

Interventions vs Measurements

	Grades	Engagement	Preferences	Psychological Factors	Admin Workload	Student Workload
Binary Grading	[6] [8] [17] [26] [28] [30] [31] [33]	[6] [17] [28]	[5] [6] [17] [26] [28] [30] [31] [33]	[30] [31]	[5] [6] [26] [28] [31]	[5] [6] [28] [30] [31] [33]
Bucketed Grading	[3] [13] [14] [15] [23] [26] [30] [34] [35] [36]	[3] [13]	[3] [13] [14] [15] [21] [26] [30] [34] [35]	[13] [30] [35]	[9] [13] [15] [26] [34]	[3] [15] [23] [30] [34]
Mastery Learning	[1] [3] [6] [7] [8] [22] [10] [13] [15] [17] [18] [19] [24] [19] [23] [24] [25] [26] [27] [28] [30] [31] [32] [33]	[1] [3] [6] [7] [12] [13] [17] [18] [19] [24] [27] [28] [37]	[3] [4] [6] [22] [10] [11] [13] [15] [17] [19] [21] [24] [25] [26] [27] [28] [30] [31] [33] [37]	[22] [10] [13] [19] [30] [31]	[4] [6] [7] [12] [13] [15] [18] [19] [26] [28] [31]	[3] [6] [11] [12] [15] [18] [23] [25] [27] [28] [30] [31] [33]
Resubmissions	[2] [3] [6] [22] [15] [16] [19] [20] [23] [25] [27] [28] [29] [30] [34]	[2] [3] [6] [12] [16] [19] [20] [27] [28] [37]	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [22] [15] [16] [19] [20] [21] [25] [27] [28] [29] [30] [34] [37]	[22] [19] [30]	[4] [5] [6] [12] [15] [19] [28] [34]	[2] [3] [5] [6] [12] [15] [16] [20] [23] [25] [27] [28] [30] [34]
Minimum Grades	[2]	[2]	[2]			[2]
Student input on grade	[15] [30]		[15] [30]	[30]	[15]	[15] [30]

Populations vs Interventions

	Binary Grading	Bucketed Grading	Mastery Learning	Resubmissions	Minimum Grades	Student input on grade
K-12			[22]	[22]		
Undergraduate	[5] [6] [17] [26] [28] [30] [31] [33]	[3] [9] [13] [14] [15] [21] [23] [26] [30] [34] [35] [36]	[1] [3] [4] [6] [7] [10] [11] [12] [13] [15] [17] [18] [19] [21] [23] [24] [25] [26] [27] [28] [30] [31] [32] [33] [37]	[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [12] [15] [16] [19] [20] [21] [23] [25] [27] [28] [29] [30] [34] [37]	[2]	[15] [30]
Instructors	[28]	[9] [34]	[4] [28]	[4] [28] [34]		
Introductory Course	[8] [33]	[9] [21] [23]	[1] [4] [7] [8] [10] [18] [21] [23] [24] [27] [33]	[4] [21] [23] [27] [29]		
Gender	[6]	[23]	[6] [23]	[6] [23]		
Race	[6]	[23]	[6] [23]	[6] [23]		
Accecssibility	[8]		[8]	[2]	[2]	

Populations vs Interventions

	Binary Grading	Bucketed Grading	Mastery Learning	Resubmissions	Minimum Grades	Student input on grade
K-12			[22]	[22]		
Undergraduate	[31] [6] [33] [17] [30] [28] [5] [26]	[3] [35] [13] [36] [30] [23] [34] [21] [15] [14] [26] [9]	[13] [6] [17] [26] [3] [24] [31] [11] [19] [27] [30] [18] [21] [25] [4] [10] [28] [12] [37] [32] [33] [23] [15] [1] [7]	[6] [20] [16] [5] [3] [19] [30] [27] [21] [2] [25] [29] [4] [34] [28] [12] [37] [23] [15]	[2]	[15] [30]
Instructors	[28]	[34] [9]	[4] [28]	[4] [34] [28]		
Introductory Course	[8] [33]	[23] [21] [9]	[24] [4] [33] [10] [27] [23] [18] [21] [8] [1] [7]	[29] [4] [27] [23] [21]		
Gender	[6]	[23]	[23] [6]	[23] [6]		
Race	[6]	[23]	[23] [6]	[23] [6]		
Accecssibility	[8]		[8]	[2]	[2]	



