

Brian Murphy Macro PS 1

$$1. \max_{c_t, k_{t+1}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \ln(c_t) \text{ st. } c_t + k_{t+1} - (1-\delta)k_t = Ak_t^\alpha$$

a. Dynamic Program:

$$v(k) = \max_{c_t, k_{t+1}} [\ln(c_t) + \beta v(k')]$$

$$\text{st. } c_t + k_{t+1} - (1-\delta)k_t = Ak_t^\alpha$$

We can sub in our constraint. Rewriting,

$$v(k) = \max_{k'} [\ln(Ak_t^\alpha + (1-\delta)k_t - k_{t+1}) + \beta v(k')]$$

Now, find Euler.

$$\text{FOC: } \frac{1}{c_t} \cdot (-1) + \beta v'(k') = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{c_t} = \beta v'(k')$$

Apply Envelope Thm.

$$v'(k) = \frac{1}{c_t} [\alpha Ak_t^{\alpha-1} + (1-\delta)]$$

Now, use Ben. Sch. Thm.

$$v'(k') = \frac{1}{c_{t+1}} [\alpha Ak_t^{\alpha-1} + (1-\delta)]. \text{ Now, plug into FOC.}$$

$$\frac{1}{c_t} = \beta \frac{1}{c_{t+1}} [\alpha Ak_t^{\alpha-1} + (1-\delta)]. \text{ We can rewrite:}$$

$$\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} = \beta [\alpha Ak_t^{\alpha-1} + (1-\delta)] \Rightarrow E.E.$$

b. Code attached

In steady state, $\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} = 1$. Thus,

$$1 = \beta [\alpha Ak_{ss}^{\alpha-1} + (1-\delta)]$$

$$\frac{1}{\beta} = \alpha Ak_{ss}^{\alpha-1} + (1-\delta)$$

$$\frac{1}{\beta} - (1-\delta) = \alpha Ak_{ss}^{\alpha-1}$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{\beta} - (1-\delta) \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} = K_{ss}$$

$$\text{or } \left(\frac{\alpha A}{\frac{1}{\beta} - (1-\delta)} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} = K_{ss}$$

The rest of this problem is attached separately.

$$2. \text{ Preferences: } \frac{n_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \frac{C_{t+1}^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$$

$$h_{t+1} = \theta(1-n_t) e_t^{\gamma} h_t^{\delta}$$

$$\text{Assume balanced budget: } E_t = \gamma Y_t$$

initial old generation has h_0 , human capital

youth has choice of leisure or learn

1 unit HC = 1 unit of consumption

$$\max_{n_t, C_{t+1}} \frac{n_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \frac{C_{t+1}^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} \text{ s.t. } h_{t+1} = \theta(1-n_t) e_t^{\gamma} h_t^{\delta}$$

$$\therefore E_t = \gamma Y_t$$

$$\text{get } E_t \text{ in per capita terms: } \frac{E_t}{L_t} = \gamma \frac{Y_t}{L_t}$$

$$\text{Since population is constant: } E_t = \gamma Y_t$$

$$\text{Additionally, } Y_t = h_t, \text{ so } e_t = \gamma h_t$$

$$\text{Further, } C_{t+1} = A(1-\gamma) h_{t+1}$$

↑ technology parameter

So, final problem:

$$\max_{n_t, C_{t+1}} \frac{n_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \frac{C_{t+1}^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} \text{ s.t. } h_{t+1} = \theta(1-n_t) e_t^{\gamma} h_t^{\delta}$$

$$C_{t+1} = A(1-\gamma) h_{t+1}$$

$$e_t = \gamma h_t$$

$$\text{Plug in: } C_{t+1} = A(1-\gamma) \theta(1-n_t) \gamma^{\gamma} h_t^{1-\gamma}$$

Substitute & maximize.

