Matrix Concentration Inequalities via the Method of Exchangeable Pairs Original Paper by Mackey et al.

Presenters: Sehun Kim, Bright Liu, Anthony Rodriguez-Miranda, Dewey To

Harvard University

Stat 212: Probability II July 15, 2025

◆□▶◆骨▶◆量▶◆量▶ ● 釣魚◎

1/24

Notation and preliminaries

- Let \mathbb{M}^d represent the space of all $d \times d$ complex matrices.
- We use the following constructions of trace and normalized trace of a square matrix,

$$\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{B} := \sum_{j=1}^d b_{jj}$$
 and $\overline{\operatorname{tr}} \mathbf{B} := \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^d b_{jj}$ for $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{M}^d$

• Let \mathbb{H}^d represent the space of Hermitian $d \times d$ matrices, i.e the space of complex square matrices that are equal to their own conjugate transpose. Equivalently, for $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{M}^d$, if $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^*$, then $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{H}^d$ as well.



Notation and preliminaries (continued)

- The symbols $\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{A})$ refer to the algebraic maximum and minimum eigenvalues of a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{H}^d$.
- For each interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, we define the set of Hermitian matrices whose eigenvalues fall in that interval,

$$\mathbb{H}^d(I) := \{ \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{H}^d : [\lambda_{\mathsf{min}}(\mathbf{A}), \lambda_{\mathsf{max}}(\mathbf{A})] \subset I \}.$$

- The set \mathbb{H}^d_+ consists of all positive-semidefinite (psd) $d \times d$ matrices, i.e all $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{H}^d$ such that $\mathbf{x}^*\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x} \geq 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^d$
 - Note that this necessarily means all eigenvalues are non-negative



Notation and preliminaries (continued)

- More generally, we have the operator Jensen inequality

$$(\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}])^2 \preccurlyeq \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}^2],$$

valid for any random Hermitian matrix, provided that $\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{X}\|^2<\infty.$

• We also note that ||**B**|| represents the maximum singular value of **B**, or the maximum absolute value of its eigenvalues.



Exchangeable pairs of random matrices

Definition (Exchangeable pair)

Let Z and Z' be random variables. We say that (Z, Z') is an exchangeable pair if $(Z, Z') \stackrel{d}{=} (Z', Z)$.

Definition (Matrix Stein pair)

For an exchangeable pair of random variables (Z, Z'), let $\Psi: \mathcal{Z} \to \mathbb{H}^d$ be a measurable function. Define the random Hermitian matrices $\mathbf{X} := \Psi(Z)$ and $\mathbf{X}' := \Psi(Z')$.

We say $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}')$ is a *matrix Stein pair* if there is a constant scale factor $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ for which

 $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}'|Z] = \alpha \mathbf{X}$ almost surely.



5/24

Exchangeable pairs of random matrices (continued)

When discussing a matrix Stein pair (\mathbf{X},\mathbf{X}'), we always assume that $\mathbb{E}||\mathbf{X}||^2<\infty$.

We also note two useful properties. First, $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}')$ always forms an exchangeable pair. Second, it must be the case that $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}] = 0$. Indeed,

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}] = \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}'|Z]\right] = \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}'] = 0$$

by definition of matrix Stein pair, the tower property of conditional expectation, and the exchangeability of $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}')$.



Conditional Variance

Definition (Conditional Variance)

Suppose that $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}')$ is a matrix Stein pair with scale factor α , constructed from the exchangeable pair $(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}')$. The conditional variance is the random matrix

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{X}} := \Delta_{\mathbf{X}}(Z) := \frac{1}{2\alpha} \mathbb{E}\left((\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}')^2 \,|\, Z \right).$$

- $\Delta_{\mathbf{X}}$ is a stochastic estimate for the variance, $\mathbb{E}\mathbf{X}^2$.
- Control over $\Delta_{\mathbf{X}}$ yields control over $\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{X})$.



Example of Exchangeable Pair

- $Z := (\mathbf{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_n)$ of random Hermitian matrices, $\mathbb{E} \mathbf{Y}_k = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{Y}_k\|^2 < \infty$ for each k. With independent hermitian matrices, we define the sequence and Stein pair as the sequence and the total sum.
- $X := Y_1 + \cdots + Y_n$
- \mathbf{Y}_k' be an independent copy of \mathbf{Y}_k , draw a random index K uniformly from $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ $Z':=\left(\mathbf{Y}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{Y}_{K-1},\mathbf{Y}_K',\mathbf{Y}_{K+1},\ldots,\mathbf{Y}_n\right)$
- $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}' \mid Z\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{Y}_K \mathbf{Y}_K' \mid Z\right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{Y}_j \mathbf{Y}_j' \mid Z\right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{Y}_j = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}$



