

# **Rekall Corporation**

# **Penetration Test Report**

**By: Brian Rajaram** 

# **Confidentiality Statement**

This document contains confidential and privileged information from Rekall Inc. (henceforth known as Rekall). The information contained in this document is confidential and may constitute inside or non-public information under international, federal, or state laws. Unauthorized forwarding, printing, copying, distribution, or use of such information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, or distribution of this document or its parts is prohibited.

# **Table of Contents**

Confidentiality Statement	2
Contact Information	4
Document History	4
Introduction	5
Assessment Objective	5
Penetration Testing Methodology	6
Reconnaissance	6
Identification of Vulnerabilities and Services	6
Vulnerability Exploitation	6
Reporting	6
Scope	7
Executive Summary of Findings	8
Grading Methodology	8
Summary of Strengths	9
Summary of Weaknesses	9
Executive Summary Narrative	10
Summary Vulnerability Overview	13
Vulnerability Findings	14

# **Contact Information**

Company Name	Cybersecurity5
Contact Name	Brian Rajaram
Contact Title	Penetration Tester

# **Document History**

Version	Date	Author(s)	Comments
001	March 24, 2024	Brian Rajaram	

#### Introduction

In accordance with Rekall policies, our organization conducts external and internal penetration tests of its networks and systems throughout the year. The purpose of this engagement was to assess the networks' and systems' security and identify potential security flaws by utilizing industry-accepted testing methodology and best practices.

For the testing, we focused on the following:

- Attempting to determine what system-level vulnerabilities could be discovered and exploited with no prior knowledge of the environment or notification to administrators.
- Attempting to exploit vulnerabilities found and access confidential information that may be stored on systems.
- Documenting and reporting on all findings.

All tests took into consideration the actual business processes implemented by the systems and their potential threats; therefore, the results of this assessment reflect a realistic picture of the actual exposure levels to online hackers. This document contains the results of that assessment.

#### **Assessment Objective**

The primary goal of this assessment was to provide an analysis of security flaws present in Rekall's web applications, networks, and systems. This assessment was conducted to identify exploitable vulnerabilities and provide actionable recommendations on how to remediate the vulnerabilities to provide a greater level of security for the environment.

We used our proven vulnerability testing methodology to assess all relevant web applications, networks, and systems in scope.

Rekall has outlined the following objectives:

Table 1: Defined Objectives

Objective
Find and exfiltrate any sensitive information within the domain.
Escalate privileges.
Compromise several machines.

## Penetration Testing Methodology

#### Reconnaissance

We begin assessments by checking for any passive (open source) data that may assist the assessors with their tasks. If internal, the assessment team will perform active recon using tools such as Nmap and Bloodhound.

#### Identification of Vulnerabilities and Services

We use custom, private, and public tools such as Metasploit, hashcat, and Nmap to gain perspective of the network security from a hacker's point of view. These methods provide Rekall with an understanding of the risks that threaten its information, and also the strengths and weaknesses of the current controls protecting those systems. The results were achieved by mapping the network architecture, identifying hosts and services, enumerating network and system-level vulnerabilities, attempting to discover unexpected hosts within the environment, and eliminating false positives that might have arisen from scanning.

#### **Vulnerability Exploitation**

Our normal process is to both manually test each identified vulnerability and use automated tools to exploit these issues. Exploitation of a vulnerability is defined as any action we perform that gives us unauthorized access to the system or the sensitive data.

#### Reporting

Once exploitation is completed and the assessors have completed their objectives, or have done everything possible within the allotted time, the assessment team writes the report, which is the final deliverable to the customer.

### Scope

Prior to any assessment activities, Rekall and the assessment team will identify targeted systems with a defined range or list of network IP addresses. The assessment team will work directly with the Rekall POC to determine which network ranges are in-scope for the scheduled assessment.