- [1] Claudio Alvarez, Maira Marques Samary, and Alyssa Friend Wise. 2023. Modularization for mastery learning in CS1: a 4-year action research study. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education* (2023), 1–44.
- [2] Karina V. Assiter. 2023. Integrating Grading for Equity Practices into Project-Based Computer Science Curriculum. *ACM Inroads* 14, 1 (feb 2023), 2229. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3582559>
- [3] Elisa Baniassad, Alice Campbell, Tiara Allidina, and Asrai Ord. 2019. Teaching Software Construction at Scale with Mastery Learning: A Case Study. In *Proceedings of the 41st International Conference on Software Engineering: Software Engineering Education and Training (ICSE-SEET '19)*. IEEE Press, 182191. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSE-SEET.2019.00027>
- [4] Austin Cory Bart, Allie Sarver, Michael Friend, and Larry Cox II. 2019. PythonSneks: An Open-Source, Instructionally-Designed Introductory Curriculum with Action-Design Research. In *Proceedings of the 50th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education (SIGCSE '19)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 307313. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3287324.3287428>
- [5] Andrew Berns. 2020. Scored out of 10: Experiences with Binary Grading Across the Curriculum. In *Proceedings of the 51st ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education (SIGCSE '20)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 11521157. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3328778.3366956>
- [6] Kathryn Bridson and Scott D. Fleming. 2021. Frequent, Timed Coding Tests for Training and Assessment of Full-Stack Web Development Skills: An Experience Report. In *Proceedings of the 52nd ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education (SIGCSE '21)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2430. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3408877.3432549>
- [7] Jennifer Campbell, Andrew Petersen, and Jacqueline Smith. 2019. Self-Paced Mastery Learning CS1. In *Proceedings of the 50th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education (SIGCSE '19)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 955961. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3287324.3287481>
- [8] Dino Capovilla, Marc Berges, Andreas Mühling, and Peter Hubwieser. 2015. Handling Heterogeneity in Programming Courses for Freshmen. In *Proceedings of the 2015 International Conference on Learning and Teaching in Computing and Engineering (LATICE '15)*. IEEE Computer Society, USA, 197203. <https://doi.org/10.1109/LaTiCE.2015.18>
- [9] Marco Carmosino and Mia Minnes. 2020. Adaptive Rubrics. In *Proceedings of the 51st ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education (SIGCSE '20)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 549555. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3328778.3366946>
- [10] Rafael G. de Pontes, Dalton D. S. Guerrero, and Jorge C. A. de Figueiredo. 2019. Analyzing Gamification Impact on a Mastery Learning Introductory Programming Course. In *Proceedings of the 50th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education (SIGCSE '19)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 400406. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3287324.3287367>
- [11] Michael John Eagle and Tiffany Barnes. 2012. A Learning Objective Focused Methodology for the Design and Evaluation of Game-Based Tutors. In *Proceedings of the 43rd ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education (SIGCSE '12)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 99104. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2157136.2157170>
- [12] Sophie Engle and Sami Rollins. 2013. Expert Code Review and Mastery Learning in a Software Development Course. *J. Comput. Sci. Coll.* 28, 4 (apr 2013), 139147.
- [13] Kiko Fernandez-Reyes, Dave Clarke, and Janina Hornbach. 2018. The Impact of Opt-in Gamification on Students' Grades in a Software Design Course. In *Proceedings of the 21st ACM/IEEE International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems: Companion Proceedings (MODELS '18)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 9097. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3270112.3270118>
- [14] Paul Gestwicki. 2018. Design and Evaluation of an Undergraduate Course on Software Development Practices. In *Proceedings of the 49th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education (SIGCSE '18)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 221226. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3159450.3159542>
- [15] Paul Gestwicki. 2021. Godot Engine and Checklist-Based Specifications: Revising a Game Programming Class for Asynchronous Online Teaching. *J. Comput. Sci. Coll.* 37, 4 (oct 2021), 3040.
- [16] Geoffrey L. Herman, Zhouxiang Cai, Timothy Bretl, Craig Zilles, and Matthew West. 2020. Comparison of Grade Replacement and Weighted Averages for Second-Chance Exams. In *Proceedings of the 2020 ACM Conference on International Computing Education Research (ICER '20)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 5666. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3372782.3406260>
- [17] Ville Isomöttönen and Ville Tirronen. 2016. Flipping and BlendingAn Action Research Project on Improving a Functional Programming Course. *ACM Trans. Comput. Educ.* 17, 1, Article 1 (sep 2016), 35 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2934697>
- [18] Mehdi Jazayeri. 2015. Combining Mastery Learning with Project-Based Learning in a First Programming Course: An Experience Report. In *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Software Engineering - Volume 2 (ICSE '15)*. IEEE Press, 315318.