$$\max_{n_t} \frac{n_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \frac{(A(1-\gamma) \theta(1-n_t) \gamma^{\gamma} h_t^{1-\gamma})^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$$

$$\text{FOC: } \frac{1}{n_t^\sigma} = \frac{(C_{t+1})^{1-\sigma}}{(1-n_t)^\sigma} \Rightarrow \text{check derivative}$$

$$(1-n_t)^\sigma = (C_{t+1})^{1-\sigma} n_t^\sigma$$

$1-n_t$ is time spent learning.

$$\frac{1-n_t}{n_t} = (C_{t+1})^{1-\sigma/\sigma}$$

$$\therefore A(1-\gamma) \theta(1-n_t) \gamma^{\gamma} h_t^{1-\gamma}$$

tradeoff between learning & leisure

$$b. \frac{1-n_t}{n_t} = A(1-\gamma) \theta(1-n_t) \gamma^{\gamma} h_t^{1-\gamma}$$

$$T = (1-\gamma) \gamma^{\gamma}, \quad E = \frac{1-n_t}{n_t}$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial T} > 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial E}{\partial T} \cdot \frac{\partial T}{\partial \gamma}$$

$$\text{Thus, } \gamma \in [0, 1], \text{ but max: } \gamma = \gamma^*$$

so, there is an optimal

tax level at γ^* .

So, as $\gamma \rightarrow \gamma^*$,

public expenditure per young is higher,
so time allocated to learning increases.

But after, time decreases.

C) Log Pref when $\sigma \rightarrow 1$.

$$\max_{n_t} \ln(n_t) + \ln(A(1-\gamma) \theta(1-n_t) \gamma^{\gamma} h_t)$$

$$\text{FOC: } \frac{1}{n_t} = \frac{1}{1-n_t}$$

$$1-n_t = n_t \quad 1 = 2n_t \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} = n_t$$

So in the log case, the agent will spend half of his time in leisure.

The agent will always choose this learning to leisure ratio.

3. a. Habit Persistence: $u(c_t, c_{t-1})$

s.t. a budget constraint, i.e. $c_t + k_{t+1} - (1-\delta)k_t \leq f(k_t)$

c_{t-1} is already maximized.

$$v(c_t, k) = \max_{c_{t-1}, k'} [u(f(k_t + (1-\delta)k_t - k_{t+1}), c_{t-1}) + \beta v(k_{t+1})]$$

$$b. \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(b_t)$$

$$\text{s.t. } b_t + s_{t+1} = R s_t + w$$

$$v(s_t) = \max_{s_{t+1}} [u(Rs_t) + w - s_{t+1}] + \beta v(s_{t+1})$$

$$c. \hat{\sum}_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t) \text{ s.t. } c_t + k_{t+1} - (1-\delta)k_t + v_{t+1} - v_t = f(k_t)$$

$f'(k) \rightarrow 0$ (steady state) $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$v(k, v) = \max_{k', v'} [u(f(k) + v_t - v') + (1-\delta)k' - k] + \beta v(k', v')$$

derive optimal conditions. Take FOCs

$$k': u'(c_t)(-1) + \beta v'_1(k', v')$$

$$v': u'(c_t)(-1) + \beta v'_2(k', v')$$

Apply envelope thm.

$$v'_1(k, v) = u'(c_t)(f'(k) + (1-\delta))$$

$$v'_2(k, v) = u'(c_t)(1)$$

Use Ben. Sch. to push forward.

$$v'_1(k', v') = u'(c_{t+1})(f'(k') + (1-\delta))$$

$$v'_2(k', v') = u'(c_{t+1}).$$

Plug in to FOC.

$$u'(c_t) = \beta u'(c_{t+1})(f'(k') + (1-\delta))$$

$$u'(c_t) = \beta u'(c_{t+1})$$

$$\text{So E.E. for } k': u'(c_t) = \beta u'(c_{t+1})(f'(k') + (1-\delta))$$

$$\text{E.E. for } v': u'(c_t) = \beta u'(c_{t+1})$$