It is Rekall's responsibility to ensure that IP addresses identified as in-scope are actually controlled by Rekall and are hosted in Rekall-owned facilities (i.e., are not hosted by an external organization). In-scope and excluded IP addresses and ranges are listed below.

## **Executive Summary of Findings**

#### **Grading Methodology**

Each finding was classified according to its severity, reflecting the risk each such vulnerability may pose to the business processes implemented by the application, based on the following criteria:

**Critical**: Immediate threat to key business processes.


High: Indirect threat to key business processes/threat to secondary business processes.

**Medium**: Indirect or partial threat to business processes.

Low: No direct threat exists; vulnerability may be leveraged with other vulnerabilities.

Informational: No threat; however, it is data that may be used in a future attack.

As the following grid shows, each threat is assessed in terms of both its potential impact on the business and the likelihood of exploitation:



## **Summary of Strengths**

While the assessment team was successful in finding several vulnerabilities, the team also recognized several strengths within Rekall's environment. These positives highlight the effective countermeasures and defenses that successfully prevented, detected, or denied an attack technique or tactic from occurring.

Use of pen-testing to identify weaknesses and vulnerabilities in system

#### **Summary of Weaknesses**

We successfully found several critical vulnerabilities that should be immediately addressed in order to prevent an adversary from compromising the network. These findings are not specific to a software version but are more general and systemic vulnerabilities.

- XSS and SQL injection vulnerabilities in the web app.
- Apache server in use vulnerable to multiple exploits.
- Open ports allow for access.
- Access to password hashes allow for cracking and credential stealing.
- Physical address for server publicly available.
- Credentials stored in html code.

### **Executive Summary**

The penetration test conducted by Cybersecurity5 was able to reveal multiple weaknesses and vulnerabilities in Rekall's system that could lead to loss of confidential data, downtime, reputational damage and loss of revenues.

We first tested Rekall's web application. We determined that it was susceptible to XSS Reflected, XXS Stored, SQL injection, Local File Inclusion and Command Injection attacks across various pages of your website. All of these attacks allow an attacker to potentially gain access to your system and steal information.

The Linux environment in use at Rekall was tested next. We discovered 5 IP addresses that were publicly available and determined the use of Drupal on one of the hosts - a major vulnerability. Using Meterpreter, we were able to gain access to the root by exploiting vulnerabilities and thereby gain access to confidential system files.

Your Windows OS also has weak points. We discovered that port 21 and 110 was open, the latter of which is used for SLMail service. We exploited this vulnerable mail service and once again gained root privileges.

Ultimately, we were able to find several different ways of gaining a foothold into your system through your Web App, Linux OS and Windows OS. These vulnerabilities need to be addressed, and your system hardened, should you wish to mitigate your chances of a potential hacker gaining confidential, valuable and damaging information about your company.

# **Summary Vulnerability Overview**

Vulnerability	Severity
Nmap Scan	Critical
Aggressive Nmap Scan	Critical
Shellshock on Web Server	Critical
Metepreter Shell RCE	Critical
John the Ripper	Critical
FTP data exposure	Critical
Local File Inclusion	Critical
Local File Inclusion Advanced	Critical
OSINT data	Medium
Certificate Search Using crt.sh	Medium
Reflected XSS	Medium
XSS Payload in VR Planner page (Choose Your Character field)	Medium
Stored XSS on Welcome Page	Medium
Sensitive Information Exposure	Low

The following summary tables represent an overview of the assessment findings for this penetration test:


Scan Type	Total
Hosts	172.22.117.20, 172.22.117.10, 192.168.14.35, 192.168.13.10, 192.168.13.11, 192.168.13.12, 192.168.13.14
Ports	21, 22, 80, 106, 110


Exploitation Risk	Total
Critical	8
High	0
Medium	5
Low	1

# **Vulnerability Findings**

Vulnerability 1	Findings
Title	Reflected XSS
Type (Web app / Linux OS / Windows OS)	Web App
Risk Rating	Medium
Description	Cross-site scripting on Welcome page.
Images	REKALL CORPORATION  Welcome to VR Plan On the next page you will be designing your personal results of the property of the population of the personal results of the personal
Affected Hosts	192.168.14.35
Remediation	Input Validation


Vulnerability 2	Findings
Title	XSS Payload in VR Planner page (Choose Your Character field)
Type (Web app / Linux OS / Windows OS)	Web App
Risk Rating	Medium
Description	Bypassing input validation may allow for attacker to gain access to system directories.






