# IoT challenges

State of the art

Aghiles DJOUDI

LIGM/ESIEE Paris w& SIC/ECE Paris

July 12, 2019

- 1. Introduction
- First contribution
- 3. Conclusion




Figure 1: IoT platform.



Figure 2: IoT challenges.

1. Introduction | 1. Context

#### **Problematic**

#### Where is the problem?

- 1. How to Connect sensors to the best gateway?
  - Decision and optimisation problem.
  - Various network acces
  - Various configuration of each network acces
  - Lake of selection tools
- 2. How to connect sensors to this gateway with high Security level.
  - Technical problem.
  - → Lake of selective tools
  - How to select the best access point
- 3. How to extract knowledge from sensors data [1].
  - → a
  - Lake of selective tools

→ Lake of selective tools

→ How to select the **best** access point

Lack of intercentality or constructs

County consens

Unument RO to g., the Store in where cases)

Space angine for g., the Store in where cases)

Tackning transferry judg page color works, or

Tackning transferry judg page color works, or

The consense of g., or consense of g., or consense

Lack of solder contents judg color colored g., or consense

Solder Contents judg colored gibbouries

Solder Contents judg colored gibbouries

Figure 3: Key b Indust

aindustrialinternetofthings

[1] Pascal Thubert, Maria Rita Palattella, and Thomas Engel. \* 6TiSCH Centralized Scheduling: When SDN Meet IoT \*. In: 2015 IEEE Conference on Standards for Communications and Networking (CSCN). 2015 IEEE Conference on Standards for Communications and Networking (CSCN). 2015 IEEE Conference on Standards for Communications and Networking (CSCN). 2015 IEEE Conference on Standards for Communications and Networking (CSCN). 2015 IEEE Conference on Standards for Communications and Networking (CSCN). 2015 IEEE Conference on Standards for Communications and Networking (CSCN).

1. Introduction | 2. Problematic 2/22

#### **Problematic**

#### Where is the problem [2]?




Figure 4: Intel view.



Figure 5: Microsoft view.



Figure 6: SAP view.



Figure 7: WS2O view.

#### **Problematic**

Where is the problem [2]?




Figure 8: ISO view.

Figure 9: ITU-T view.

1. Introduction | 2. Problematic 4/22

#### Motivations

Why should we deal with such problems

- 1. → a
  - Lake of selective tools
  - How to select the best access point
- 2. QoS Analysis
  - → a
  - Lake of selective tools
  - How to select the best access point
- 3. Threats
  - **→** a
  - Lake of selective tools
  - → How to select the **best** access point



Figure 10: Communication diversity.

#### Goal

Is it specific, measurable, achievable, réalistic, for 3 years?

- Allow heterogeneous network to communicate
  - 2. QoS Analysis
  - 3. Threats
- How to select the best access point
  - 1. Allow heterogeneous network to communicate
  - 2. QoS Analysis
  - 3. Threats



Figure 11: wsn-loT.

1. Introduction | 4. Goal 6/22

# Challenges

Where is the difficulty?

#### 1. Challenge 1

- 6720 possible settings
- → Lake of selective tools
- How to select the **best** configuration

#### 2. Challenge 2

- **→** a
- Lake of selective tools
- How to select the best access point

#### 3. Challenge 3

- **→** a
- → Lake of selective tools
- How to select the best access point



Figure 12: tets.

#### Contributions

How could be addressed?

#### 1. Contribution 1

- **→** a
- Lake of selective tools
- How to select the best access point

#### 2. Contribution 2

- **→** a
- Lake of selective tools
- How to select the best access point

#### 3. Contribution 3

- → a
- → Lake of selective tools
- How to select the best access point



Figure 13: tets.

- 1. Introduction
- 2. First contribution
- 3. Conclusion

- Introduction
- 2. First contribution
- 3. Conclusion

- 1. Related work
- 2. Contagion process
- 3. Experimentation
- 4. Results exploitation
- 5. Conclusion

- Introduction
- 2. First contribution
- Conclusion

#### 1. Related work

- Contagion process
- Experimentation
- Results exploitation
- 5. Conclusion

### Related work

Comparison

Paper	A1	A2	A3	A4

Table 1: An example table.

