

CSE 101 Homework 3

Kreshiv Chawla, Brian Masse, Taira Sakamoto, Emily Xie, Annabelle Coles

October 21, 2025

1. Degrees of separation

In the seven degrees of Kevin Bacon party game, you try to connect the actor Kevin Bacon to a challenge actor by giving a sequence of movies and actors, so that Kevin Bacon and the first actor in the list were in the first movie on the list, the first actor and second actor on the list were in the second movie, and so on, and the last actor on the list was the one you were challenged.

We can make this an algorithmic problem as follows. We are given a list of movies, and for each movie, a list of actors that appeared in the movie. There are M movies total, and the total length of all the lists for all movies is L . We are given actors A and B and want to find a list of pairs $(movie_1, actor_1), \dots, (movie_k, actor_k = B)$ so that both A and $actor_1$ are in $movie_1$, and for $i = 2 \dots k$, $actor_{i-1}$ and $actor_i$ are both in $movie_i$. We want to make the number of movies k as small as possible.

Below, you will describe how to use a graph algorithm from class to solve the problem.

- (a) What graph will you use to solve the problem? Be sure to specify the set of vertices in your graph, the set of edges, whether the edges are directed or undirected, and what weights edges have, if any. (4 points)
- (b) How will you create the graph from the information given? What format will you use for the graph? How long does it take to create the graph? (2 points)
- (c) How many vertices does your graph have, at most? Give this in terms of M and L (2 points)
- (d) How many edges does your graph have, at most? Give this in terms of M and L (2 points)
- (e) How do paths in your graph relate to solutions for the degrees of separation problem? What is the relationship between the length of paths and the number of movies k in a corresponding solution? (4 points)
- (f) What algorithm from class will you run on the graph? Be sure to specify all inputs to this algorithm, and say how you use the results. (3 points)
- (g) What is the total time complexity of using this algorithm from class to solve the degrees of separation problem? This should be given in terms of M and L . (3 points)

2. Smallest elements in a heap

Say you are given a binary min-heap of distinct integers, given as an array $A[1..n]$ and an integer $1 \leq k \leq n$. Your goal is to output the k smallest elements stored in the heap. Give the most efficient algorithm you can for this problem. (7 points, clear description of algorithm. 7 points, correctness proof (hint: use a loop invariant.) 6 points, time analysis and efficiency . (Hint: use a second heap in your algorithm. My best time is $O(k \log k)$.)

3. Choosing which version of Dijkstra's algorithm to use

We saw that we could use either an array or a heap to implement the priority queue for Dijkstra's algorithm. For each of the types of graphs below, give the time complexity of Dijkstra's algorithm for both versions in terms of the number of vertices. Then say which one you would use for that type of graph. (5 points each, 2 for each version, and one for conclusion.)

- (a) A wheel graph, with a directed cycle of length $n - 1$ and a hub with edges to and from each of the vertices in the cycle.

- $|V| = (n - 1) + 1 = n$
- $|E| = (n - 1) + 2(n - 1) = 3(n - 1)$

Thus,

- Array $\in O(n^2 + 3(n - 1)) \in O(n^2)$
- Min-Heap $\in O(\log n \cdot (4n - 3)) \in O(n \cdot \log n)$

Thus, a min-heap is preferable.

- (b) A barbell graph, an undirected graph with two complete graphs on $n/2$ vertices each joined by a single edge.

- $|V| = n$
- $|E| = 2 \cdot (\text{Number of edges in connected graph}) + 1 = 2 \cdot \frac{\frac{n}{2}!}{2! \cdot (\frac{n}{2} - 2)!}$

Array-implementation time complexity:

$$\begin{aligned} &\in O(n^2 + |E|) \\ &\in O(n^2 + \frac{\frac{n}{2}!}{(\frac{n}{2} - 2)!}) \in O(n^2 + (\frac{n}{2})(\frac{n}{2} - 1)) \\ &\in O(n^2) \end{aligned}$$

Min-Heap-implementation time complexity:

$$O(\log(n)(n + n^2)) \in O(n^2 \cdot \log n)$$

Thus, the array implementation is preferable

- (c) A grid graph, where each vertex (i, j) with $1 \leq i, j \leq \sqrt{n}$ is adjacent to $(i - 1, j)$, $(i + 1, j)$, $(i, j - 1)$ and $(i, j + 1)$, unless adding or subtracting 1 takes us out of the range.

- $|V| = n \implies \text{width \& height of grid} = \sqrt{n}$
- $|E| \leq 4n$

Array-implementation time complexity:

$$\begin{aligned} &\in O(n^2 + 4n) \\ &\in O(n^2) \end{aligned}$$

Min-Heap-implementation time complexity:

$$\begin{aligned} &\in O(\log(n) \cdot (n + 4n)) \\ &\in O(n \cdot \log(n)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the min-heap implementation is preferable

(d) A rook move graph, with vertices as above, but edges between any two vertices with either the same first coordinate or second coordinate.

- $|V| = n \implies \text{width \& height } (k) = \sqrt{n}$
- $|E| :$

Edges in column a given column j:

$$\begin{aligned} &= (k-1) + (k-2) + \dots + 1 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k (k-i) = k^2 - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \\ &= \frac{n - \sqrt{n}}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Edges in columns $j = 1 \dots \sqrt{n}$ (Edges in rows $i = 1 \dots \sqrt{n}$):

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{n^{\frac{3}{2}} - n}{2} \\ \implies &|E| = n^{\frac{3}{2}} - n \end{aligned}$$

Array-Implementation time complexity:

$$\begin{aligned} &\in O(n^2 + n^{\frac{3}{2}} - n) \\ &\in O(n^2) \end{aligned}$$

Min-Heap-Implementation time complexity:

$$\begin{aligned} &\in O(\log n \cdot (n + n^{\frac{3}{2}})) \\ &\in O(n^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot \log n) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the min-heap implementation is preferable

4. Paths from a set of vertices

Say you are given a set S of vertices in a directed graph with non-negative edge weights, and a single target vertex t . You want to find the shortest path from some $s \in S$ to t . Describe how to use or modify an algorithm from class to solve this problem efficiently. Be sure to describe exactly how you use or modify that algorithm, relate the correctness of that algorithm to the problem above, and give a time analysis of your entire algorithm in terms of the number of vertices and edges of the input graph.

5. Dijkstra experiment

Implement Dijkstra's algorithm in any programming language (using data structures from libraries is OK), and modify it to count the number of times the decrease-key operation is used (just the number of times, not the total time spent on it.)

Test it by running it on complete simple directed graphs where each edge has a randomly chosen real weight in $[0, 1]$. Test it for many sizes of input, preferably say $|V| = 128, 256, 512, 1024, \dots$ and plot the number of decrease-key operations as a function of $|V|$. (Using a log-log scale is a good idea). Does this seem to match the theoretical worst-case bound? Can you think of a reason why it might not?