

IoT challenges

State of the art

Aghiles DJOUDI

LIGM/ESIEE Paris

April 3, 2019

Outline

1. Introduction

2. State of the art

3. Conclusion

Context

Introduction




Figure 1: The IoT Platform

- ➡ Connect sensors to the gateway.
- ➡ Connect the gateway to the infrastructure.
- ➡ Store & Analyze sensors data.

Problematic

Introduction



Figure 2: The IoT problematics

- ➡ How to communicate sensors efficiently
 - ➡ IEEE 802.15.4, 6LowPAN
 - ➡ Throughput, Delay, Jitter, Loss rate and Availability.
- ➡ How to communicate sensors with the infrastructure efficiently
 - ➡ LPWAN, LoraWan
 - ➡ Heterogeneity ?
- ➡ How to extract knowledge from sensors data.
 - ➡ Data mining: Classification, Clustering
 - ➡ Deep learning: Machine learning

Problematic

Introduction



Figure 2: The IoT problematics

- ➡ How to communicate sensors efficiently
 - ➡ IEEE 802.15.4, 6LowPAN
 - ➡ Throughput, Delay, Jitter, Loss rate and Availability.
- ➡ How to communicate sensors with the infrastructure efficiently
 - ➡ LPWAN, LoraWan
 - ➡ **Heterogeneity ?**
- ➡ How to extract knowledge from sensors data.
 - ➡ Data mining: Classification, Clustering
 - ➡ Deep learning: Machine learning

Outline

1. Introduction

2. State of the art

3. Conclusion

Outline

1. Introduction

2. State of the art

3. Conclusion

- 1. Heterogeneity
- 2. Security

Outline

1. Introduction

2. State of the art

3. Conclusion

1. Heterogeneity

2. Security

Literature review

Related work

- [1] Many studies have identified **SDN** as a potential solution to the WSN challenges, as well as a model for **heterogeneous** integration.
- [1] This **shortfall** can be resolved by using the **SDN approach**.
- [2] **SDN** also enhances better control of **heterogeneous** network infrastructures.
- [2] Anadiotis et al. define a **SDN operating system for IoT** that integrates SDN based WSN (**SDN-WISE**). This experiment shows how **heterogeneity** between different kinds of SDN networks can be achieved.
- [2] In cellular networks, OpenRoads presents an approach of introducing **SDN** based **heterogeneity** in wireless networks for operators.
- [3] There has been a plethora of (industrial) studies **synergising SDN in IoT**. The major characteristics of IoT are low latency, wireless access, mobility and **heterogeneity**.
- [3] Thus a bottom-up approach application of **SDN** to the realisation of **heterogeneous IoT** is suggested.
- [3] Perhaps a more complete IoT architecture is proposed, where the authors apply **SDN** principles in IoT **heterogeneous** networks.
- [4] it provides the **SDWSN** with a proper model of network management, especially considering the potential of **heterogeneity** in SDWSN.
- [4] We conjecture that the **SDN paradigm** is a good candidate to solve the **heterogeneity** in IoT.




Figure 3: Architecture and technology abstraction.

Outline

1. Introduction

2. State of the art

3. Conclusion

1. Heterogeneity

2. Security

Security in SDN

related work

| WSN OSI Layer | SDN Plane | Threat |
|---------------|-------------|--|
| Application | Application | Poor Authentication and Control |
| | | Fraudulent flows rules insertion |
| | | Poor access control and accountability |
| | | Malicious Application |
| | | DoS |
| | | Northbound Interface (API) attack |
| Transport | Control | Threats from applications |
| | | DoS |
| Network | | Unauthorised access |
| | | Scalability & Unavailability |
| | | Faulty or Malicious controller |
| Data Link | Data | Unauthorised access |
| | | Fraudulent rules |
| | | Forged/False traffic flows |
| | | Flooding, Spoofing |
| Physical | | Southbound Interface (API) attack |
| | | Jamming, Tampering |
| | | Sybil |
| | | Compromised/hi-jacked controller |
| | | Malicious node |

Table: SDN vs OSI layer

SDN based sensor network

| Management architecture | Management feature | Controller configuration | Traffic Control | Configuration and monitoring | Scapability and localization | Communication management |
|-------------------------|--|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| [5] Sensor Open Flow | SDN support protocol | Distributed | in/out-band | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| [6] SDWN | Duty sycling, aggregation, routing | Centralized | in-band | ✓ | | |
| [7] SDN-WISE | Programming simplicity and aggregation | Distributed | in-band | | ✓ | |
| degante_smart_2014a | Efficiency in resource allocation | Distributed | in-band | | ✓ | |
| SDCSN | Network reliability and QoS | Distributed | in-band | | ✓ | |
| TinySDN | In-band-traffic control | Distributed | in-band | | ✓ | |
| Virtual Overlay | Network flexibility | Distributed | in-band | | ✓ | |
| Context based | Network scalability and performance | Distributed | in-band | | ✓ | |
| CRLB | Node localization | Centralized | in-band | | | |
| Multi-hope | Traffic and energy control | Centralized | in-band | | | ✓ |
| Tiny-SDN | Network task measurement | - | in-band | | | |

Table 1: SDN-based network and topology management architectures. [3]

Outline

1. Introduction

2. State of the art

3. Conclusion

Conclusion

- ➡ Heterogeneity is a big challenge in IoT environment, like mobility, scalability, QoS and security.
- ➡ I propose to use SDN (SDN-WISE) to manage heterogeneity.
- ➡

Conclusion

- ➡ Heterogeneity is a big challenge in IoT environment, like mobility, scalability, QoS and security.
- ➡ I propose to use SDN (SDN-WISE) to manage heterogeneity.
- ➡

Thank you !

References

- [1] Z. Qin, G. Denker, C. Giannelli, P. Bellavista, and N. Venkatasubramanian, " A Software Defined Networking Architecture for the Internet-of-Things," in *2014 IEEE Network Operations and Management Symposium (NOMS)*, 00250, Krakow, Poland: IEEE, May 2014, pp. 1–9.
- [2] H. I. Kobo, A. M. Abu-Mahfouz, and G. P. Hancke, " A Survey on Software-Defined Wireless Sensor Networks: Challenges and Design Requirements," *IEEE Access*, vol. 5, pp. 1872–1899, 2017, 00124.
- [3] M. Ndiaye, G. Hancke, and A. Abu-Mahfouz, " Software Defined Networking for Improved Wireless Sensor Network Management: A Survey," *Sensors*, vol. 17, no. 5, p. 1031, May 4, 2017.
- [4] S. Bera, S. Misra, and A. V. Vasilakos, " Software-Defined Networking for Internet of Things: A Survey," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 1994–2008, Dec. 2017, 00055.
- [5] T. Luo, H.-P. Tan, and T. Q. S. Quek, " Sensor OpenFlow: Enabling Software-Defined Wireless Sensor Networks," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 16, no. 11, pp. 1896–1899, Nov. 2012, 00339.
- [6] S. Costanzo, L. Galluccio, G. Morabito, and S. Palazzo, " Software Defined Wireless Networks (SDWN): Unbridling SDNs," , p. 25, 00000.
- [7] L. Galluccio, S. Milardo, G. Morabito, and S. Palazzo, " SDN-WISE: Design, Prototyping and Experimentation of a Stateful SDN Solution for Wireless Sensor Networks," in *2015 IEEE Conference on Computer Communications (INFOCOM)*, 00170, Kowloon, Hong Kong: IEEE, Apr. 2015, pp. 513–521.