### Related work

Comparison

Paper	A1	A2	A3	A4

Table 2: An example table.

- Introduction
- 2. First contribution
- Conclusion

- Related work
- 2. Contagion process
- Experimentation
- 4. Results exploitation
- Conclusion

# Marcov chain

Methods

$$V(s,\pi) = \mathbb{E}_s^{\pi} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\inf} \gamma^k \cdot r(s_k, a_k) \right), s \in \mathbb{S}$$
 (1)

$$r(s_k, a_k) = G_k \cdot PRR(a_k) \tag{2}$$

$$\pi^* = \arg\max_{\pi} V(s, \pi) \tag{3}$$

$$PRR = (1 - BER)^{L} \tag{4}$$

$$BER = 10^{\alpha e^{\beta SNR}}$$
 (5)

# Genetic Algorithm

#### Methods

-

- S = SF12, BW125, 4/8, 17 dBm
- Input:
  - → Problem:  $f(x) = max(x^2), x \in [0,32]$ 
    - \*  $x_1:01101_b$
    - \*  $x_2:11000_h$
    - \* x<sub>3</sub>:01000<sub>b</sub>
    - $* x_4:10011_b$
- Method: Genetic algorithm
  - Generate a set of random possible solution
  - Test each solution and see how good it is (ranking)
    - 1. Remove some bad solutions
    - 2. Duplicate some good solutions
    - 3. Make small changes to some of them (Crossover, Mutation)
- Output:
  - → x<sub>1</sub>: 01101 (169) (14.4)
  - → x<sub>2</sub>: 11000 (576) (49.2)
  - → x<sub>3</sub>: 01000 (64 ) (5.5)
  - → x<sub>4</sub>: 10011 (361) (30.9)

# Game theory

Methods

- → Players: K = {1,..., K}
- **⇒** Strategies:  $S = S_1 \times ... \times S_K$ 
  - $\rightarrow$   $S_k$  is the strategy set of the  $k^{th}$  player.
- ightharpoonup Rewards:  $u_k: S \longrightarrow R_+$  and is denoted by  $r_k(s_k, s_{-k})$ 
  - →  $s_{-k} = (s_1, ..., s_{k-1}, s_{k+1}, ..., s_K) \in S_1 \times ... \times S_{k-1} \times S_{k+1} \times ... \times S_K$

... (step 2)
Methods

2. First contribution | 2. Contagion process

... (step 3)
Methods

2. First contribution | 2. Contagion process

... (step 4)
Methods

2. First contribution | 2. Contagion process

## Results

Comparison



Table 3

- Introduction
- 2. First contribution
- Conclusion

- Related work
- Contagion process
- 3. Experimentation
- Results exploitation
- Conclusion

# Experimentation

Experimentation

- **⇒** a
- -



Figure 14: .

- Introduction
- 2. First contribution
- Conclusion

- Related work
- Contagion process
- Experimentation
- 4. Results exploitation
- 5. Conclusion

## Results

Comparison



-




Figure 15: .

- Introduction
- 2. First contribution
- 3. Conclusion

- Related work
- Contagion process
- Experimentation
- Results exploitation
- 5. Conclusion

# Conclusion

→ a

**⇒** b



Figure 16: .

20 / 22

- Introduction
- First contribution
- 3. Conclusion

## Conclusion

Our main goal was



Our main contribution was



....

Our main results was



...

3. Conclusion 21/22

# **Future Challenges**

Conclusion

#### Our future goal was





3. Conclusion 22/22

# **Future Challenges**

Conclusion

#### Our future goal was



...

# Thank you!

3. Conclusion 22 / 22

#### References

[1]

- Pascal Thubert, Maria Rita Palattella, and Thomas Engel. \* 6TISCH Centralized Scheduling: When SDN Meet IoT \*. In: 2015 IEEE Conference on Standards for Communications and Networking (CSCN). 2015 IEEE Conference on Standards for Communications and Networking (CSCN). 00035. Tolyo, Japan: Oct. 2015, pp. 42–47 (p. 4).
- [2] B. Di Martino et al. \* Internet of Things Reference Architectures, Security and Interoperability: A Survey \* In: Internet of Things 1-2 (Sept. 2018). 00006, pp. 99–112 (p. 5, 6).