                      PD
                                                 Y
                                                                 p_val
                         count
                                    mean
                                              mean
rating PD
0.00855121588022675
                                0.006156
                                          0.000000
                                                    0.006156
                                                              0.099792
                           1.0
0.012976261070719905
                           2.0
                                0.012371
                                          0.000000
                                                    0.012371
                                                              0.187656
0.01966854325104041
                           6.0
                                0.016613
                                          0.000000
                                                    0.016613
                                                              0.352909
                                0.024908
                                          0.000000
                                                    0.024908
0.029760560578478736
                          21.0
                                                              0.699527
0.04491339548733918
                          40.0
                                0.036768
                                          0.000000
                                                    0.036768
                                                              0.917541
0.06751703372078455
                          61.0
                                0.056392
                                          0.049180
                                                    0.056392
                                                              0.564286
0.10090929621852611
                          91.0
                                0.084324
                                          0.065934
                                                    0.084324
                                                              0.725217
0.14953859341903025
                         105.0
                                0.122635
                                          0.142857
                                                    0.122635
                                                              0.256485
0.21890161217657667
                         145.0
                                0.182612
                                          0.151724
                                                    0.182612
                                                              0.831874
0.31496223179993454
                         130.0
                                0.261591
                                          0.253846
                                                    0.261591
                                                              0.573564
0.4426995169025332
                         128.0
                                0.373937
                                          0.359375
                                                    0.373937
                                                              0.630607
0.6036825140620321
                         134.0
                                0.518178
                                          0.567164
                                                    0.518178
                                                              0.128114
0.7933816324488658
                         106.0
                                0.687456
                                          0.735849
                                                    0.687456
                                                              0.140659
                                                    0.843433
                                          0.700000
1.0
                          30.0
                                0.843433
                                                              0.978151
Portfolio
                        1000.0
                                3.227374
                                          3.025930
                                                    0.302073
                                                              0.555003
```

Conclusion

Based on the results of the credit data set, transforming the input data wasn't successful on a small sample population (1K). The imbalance of the observed defaults and performing credit did not create calibration issues.

The Keras library is straight forward to use and requires little development from the user. Albeit fine tuning the configuration parameters to run the models will require some time and experience with both the tool and modelling a given dependent variable. In addition, the Keras library outperforms a cinch implementation of the logistic regression's IRLS and ML elastic net method. The DNN model outperforms the logistic regression based on the AUC of the total population (training and test data) but doesn't on the training set. In addition, the Cross Validation (CV) score of the training set is comparable while the logistic regression produces more stable results.

Considering the comparable performance between DNN and elastic net and the apprehensible results of the elastic net in contrast of the complexity of understanding the probability calculation of the DNN algorithm (not covered in this example), logistic regression with an elastic net is a preferred option for PD models.