

CSE 21 HW 5

Brian Masse

February 19, 2025

1. Consider the algorithm **IntersectCount** that takes two sorted lists of distinct integers $a[1], \dots, a[n]$ and $b[1], \dots, b[n]$ and returns the number of elements they have in common (the cardinality of their intersection:)

a) What is the expected number of entries equal to zero using this sampling method?

base case: $t = 1$

- *case 1: count = 1 if $a[1] \in (b[1] \dots b[n])$*
- *case 2: count = 0 if $a[1] \notin (b[1] \dots b[n])$*

induction step: for $t > 1$: assume the loop invariant holds. Show that it also holds for $t + 1$

- *case 1: $a[t + 1] \notin (b[1] \dots b[n])$
count (by induction) = number of intersections $(a[1] \dots a[t+1])$ and $(b[1] \dots b[n])$*
- *case 2: $a[t + 1] \in (b[1] \dots b[n])$
count = count + 1 = (by induction) number of intersections $(a[1] \dots a[t+1])$ and $(b[1] \dots b[n])$*

b) Do a runtime analysis and give a Big Theta bound for the runtime

- Outside Loop = $\theta(n)$
- Outside Loop = $\theta(\log_2(n))$
- Outside Loop = $\theta(n \cdot \log_2(n))$

2. For each situation below, first give the recurrence for the runtime of the algorithm. Then use the Master Theorem, if possible, and give the values for the parameters a , b and d , and the O bound.

a) Suppose an algorithm solves a problem of size n by recursively calling 3 sub-problems each of size $\frac{4n}{5}$. Then the non-recursive part of the algorithm takes $O(n)$ time.

$$T(n) = 3 \cdot T\left(\frac{4n}{5}\right) + O(n)$$

$$a = 3, b = \frac{4n}{5}, d = 3$$

$$n^{\log_{5/4}(3)} > n^4 \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{n^4} = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\implies f(n) \in O(n) \in O(n^4) = O(n^{\log_b(a)-\epsilon}) \quad (2)$$

$$\implies T(n) = \theta(n^{\log_{5/4}(3)}) \quad (3)$$

$$(4)$$

b) Suppose an algorithm solves a problem of size n by recursively calling 9 sub-problems each of size $\frac{n}{4}$. Then the non-recursive part of the algorithm takes $O(n^2)$ time.

$$T(n) = 9 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + O(n^2)$$

$$a = 9, b = 4, d = 2$$

$$n^{\log_4(9)} < n^2 \quad (5)$$

$$\implies f(n) \in \Omega(n^2) \in \Omega(n^{\log_4(9)+\epsilon}) \quad (6)$$

$$\implies T(n) = \theta(n^{\log_4(9)}) \quad (7)$$

$$(8)$$

c) Suppose an algorithm solves a problem of size n by recursively calling 8 sub-problems each of size $\frac{n}{4}$. Then the non-recursive part of the algorithm takes $O(n\sqrt{n})$ time.

$$T(n) = 8 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + O(n \cdot \sqrt{n})$$

$$a = 8, b = 4, d = 2$$

$$n^{\log_4(8)} < n^{1.5} \tag{9}$$

$$\implies f(n) = \Theta(n^{1.5}) = \Theta(n^{\log_4(8)}) \tag{10}$$

$$\implies T(n) = \Theta(n^{1.5} \cdot \log(n)) \tag{11}$$

$$\tag{12}$$

3. Consider the following sorting algorithm that takes a list of integers as an input and outputs a sorted list of those elements.

Consider the loop invariant: *After each iteration, every list in Q is sorted*

a) *Prove this loop invariant using induction.*

- *base case:* After 0 iterations, Q is a Queue of single-element lists, so each list is naturally sorted.

- *Induction Step:* Assume that the loop invariant is true after t iterations. Show that after the $t + 1$ iteration it is still true.

