CSE 101 Homework 1

Kreshiv Chawla, Brian Masse, Taira Sakamoto, Emily Xie October 7, 2025

1. (a)

$$\frac{2^{n/2}}{2^n} = 2^{-n/2} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0.$$

Hence, for any constant c>0 and all sufficiently large $n,\ 2^{n/2}< c\,2^n.$ False.

(b) $(n^3 + 2n + 1)^4 = n^{12} (1 + 2n^{-2} + n^{-3})^4 = n^{12} (1 + O(n^{-2})),$ $(n^4 + 4n^2)^3 = n^{12} (1 + 4n^{-2})^3 = n^{12} (1 + O(n^{-2})).$

Therefore,

$$\frac{(n^3 + 2n + 1)^4}{(n^4 + 4n^2)^3} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 1 \neq 0.$$

Not little-o. False.

(c) $\log(n^{10}) - \log(\log n) = 10\log n - \log\log n.$

Hence $\Theta(\log n)$. True.

(d) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i^3 = \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4} = \frac{n^4 + 2n^3 + n^2}{4}.$

True.

(e) $n! = (n)(n-1)(n-2)\cdots 2 \cdot 1 \le n \cdot n \cdot n \cdots n = n^n.$

True.

2. Base case. For n=2,

$$F_2 = F_{2-1} + F_{2-2} = F_1 + F_0 = 1 + 0 = 1.$$

Inductive hypothesis. Assume that the statement about F_n holds for all integers up to k.

Inductive step.

$$F_{k+1} = F_k + F_{k-1} = (F_{k-1} + F_{k-2}) + F_{k-1} = F_k + F_{k-1},$$

establishing the step.

3. Base case. Initially, there are 101 black marbles (odd).

Inductive hypothesis. Assume that after k moves, the number of black marbles has the same parity as it had initially (odd).

Inductive step. On move k+1, one of three things happens:

• BB: black count changes by -2 (parity unchanged).

- RR: black count changes by 0 (parity unchanged).
- BR: black count changes by 0 (parity unchanged).

In every case, the parity of the black count after move k + 1 equals the parity after move k. By the inductive hypothesis, this equals the initial parity. Hence, the claim holds for k + 1.

- 4. Following the Algorithm Defined in HW1. Consider the case when A[i] + A[j] = V for some $1 \le i < j \le n$. Prove the invariant: If the while loop has not terminated, then $I \le i < j \le J$.
 - (a) Base case: I = 1, J = n (assuming n > 1).

$$1 \le i < j \le n \implies I \le i < j \le J$$
.

(b) **General case:** Assume that after x > 1 iterations, $I \le i < j \le J$. Show the loop invariant after the x + 1-th iteration.

Case 1: i = I and j = J

$$A[i] + A[j] = V \implies \text{returns True.}$$

Case 2: j = J

$$I < i, \tag{1}$$

By sorted array:
$$A[I] + A[J] = A[I] + A[j] < V$$
, (2)

$$I++,$$
 (3)

$$I \le i < j \le J. \tag{4}$$

Case 3: i = I

$$J > j, \tag{5}$$

By sorted array:
$$A[I] + A[J] = A[i] + A[J] > V$$
, (6)

$$J-,$$
 (7)

$$I \le i < j \le J. \tag{8}$$

Case 4: Else

$$J > i \text{ and } i < I, \tag{9}$$

Either
$$I + +$$
 or $J - -$ will uphold the loop invariant. (10)

- 5. Algorithm:
 - (a) Initialize NextLarger[1..n] $\leftarrow n+1$ and an empty stack S.
 - (b) For k = 1 to n:

i. While S is not empty and A[S.top] < A[k]:

$$i \leftarrow S.pop(); NextLarger[i] \leftarrow k.$$

ii. Push k onto S.

Indices left in S have no larger element to their right, so their value remains n+1.

Proof: Define invariant I(k): after processing elements A[1..k],

- (a) The stack S contains indices of a strictly decreasing sequence of A-values.
- (b) For every $i \leq k$ not in S, NextLarger[i] is correctly set.
- (c) For every i in S, A[i] > A[t] for all $i < t \le k$.

Base case: k = 1 satisfies I(1).

Inductive hypothesis: Assume I(k-1) holds after processing the first k-1 elements.

Inductive step: When processing A[k], every i popped from S satisfies A[i] < A[k] and, by (c), there was no t with i < t < k and A[t] > A[i]. Thus k is the smallest index > i with A[k] > A[i], so NextLarger[i] = k is correct. After popping, S remains strictly decreasing, and pushing k preserves (a)–(c). Hence I(k) holds. By induction, I(n) holds, so all NextLarger[i] are correct.

Time complexity: Each index is pushed and popped at most once. All other operations are O(1). Hence, the total time is O(n) and the space is O(n).