- [19] Michael O. Lam and Dee A. B. Weikle. 2021. A Successful Online Systems Class Using Scaffolded Active Learning and Formative Assessment. *J. Comput. Sci. Coll.* 37, 3 (oct 2021), 132142.
- [20] Ramon Lawrence, Sarah Foss, and Tatiana Urazova. 2023. Evaluation of Submission Limits and Regression Penalties to Improve Student Behavior with Automatic Assessment Systems. *ACM Trans. Comput. Educ.* 23, 3, Article 31 (jun 2023), 24 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3591210>
- [21] Noel LeJeune. 2010. Contract Grading with Mastery Learning in CS 1. *J. Comput. Sci. Coll.* 26, 2 (dec 2010), 149156.
- [22] Wei Li Li-Chen Cheng and Judy C. R. Tseng. 2021. Effects of an automated programming assessment system on the learning performances of experienced and novice learners. *Interactive Learning Environments* 0, 0 (2021), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2021.2006237>
- [23] Albert Lionelle, Sudipto Ghosh, Marcia Moraes, Tran Winick, and Lindsey Nielsen. 2023. A Flexible Formative/Summative Grading System for Large Courses. In *Proceedings of the 54th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education V. 1 (SIGCSE 2023)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 624630. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3545945.3569810>
- [24] Brendan McCane, Claudia Ott, Nick Meek, and Anthony Robins. 2017. Mastery Learning in Introductory Programming. In *Proceedings of the Nineteenth Australasian Computing Education Conference (ACE '17)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 110. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3013499.3013501>
- [25] K. Clay McKell and Andrew Danowitz. 2020. Exploring the effect of standards-based grading on student learning. In *2020 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE)*. 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1109/FIE44824.2020.9273889>
- [26] Grace M. Mirsky. 2018. Effectiveness of Specifications Grading in Teaching Technical Writing to Computer Science Students. *J. Comput. Sci. Coll.* 34, 1 (oct 2018), 104110.
- [27] Claudia Ott, Brendan McCane, and Nick Meek. 2021. Mastery Learning in CS1 - An Invitation to Procrastinate?: Reflecting on Six Years of Mastery Learning. In *Proceedings of the 26th ACM Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education V. 1 (ITiCSE '21)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1824. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3430665.3456321>
- [28] Kevin R. Sanft, Brian Drawert, and Adam Whitley. 2021. Modified Specifications Grading in Computer Science: Preliminary Assessment and Experience across Five Undergraduate Courses. *J. Comput. Sci. Coll.* 36, 5 (jan 2021), 3446.
- [29] Steven C. Shaffer. 2005. Ludwig: An Online Programming Tutoring and Assessment System. *SIGCSE Bull.* 37, 2 (jun 2005), 5660. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1083431.1083464>
- [30] Scott Spurlock. 2023. Improving Student Motivation by Ungrading. In *Proceedings of the 54th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education V. 1 (SIGCSE 2023)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 631637. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3545945.3569747>
- [31] Ella Tuson and Tim Hickey. 2022. Mastery Learning and Specs Grading in Discrete Math. In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM Conference on on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education Vol. 1 (ITiCSE '22)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1925. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3502718.3524766>
- [32] Ella Tuson and Timothy Hickey. 2023. Mastery Learning with Specs Grading for Programming Courses. In *Proceedings of the 54th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education V. 1 (SIGCSE 2023)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 10491054. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3545945.3569853>
- [33] Mark Urban-Lurain and Donald J. Weinshank. 1999. I Do and I Understand: Mastery Model Learning for a Large Non-Major Course. In *The Proceedings of the Thirtieth SIGCSE Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education (SIGCSE '99)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 150154. <https://doi.org/10.1145/299649.299738>
- [34] Robbie Weber. 2023. Using Alternative Grading in a Non-Major Algorithms Course. In *Proceedings of the 54th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education V. 1 (SIGCSE 2023)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 638644. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3545945.3569765>
- [35] Dee A. B. Weikle, Michael O. Lam, and Michael S. Kirkpatrick. 2019. Automating Systems Course Unit and Integration Testing: Experience Report. In *Proceedings of the 50th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education (SIGCSE '19)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 565570. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3287324.3287502>



- [36] P. Young, V. Yip, and R. B. Lenin. 2012. Evaluation of Issue-Tracker's Effectiveness for Measuring Individual Performance on Group Projects. In *Proceedings of the 50th Annual Southeast Regional Conference (ACM-SE '12)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 8994. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2184512.2184534>
- [37] Lucas Zamprogno, Reid Holmes, and Elisa Baniassad. 2020. Nudging Student Learning Strategies Using Formative Feedback in Automatically Graded Assessments. In *Proceedings of the 2020 ACM SIGPLAN Symposium on SPLASH-E (SPLASH-E 2020)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 111. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3426431.3428654>