Smart Lora parameters selection

Aghiles DJOUDI[12], Rafik ZITOUNI[2], Nawel ZANGAR[1] and Laurent GEORGE[1]

[1]LIGM/ESIEE Paris, 5 boulevard Descartes, Cité Descartes, Champs-sur-Marne, France
[2]SIC/ECE Paris, 37 Quai de Grenelle, 75015 Paris, France

Email: aghiles.djoudi@esiee.fr, rafik.zitouni@ece.fr, nawel.zangar@esiee.fr, laurent.george@esiee.fr

**ESIEE PARIS**

ECE PARIS ÉCOLE D'INGÉNIEURS

1. Introduction

The need of new kind of wireless communication that could send data far away with limited resource constraints emerged recently to support IoT application like smart building smart environment monitoring. **LoraWan** is one of this emerging wireless network [1], it allows sensors to reach the gateway with start topology in a range up to 5Km. Unlike other technologies LoraWan is the best versatile solution to deploy IoT application in both urban and rural area where there is no communication infrastructure.

3. Parameters selection problem

The physical layer of Lora technology (Semtech SX1276) has 4 parameters which make 6720 possible settings [2]:

➡ **SF:** Spreading factor [SF7 - SF12]

➡ **CR:** Coding rate [4/5 - 4/8]

➡ **BW:** Bandwidth [7.8Khz - 500Khz]

➡ **Tx:** Transmision power [-4dBm +20dBm]

2. Genetic Algorithm

A genetic algorithm is a heuristic search that is used to understand natural ADN selection [3]. This algorithm reflects the process of natural selection where the fittest configurations are selected for reproduction in order to produce offspring of the next generation.

➡ **Gene:** QoS metric.

➡ **Chromosome:** QoS of one configuration.

➡ **Population:** QoS of all configurations.

Genetic Algorithms

4. LoraWan communication

5. Algorithm

Definition: stopping criteria, population size P, and mutation probability pm
Generate randomly the initial configurations
repeat:
. . . **for** each configuration do
. . . . . . Train a model & compute configuration's fitness
. . . **end**
. . . **for** each reproduction 1 ... P/2 do
. . . . . . **Select:** 2 configurations based on fitness
. . . . . . **Crossover:** Produce 2 child configurations
. . . . . . **Mutate:** child configurations with pm
. . . **end**
until stopping criterion are met

Parameter initialization → Fitness function → Crossover → Mutation → Survivor selection → Ranked selection list

6. Simulation & Results

In order to generate all the required metrics of each Lora configuration we use both simulation and real environment. We use ns3 simulator with 2 nodes and one gateway, the distance between each node and the gateway is set to 1km.

Setup	Selection error	Rank	Fitness
1	0.9	1	1.5
2	0.5	3	4.5
3	0.7	2	3
n	0.5	4	6

Results show that genetic algorithm select the configuration that match better the required QoS by the application.

7. Discussion

➡ **Advantages:**
They usually perform better than traditional feature selection techniques. Genetic algorithms can manage data sets with many features. They don't need specific knowledge about the problem under study. These algorithms can be easily parallelized in computer clusters.

➡ **Drawbacks:**
Genetic Algorithms might be very expensive in computational terms, since evaluation of each configuration requires building a predictive model. These algorithms can take a long time to converge, since they have a stochastic nature.

➡ **Conclusion:**
Genetic algorithms can select the best subset of variables for our predictive model, but they usually require a lot of computation. Neural Designer implements a more advanced genetic algorithm that

7. References

[1] Wael Ayoub, Abed Ellatif Samhat, Fabienne Nouvel, Mohamad Mroue, and Jean-Christophe Prevotet. Internet of Mobile Things: Overview of LoRaWAN, DASH7, and NB-IoT in LP-WANs Standards and Supported Mobility. 21(2):1561–1581. 00007.

[2] Mahda Noura, Mohammed Atiquzzaman, and Martin Gaedke. Interoperability in Internet of Things: Taxonomies and Open Challenges. 00004.

[3] Eleni I. Vlahogianni, Matthew G. Karlaftis, and John C. Golias. Optimized and meta-optimized neural networks for short-term traffic flow prediction: A genetic approach. 13(3):211–234. 00506.