	Experimental					Control	Standardised Mean		
Study	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Difference SMD 95	%-CI	Weight
							_		
Hagstrom2002	87	6.83	1.2800	87	6.81	1.2700	0.02 [-0.28;	0.31]	8.6%
Schillaci2011	24	5.10	0.7000	48	5.20	0.7000	-0.14 [-0.63;	0.35]	8.3%
Ogard2005	45	4.40	1.0000	40	4.60	0.8000	-0.22 [-0.64;	0.21]	8.4%
Cansu2015	17	5.52	0.7000	15	5.54	0.4700	-0.03 [-0.73;	0.66]	7.8%
Farahnak2011	49	5.76	0.8000	49	5.71	1.0200	0.05 [-0.34;	0.45]	8.5%
Luboshitzky2009	139	5.25	0.9956	111	5.30	1.0551	-0.05 [-0.30; 	0.20]	8.7%
Ring2012	48	5.74	0.8000	48	5.74	0.8000	0.00 [-0.40;	0.40]	8.5%
Hagstrom2006	30	6.91	1.2000	30	6.83	1.3000	0.06 [-0.44;	0.57]	8.3%
Kaji2010	116	5.19	0.9051	116	5.53	0.9904	-0.36 [-0.62; -	0.10]	8.7%
Christensson1977	44	6.60	0.2000	44	7.20	0.2000	-2.97 [-3.59; -	2.36]	8.0%
Propocio2013	18	5.84	1.0300	64	5.34	0.7300	0.62 [ 0.08;	1.15]	8.2%
Luigi2012	30	5.88	0.6775	30	4.97	0.4525	1.57 [ 0.99;	2.15]	8.1%
Random effects model	647			682			-0.12 [-0.76;	0.53]	100.0%
Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 91\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0.9340$ , $p < 0.01$							-3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3		

Test for overall effect:  $t_{11} = -0.40 \ (p = 0.70)$