Take 2 lists from Q (sorted by induction), and merge + sort them in MergeSort, then requeue them. The new list in the queue is thus sorted. All other lists (sorted by induction) are untouched. Thus after $(t + 1)$ iterations, all lists are sorted.

b) *Use the loop invariant to show that the algorithm is correct.*

After each iteration, the Queue shrinks by one (2 lists are pulled out, and sorted and put back in as 1)

thus, after $n-1$ iterations, there is only 1 list left in the queue, and by the loop invariant, it must be sorted.

c) *Use the runtime method we learned in class to show that this algorithm runs in $O(n^2)$ time.*

Outer loop runs in $O(n)$, merge sort runs, at worst, in $O(n)$

so by the product rule, the algorithm is upper bounded by $O(n^2) = O(n * n)$

d) *Show that $O(n^2)$ is not a tight bound by doing a more careful analysis*

$$T(n) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil \log(n) \rceil} \frac{n}{2^k} \cdot 2^k \quad (13)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil \log(n) \rceil} n \quad (14)$$

$$= n \cdot \lceil \log(n) \rceil \quad (15)$$

$$\implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \cdot \lceil \log(n) \rceil}{n^2} = 0 \quad (16)$$

Thus $O(n^2)$ is not a tight bound ($T(n) \notin \Theta(n^2)$), since it can be shown $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(n)}{n^2} = 0$

Justification:

- $\frac{n}{2^k}$: Max number of occurrences of merges with 2^k elements during Queue Sort operations.

Consider the example with $n = 7$. There are $3 < 3.5 = 7/2^1$ merges with 2 elements. There are 2 merges with 3 or 4 elements. There is 1 merge with 7 elements.

Likewise, when $n = 8$, there are 4 merges with 2 elements, 2 merges with 4 elements, and 1 merge with 8 elements.

- 2^k : Run time for merge sort with $i + j = 2^k$ elements

4. Given an integer $x \geq 0$ this algorithm returns the value x^2

a) Prove *Squared* correctly returns x^2 for any input $x \geq 0$

Base Case: show that 0 is correctly squared

$$0^2 = 0$$

Induction Step: Assume *Squared*($t - 1$) is correct for some $t \geq 1$. Show that *Squared*(t) is also correct.

Case 1: t is odd:

$$(t)^2 = (t^2 - 2t + 1) + 2t - 1 \quad (17)$$

$$= (t - 1)^2 + 2t - 1 \quad (18)$$

$$= \text{Squared}(t - 1) + 2t - 1 \quad (19)$$

Thus when t is odd, the algorithm correctly returns t^2

Case 2: t is even:

note $t = 2k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$(t)^2 = (2k)^2 \quad (20)$$

$$= 4k^2 = 4 \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^2 \quad (21)$$

$$= 4 \cdot \text{Squared}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \quad (22)$$

Thus when t is even, the algorithm correctly returns t^2

Thus for all $t \geq 0$, the algorithm correctly returns t^2

b) Assuming that $x = 2^k$ for some integer $k \geq 0$. In terms of k , how many recursive calls are necessary to reduce x down to 0 (base case)

When the input is even, the next recursive value of x , $x_i = x_{i+1}$. Because the first input, 2^k is even, after each recursive call x_i will continue to be even:

2^k is even

2^{k-1} is even

\vdots

After $k + 1$ iterations, $x_k = 2^{k-k} = 2^0 = 1$. Once $x_i = 1$, which is odd, the algorithm computes $x_{i+1} = x_i - 1 = 0$

Thus the algorithm takes $k + 2$ executions to get to 0. ($k + 1$ recursive calls)

c) Assuming that $x = 2^k - 1$ for some integer $k \geq 0$. In terms of k , how many recursive calls are necessary to reduce x down to 0 (base case)

$x_0 = 2^k - 1$ is odd, thus the first recursive call gets value $x_1 = 2^k - 1 - 1$, which is even. Because x_1 is even, $x_2 = \frac{2^k - 2}{2} = 2^{k-1} - 1$. This restarts the problem with $x = 2^{k-1} - 1$

This process repeats k times until $x_{2k} = 2^{k-k} - 1 = 0$

Thus the algorithm takes $2k + 1$ executions to get to 0. ($2k$ recursive calls)