Appendix of Named Entity Recognition using Positive-Unlabeled Learning

A Proof of Theorem 1

Let denote L_M the Lipschitz constant that $L_M > \frac{\partial \ell(w,y)}{\partial w}, \forall w \in \mathbb{R}$, denote $C_0 = \max_y \ell(0,y)$, and denote \mathcal{H} a Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space (RKHS). For each given R>0, we consider as hypothesis space \mathcal{H}_R , the ball of radius R in \mathcal{H} . Let $N(\epsilon)$ be the covering number of \mathcal{H}_R following Theorem C in (Cucker and Smale, 2002). Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1. If ℓ is bounded by [0, M], then for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$P\{S \in \mathcal{D} | \sup_{f \in \mathcal{H}_{R}} |R_{\ell} - \hat{R}_{\ell}| \le \epsilon \}$$

$$\ge 1 - 2N(\frac{\epsilon}{4(1 + 2\pi_{p})L_{M}})e^{-\frac{\min(n_{p}, n_{u})\epsilon^{2}}{8(1 + 2\pi_{p})^{2}B^{2}}},$$
(1)

where $B = L_M M + C_0$.

Proof 1 Let denote $\hat{R}_{\ell}^{s}(f)$ the empirical estimation of $R_{\ell}(f)$ with k randomly labeled examples. Since ℓ is bounded, C_0 , M, and B are finite. According to the Lemma in (Rosasco et al., 2004) we have:

$$P\{S \in \mathcal{D} | \sup_{f \in \mathcal{H}_{R}} |R_{\ell}(f) - \hat{R}_{\ell}^{s}(f)| \leq \epsilon \}$$

$$\geq 1 - 2N(\frac{\epsilon}{4L_{M}})e^{-\frac{k\epsilon^{2}}{8B^{2}}}.$$
(2)

Then, the empirical estimation error of $R_{\ell}(f) - \hat{R}_{\ell}(f)$ in PU learning can be written as:

$$R_{\ell}(f) - \hat{R}_{\ell}(f)$$

$$= \left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}} \ell(f(\boldsymbol{x}), 0) - \frac{1}{n_u} \sum_{i=1}^{n_u} \ell((f(x_i^u), 0)) \right)$$

$$+ \pi_p \left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}|Y=1} \ell(f(\boldsymbol{x}), 1) - \frac{1}{n_p} \sum_{i=1}^{n_p} \ell(f(x_i^p), 1) \right)$$

$$- \pi_p \left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}|Y=1} \ell(f(\boldsymbol{x}), 0) - \frac{1}{n_p} \sum_{i=1}^{n_p} \ell(f(x_i^p), 0) \right)$$
(3)

Thus,

$$|R_{\ell}(f) - \hat{R}_{\ell}(f)|$$

$$\leq \left| \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}} \ell(f(\boldsymbol{x}), 0) - \frac{1}{n_{u}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{u}} \ell((f(x_{i}^{u}), 0)) \right|$$

$$+ \pi_{p} \left| \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}|Y=1} \ell(f(\boldsymbol{x}), 1) - \frac{1}{n_{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{p}} \ell(f(x_{i}^{p}), 1) \right|$$

$$+ \pi_{p} \left| \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}|Y=1} \ell(f(\boldsymbol{x}), 0) - \frac{1}{n_{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{p}} \ell(f(x_{i}^{p}), 0) \right|$$
(4)

Let $I_{\ell}(\mathbf{X},0)$ denote

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}\ell(f(\boldsymbol{x}), 0) - \frac{1}{n_u} \sum_{i=1}^{n_u} \ell((f(x_i^u), 0).$$

According to Eq. 2, we have:

$$P\{S \in \mathcal{D} | \sup_{f \in \mathcal{H}_{R}} |I_{\ell}(\mathbf{X}, 0)| \le \epsilon\}$$

$$\ge 1 - 2N(\frac{\epsilon}{4L_{M}})e^{-\frac{n_{u}\epsilon^{2}}{8B^{2}}}$$
(5)

Similarly, let $I_{\ell}(\mathbf{X}|Y=1,1)$ denote

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}|Y=1}\ell(f(\boldsymbol{x}),1) - \frac{1}{n_p} \sum_{i=1}^{n_p} \ell(f(x_i^p),1),$$

and $I_{\ell}(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}=1,0)$ denote

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}|Y=1}\ell(f(\boldsymbol{x}),0) - \frac{1}{n_p} \sum_{i=1}^{n_p} \ell(f(x_i^p),0),$$

we have:

$$P\{S \in \mathcal{D} | \sup_{f \in \mathcal{H}_{R}} |I_{\ell}(\mathbf{X}|Y = 1, 1)| \le \epsilon\}$$

$$\ge 1 - 2N(\frac{\epsilon}{4L_{M}})e^{-\frac{n_{p}\epsilon^{2}}{8B^{2}}},$$
(6)

and

$$P\{S \in \mathcal{D} | \sup_{f \in \mathcal{H}_R} |I_{\ell}(\mathbf{X}|Y = 1, 0)| \le \epsilon\}$$

$$\ge 1 - 2N(\frac{\epsilon}{4L_M})e^{-\frac{n_p \epsilon^2}{8B^2}},$$
(7)

Therefore,

$$P\{S \in \mathcal{D} | \sup_{f \in \mathcal{H}_{R}} |R_{\ell}(f) - \hat{R}_{\ell}(f)| \leq (1 + 2\pi_{p})\epsilon\}$$

$$\geq \min\left(1 - 2N(\frac{\epsilon}{4L_{M}})e^{-\frac{n_{p}\epsilon^{2}}{8B^{2}}},$$

$$1 - 2N(\frac{\epsilon}{4L_{M}})e^{-\frac{n_{u}\epsilon^{2}}{8B^{2}}}$$

$$= 1 - 2N(\frac{\epsilon}{4L_{M}})e^{-\frac{\min(n_{p}, n_{u})\epsilon^{2}}{8B^{2}}}$$
(8)

The theorem follows replacing ϵ with $\frac{1}{1+2\pi_p}\epsilon$.

References

Felipe Cucker and Steve Smale. 2002. On the mathematical foundations of learning. *Bulletin of the American mathematical society*, 39(1):1–49.

Lorenzo Rosasco, Ernesto De Vito, Andrea Caponnetto, Michele Piana, and Alessandro Verri. 2004. Are loss functions all the same? *Neural Computation*, 16(5):1063–1076.