Vulnerability 4	Findings
Title	Sensitive Information Exposure
Type (Web app / Linux OS / Windows OS)	Web App
Risk Rating	Low
Description	Easily accessible website and server information by running curl against site IP.
Images	
Affected Hosts	192.168.14.35
Remediation	No remediation.

Vulnerability 5	Findings
Title	Local File Inclusion
Type (Web app / Linux OS / Windows OS)	Web App
Risk Rating	Critical
Description	Uploaded php file where image should be uploaded.





Remediation

**Penetration Test Report** 

Restrict user input from being passed to filesystem API.

Vulnerability 7	Findings
Title	OSINT data
Type (Web app / Linux OS / Windows OS)	Web App
Risk Rating	Medium
Description	Found employee information using OSINT tool.
lmages	Registrant Contact Information:  Name sshUser alice Organization Address B8592hskasd Flag1 City Atlanta State / Province Georgia Postal Code 30309 Country US Phone +1.7702229999 Email Jlow22.con  Administrative Contact Information: Name sshUser alice Organization Address h85692hskasd Flag1 City Atlanta State / Province Georgia Postal Code 30309 Country US Phone +1.7702229999 Email Jlow22.con  Technical Contact Information: Name sshUser alice Organization Address h85692hskasd Flag1 City Atlanta State / Province Georgia Postal Code 30309 Country US Phone +1.7702229999 Email Jlow22.con  Technical Contact Information: Name sshUser alice Organization Address h85692hskasd Flag1 Atlanta State / Province Georgia Postal Code 30309 Country US State / Province Georgia Postal Code 30309 Country US Phone +1.7702229999 Email Jlow22.con  Information Updated: 2024-02-25 20:46:51
Affected Hosts	
Remediation	Try to keep publicly available data about the company to a minimum.

Vulnerability 8	Findings		
Title	Certificate Search Using crt.sh		
Type (Web app / Linux OS / Windows OS)	Web App		

Risk Rating	Medium							
Description	Found stored certificate for totalrekall.xyz							
		Criteria Type: Identity Match: ILIKE Search: 'totalrekall.xyz'						
	Certificates		Logged At 1			Common Name	Matching Identities	Issuer Name
						www.totalrekall.xyz	www.totalrekall.xyz	C=US,ST=Arizona,L=Scottsdale, O='GoDaddy.com,Inc.", OU=http://certs.godaddy.com/repository/, CN=Go Daddy Secure Certificate Authority - G2
Images						totalrekall.xyz	totalrekall.xyz	C=US, ST=Arizona, L=Scottsdale, O='GoDaddy.com, Inc.", OU=http://certs.godaddy.com/repository/, CN=Go Daddy Secure Certificate Authority - G2
		6095738637	2022-02-02	2022-02-02	2022-05-03		flag3- s7euwehd.totalrekall.xyz	C=AT, O=ZeroSSL, CN=ZeroSSL RSA Domain Secure Site CA
		6095738716	2022-02-02	2022-02-02	2022-05-03	flag3-	flag3- s7euwehd.totalrekall.xyz	C=AT, O=ZeroSSL, CN=ZeroSSL RSA
		6095204253	2022-02-02	2022-02-02	2022-05-03	totalrekall.xyz	totalrekall.xyz	C=AT, O=ZeroSSL, CN=ZeroSSL RSA
		6095204153	2022-02-02	2022-02-02	2022-05-03	totalrekall.xyz	www.totalrekall.xyz totalrekall.xyz	Domain Secure Site CA C=AT, O=ZeroSSL, CN=ZeroSSL RSA
							www.totalrekall.xyz	Domain Secure Site CA
	© Sectigo Limited 2015-2024. All rights reserved.  5 ©							
Affected Hosts								
Remediation	Prevent certificates from being stored.							

