

Sum of Squares in Lean

Using Lean 3.0

Made by Gal Lebel and Alex Baucke (and Flo, TBH) on July 25th, 2023

> Contents

Theorem Definition

- Introducing the statement to be proved.

Proof

- Proving the equivalence
 - \rightarrow
 - \leftarrow

Propositional Logic

- A simple example
- Contraposition
- Logical fallacies

Example in ©

- Contraposing the statement in ©

Theorem Definition

[1/2] Statement

The two statements are equivalent:

a) If a sum of squares is 0 , then all the elements of that sum are 0 .

b) -1 is not a sum of squares in \mathbb{R}

Notation: List = Set, but allows multiplicity

Note: We do not want to show that **a)** or **b)** is actually true!

[2/2] Statement

So now we would like to formalize the statements using mathematical notations

a) If a sum of squares is 0, then all the elements of that sum are 0.

$$\forall \text{ List } L \in \mathbb{R}, x_i \in L: \sum_{i \dots n} x_i^2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_i = 0 \quad \forall x_i \in L$$

b) -1 is not a sum of squares in \mathbb{R}

$$\forall \text{ lists } L \in \mathbb{R}, x_i \in L: \sum_{i \dots n} x_i^2 \neq -1$$

And we can write those together in an equivalence relation as follows : a) \leftrightarrow b)

$$\forall \text{ List } L \in \mathbb{R}, x_i \in L: \sum_{i \dots n} x_i^2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_i = 0 \quad \forall x_i \in L \leftrightarrow \sum_{i \dots n} x_i^2 \neq -1$$

Propositional Logic - Example

[1/1] Propositional Logic – Example

Given the following statements

Q : The weather is nice

P : I'm at the zoo

We can express the relation between **Q** and **P** like this:

Q \rightarrow **P** (If the weather is nice, I'm at the zoo)

The contraposition of this would be:

Q \rightarrow **P** = \neg **P** \rightarrow \neg **Q** (If I'm not at the zoo, the weather is bad)

(And *not* **Q** \rightarrow **P** = \neg **Q** \rightarrow \neg **P** (If the weather is bad, I'm not at the zoo))

[1/4] Proof

We will start the proof of $a) \leftrightarrow b)$ by proving the ' \rightarrow ' - direction: $b) \rightarrow a)$.

We do a proof by contraposition, meaning we show that $\neg a) \rightarrow \neg b)$ is true.

First, let's negate the two statements:

$$\begin{aligned} a) \quad & \neg(\forall \text{ List } L \in \mathbb{R} : x_i \in L : \sum_{i=1..n} x_i^2 = 0 \rightarrow \forall x_i \in L : x_i = 0) \\ & \exists \text{ List } L \in \mathbb{R} : x_i \in L : \sum_{i=1..n} x_i^2 = 0 \rightarrow \exists x_i \in L : x_i \neq 0 \quad = \neg a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} b) \quad & \neg(\forall \text{ List } L \in \mathbb{R} : x_i \in L : \sum_{i=1..n} x_i^2 \neq -1) \\ & \exists \text{ List } L \in \mathbb{R} : x_i \in L : \sum_{i=1..n} x_i^2 = -1 \quad = \neg b) \end{aligned}$$

[2/4] Proof

We show $\neg a \rightarrow \neg b$:

Assume $\neg a$.

Let L be a list of \mathbb{R} whose sum of squares is equal to 0 and without loss of generality, let $x_1 \neq 0$.

Then :

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = 0$$

We can now divide all the terms by x_1^2 and continue with the equality, because division of 0 by any number is still equal to zero.

