

Functional Programming Assignment 1

Theoretical Questions

Brian Mc George
MCGRBRI004

13-04-2015

1 How many non-distinct states can be generated in n moves (Question 2.2)?

The Rubik's cube can be twisted in six directions. For each state six new (non-distinct) states can be generated. n moves can be represented by the following mathematical function:

$$f(n) = 6^n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (1)$$

2 Investigate processing speed and memory usage for different values of n (Question 3.2)

2.1 Test System

The tests were run on an Intel Core i7 2600 (3.4Ghz) processor running Windows 8.1 x64 using the gambit interpreter

2.2 Effect of n On Completion Time

2.2.1 Testing Methodology

Tests were conducted for n up to size 8. The following function was used to test completion time and memory allocation

```
cubeSolve(rotationString) = (time (solveCube solvedStates (rotate rotationString  
'((1 1) (2 1) (3 1) (4 1) (5 3) (6 3) (7 3) (8 3))) 0))
```

The rotation string used for $n = 8$ was "xyzXYZxy", for $n = 7$ was "xyzXYZx" etc.

The memory allocated would appear to be the memory allocated during the runtime not the total memory used at a particular time. To get faster completion time a non-tail recursive `genStates` method was used. Two implementations of `genStates` were written, one was tail recursive (completed slower) and the other was not tail recursive (completed faster). For comparison at a size $n = 7$, `solveCube` with non-tail recursive `genStates` completed in 15 seconds with a peak memory usage of 740 MB. The `solveCube` with tail recursive `genStates` completed in 240 seconds with a peak memory usage of 273 MB. The non-tail recursive `genStates` was selected since the assignment brief outlined that it should be able to solve cubes of 7 moves or less. The memory usage only becomes a concern from at least 8 moves.

2.2.2 Results Obtained

Size of n	Memory Allocated (MB)	Completion Time (Seconds)
1	0.07728	< 0.001
2	0.556592	0.002
3	3.48304	0.012
4	21.130368	0.070
5	128.346848	0.448
6	786.701024	2.558
7	4824.05504	15.853
8	29659.645296	95.583

Table 1: Memory usage and completion time for different size of n

The results would indicate an exponential increase in running time and memory usage. The increase in running time could be as a result of the exponentially increasing search space to find the solution from. The increase in memory usage could be a result of it not being tail recursive as well as the search space, whose size is proportional to n , that needs to be stored.

2.3 Memory Usage During Runtime

2.3.1 Testing Methodology

The *cubeSolve* function defined above was used with the *rotationString* for $n = 7$. Process Explorer was used to track the memory usage during program execution.

2.3.2 Results Obtained




Figure 1: Memory Usage Over Time (Non-Tail Recursive genStates)




Figure 2: Memory Usage Over Time (Tail Recursive genStates)

Figure 1 shows a linear increase in memory usage as the program executes. Figure 2 shows a logarithmic increase in memory usage as the program executes. The tail recursive genStates is more memory efficient than the non-tail recursive genStates. The length of the graph indicates that the solveCube using tail recursive genStates is much slower than than using the non-tail recursive genState.

3 Optimise algorithm and calculate reduction in number of states (Question 4)

3.1 Optimised function

Function 1 can be optimised such that from a given state, the new states generated are only those that will not undo the last move.

$$g(n) = \begin{cases} 6^n & \text{if } n \in T = \{0, 1\} \\ 6 \times 5^{n-1} & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus T \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

3.2 Number of states for $n = 10$

Using function 1, the number of states that can be generated from 10 moves where 6 possible rotations can be made for each state is:

$$\begin{aligned} f(10) &= 6^{10} \\ &= 60466176 \text{ states} \end{aligned}$$

Using function 2 the number of states generated from 10 moves is:

$$\begin{aligned} g(10) &= 6 \times 5^{10-1} \\ &= 11718750 \text{ states} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that function 2 produces 48747426 (80.62%) fewer states than function 1

3.3 Results Of Optimisation

Size of n	Memory Allocated (MB)	Memory Reduction (%)	Completion Time (Seconds)	Completion Time Reduction (%)
1	0.077504	-0.29	< 0.001	0
2	0.530832	4.63	0.002	0
3	2.895664	16.86	0.09	25.00
4	14.866848	29.64	0.046	34.29
5	75.620656	41.08	0.251	43.97
6	382.531696	51.38	1.299	49.22
7	1945.344192	59.67	6.336	60.03
8	10000.118816	66.28	31.641	66.90

Table 2: Memory usage and completion time for different size of n

4 Conclusions

4.1 Exponential relation between n and completion time

As n increases so does the the completion time and memory allocation increase exponentially. The non-tail recursive `genStates` uses memory much more aggressively than the non-tail recursive `genStates` method.

4.2 Major improvement when ignoring undo moves

Ignoring rotations that will undo last move dramatically reduces the number of states that have to be generated at $n = 10$. For $n = 8$ a 66.9% reduction in completion time was obtained. It is a big performance improvement for relatively little work.