Vulnerability 9	Findings
Title	Nmap Scan
Type (Web app / Linux OS / WIndows OS)	Linux OS
Risk Rating	Critical
Description	Nmap Scan 192.168.13.0/24 provided 5 hosts.
Images	127
Affected Hosts	192.168.13.10, 192.168.13.11, 192.168.13.12, 192.168.13.13, 192.168.13.14
Remediation	IP Blocking.

Vulnerability 10	Findings
Title	Aggressive Nmap Scan
Type (Web app / Linux OS / Windows OS)	Linux OS
Risk Rating	Critical
Description	Using aggressive nmap scan, we were able to determine that the host was running Drupal, which represents a vulnerability.
Images	TRACEROUTE HOP RTT ADDRESS 1
Affected Hosts	192.168.13.12
Remediation	Restrict information returned on scan or return intentionally misleading results.

Vulnerability 11	Findings
Title	Shellshock on Web Server
Type (Web app / Linux OS / Windows OS)	Linux OS
Risk Rating	Critical
Description	Used exploit (multi/http/apache_mod_cgi_bash_env_exec) to gain access to root privileges.

	<pre>meterpreter &gt; cat /etc/sudoers # # This file MUST be edited with the 'visudo' command as root. # # Please consider adding local content in /etc/sudoers.d/ instead of</pre>
	# directly modifying this file. # # See the man page for details on how to write a sudoers file. # Defaults env_reset Defaults mail_badpass Defaults secure_path="/usr/local/sbin:/usr/local/bin:/usr/sbin:/usr/bin:/sbin:/snap/bin"
	# Host alias specification # User alias specification
Images	# Cmnd alias specification
	# User privilege specification root ALL=(ALL:ALL) ALL
	# Members of the admin group may gain root privileges %admin ALL=(ALL) ALL
	# Allow members of group sudo to execute any command %sudo ALL=(ALL:ALL) ALL
	# See sudoers(5) for more information on "#include" directives:
	#includedir /etc/sudoers.d flag8-9dnx5shdf5 ALL=(ALL:ALL) /usr/bin/less <u>meterpreter</u> >
Affected Hosts	192.168.13.14
Remediation	Limit Access to Sudo Accounts.

Vulnerability 12	Findings
Title	Metepreter Shell RCE
Type (Web app / Linux OS / Windows OS)	Linux OS
Risk Rating	Critical
Description	Used tomcat_jsp_upload_bypass exploit to gain system access to 192.168.13.10

Vulnerability 13	Findings
Title	John the Ripper
Type (Web app / Linux OS / Windows OS)	Web App
Risk Rating	Critical
Description	Used John the Ripper to crack password for user trivera.
Images	(read © kali )=[~]
Affected Hosts	
Remediation	Stronger password policy enforcement; salting hashes.

Vulnerability 14	Findings				
Title	FTP data exposure.				
Type (Web app / Linux OS / Windows OS)	Windows OS				
Risk Rating	Critical				
Description	Used ftp command to pull open port on network hosts.				
Images	Connected to 172.22.117.20  Connected to 172.22.117.20.  220-fileZilla Server version 0.9.41 beta 220-written by Tim Kosse (Tim.Kosse@gmx.de) 220 Please visit http://sourceforge.net/projects/filezilla/ Name (172.22.117.20:root): anonymous 331 Password required for anonymous Password: 230 Logged on Remote system type is UNIX. ftp> ls 200 Port command successful 150 Opening data channel for directory listrr				
Affected Hosts	172.22.117.20				
Remediation	Close open ports.				