[3/4] Proof

So now we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{x_1^2} &= \frac{x_1^2}{x_1^2} + \frac{x_2^2}{x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{x_n^2}{x_1^2} \\ &= 1 + \frac{x_2^2}{x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{x_n^2}{x_1^2} = 0\end{aligned}$$

By adding (-1) to both sides, we get :

$$\frac{x_2^2}{x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{x_n^2}{x_1^2} = -1$$

Which confirms our initial assumption $\neg a)$

Thus we have proven $b) \rightarrow a)$

[4/4] Proof

We will now proceed to show the ' \leftarrow ' - direction : **a** \rightarrow **b** of **a** \leftrightarrow **b**.

$$\exists \text{ List } L \in \mathbb{R} : x_i \in L : \sum_{i \dots n} x_i^2 = 0 \rightarrow \exists x_i \in L : x_i \neq 0 \quad = \neg \mathbf{a)}$$

$$\exists \text{ List } L \in \mathbb{R} : x_i \in L : \sum_{i \dots n} x_i^2 = -1 \quad = \neg \mathbf{b)}$$

We will prove the contraposition $\neg \mathbf{b}) \rightarrow \neg \mathbf{a})$.

Assuming $\neg \mathbf{b})$, we want to show $\neg \mathbf{a})$.

From our assumption of $\neg \mathbf{b})$, let L be that list.

By appending 1 to L , the sum of squares of L must be now 0.

Contraposition in ©

[1/1] Contraposition in \mathbb{C}

The statement we've just proven talks specifically about field.

We would like to show that the contraposition of the statement HOLDS in \mathbb{C} .

Choose a list $L1 := \{i\}$ (or $-i, 1/i, 1/-i$).

This obviously has a sum of squares of -1 , satisfying $\neg b$.

We can then show $\neg a$ by constructing

$L2 := L1$ appended with 1 .

$L2$ is a list with **some** non-zero elements but the sum of squares is 0 , satisfying $\neg a$.

Therefore $\neg b$ implies $\neg a$.

The same is also true for the other direction.

Ty for your time 🐶

Any Questions?

Resources for further reading:

Just look at the mathlib sources lol no need for actual documentation in Lean

https://leanprover-community.github.io/mathlib_docs/

Logical Proposition

it is sometimes more feasible to prove the opposite of what a statement says in order to prove the original implication.

If we negate the original statement, we get:

$\neg(P \text{ (It's a nice weather)} \rightarrow Q \text{ (I go to the zoo)})$

$\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$

$(\text{I don't go to the zoo}) \rightarrow (\text{It's not a nice weather})$

And this implication now says :

If I don't go to the zoo (it must mean \ imply that) it's not a nice weather.

This also makes sense, because it's the exact opposite scenario of what the original statement said! So by proving that $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$, we can safely say that $P \rightarrow Q$.

Proof

Assuming not b), we proceed :

Let L be a subset of R whose sum of squares is equal to 0 and without loss of generality, let $x_1 \neq 0$. Then :

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{x_1^2} &= \frac{x_1^2}{x_1^2} + \frac{x_2^2}{x_1^2} + \cdots + \frac{x_n^2}{x_1^2} \\ &= 1 + \frac{x_2^2}{x_1^2} + \cdots + \frac{x_n^2}{x_1^2} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{x_2^2}{x_1^2} + \cdots + \frac{x_n^2}{x_1^2} = -1$$

Proof

We will start the proof by proving the 'right' direction of the equivalence, $a) \rightarrow b)$.

First let's negate the entire statement :

$$\neg a) \quad \exists L \in \mathbb{R} : \forall x_i \in L : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = -1$$

$$\neg a) \quad \forall \text{ subsets } L \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and elements } x_i \in L : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_i = 0 \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\exists L \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } x \in L : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = -1 \rightarrow \exists L \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and elements } x_i \in L : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists x_i \neq 0 \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$$

(There's a list L of x's in R whose sum of square = -1 means that there's a list L in R such that the sum of squares is equal to 0 and there's an element not equal to 0 in it)

So assuming that the sum of squares in R is equal to 1 we proceed :

Let L be a list in R with $x_1 \neq 0$.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = 1/x_1^2 * (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2) = 1 + 1/x_1^2 * (x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2)$$