Conditional Variance Calculation Example

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{X}} = \frac{n}{2} \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}')^{2} \mid Z \right]$$

$$= \frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[(\mathbf{Y}_{k} - \mathbf{Y}'_{k})^{2} \mid Z \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[\mathbf{Y}_{k}^{2} - \mathbf{Y}_{k} (\mathbb{E}\mathbf{Y}'_{k}) - (\mathbb{E}\mathbf{Y}'_{k}) \mathbf{Y}_{k} + \mathbb{E} (\mathbf{Y}'_{k})^{2} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\mathbf{Y}_{k}^{2} + \mathbb{E}\mathbf{Y}_{k}^{2})$$

- Recap: Exploit symmetries of distribution to construct matrix Stein pair
- We utilize independent copies, similar to that of McDiarmid's concentration inequality for the univariate case

Matrix Combinatorial Stein Pair

- Deterministic array $(A_{jk})_{j,k=1}^n$ of Hermitian matrices, and let π be uniformly random permutation, we let $\mathbf{Y} := \sum_{i=1}^n A_{j\pi(i)}, \mathbb{E}\mathbf{Y} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n A_{jk}, \mathbf{X} := \mathbf{Y} \mathbb{E}\mathbf{Y}$
- We draw a pair (J, K) of indices uniformly at random from $\{1, \ldots, n\}^2$ and define second random permutation $\pi' := \pi \circ (J, K)$. The pair (π, π') is exchangeable.
- $\mathbf{X}' := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{A}_{i\pi'(i)} \mathbb{E}\mathbf{Y}$ is exchangeable with \mathbf{X} .



Matrix Stein Conditional Variance

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}' \mid \pi\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{A}_{J\pi(J)} + \mathbf{A}_{K\pi(K)} - \mathbf{A}_{J\pi(K)} - \mathbf{A}_{K\pi(J)} \mid \pi\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \left[\mathbf{A}_{j\pi(j)} + \mathbf{A}_{k\pi(k)} - \mathbf{A}_{j\pi(k)} - \mathbf{A}_{k\pi(j)}\right]$$

$$= \frac{2}{n} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{Y}) = \frac{2}{n} \mathbf{X}$$

$$\Delta_X(\pi) = \frac{n}{4} E[(X - X')^2 | \pi] = \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{j,k=1}^n [A_{j\pi(j)} + A_{k\pi(k)} - A_{j\pi(k)} - A_{k\pi(j)}]^2$$

• We note the Δ_X is controlled when A_{ik} are bounded.



11/24

Method of exchangeable pairs

Theorem

Let $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}')$ be a matrix Stein pair with scale factor α (where we take \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}' to be d-dimensional Hermitian matrices). Given measurable $\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{H}^d \to \mathbb{H}^d$ where $\mathbb{E}\|(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}') \cdot \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X})\|$ is finite, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X})] = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}')(\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}'))].$$



Method of exchangeable pairs

Proof.

By the definition of a matrix Stein pair and the tower rule, we have

$$\alpha \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X})] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}')|Z] \cdot \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X})] = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}') \cdot \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X})].$$

(X, X') being exchangeable then gives

$$\mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{X}')\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{X})] = \mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{X}'-\boldsymbol{X})\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{X}')] = -\mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{X}')\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{X})].$$

Taking the average of the LHS and the RHS above then shows that

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X})] = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}')(\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}'))].$$



(L. MACKEY ET AL.) July 15, 2

13/24

Standard Matrix Functions

Definition

Let I be an interval on the real line. By the Spectral Theorem, a d-dimensional Hermitian matrix \mathbf{A} can be written

 $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q} \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) \cdot \mathbf{Q}^*$ for some unitary \mathbf{Q} . For any $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$, we can extend f to take in matrices as input by defining

$$f(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{Q} \cdot \operatorname{diag}(f(\lambda_1), \dots, f(\lambda_d)) \cdot \mathbf{Q}^*.$$

Corollary

If λ is an eigenvalue of **A**, then $f(\lambda)$ is an eigenvalue of $f(\mathbf{A})$.



Normalized Trace MGF

Now that we know how standard scalar functions can be extended to Hermitian matrices, we can define the (normalized) trace MGF.

Definition

Given a random Hermitian matrix \mathbf{X} , the (normalized) trace MGF of \mathbf{X} is

$$m(\theta) := \mathbb{E}[\overline{\mathsf{tr}}(e^{\theta \mathbf{X}})].$$

Why is this useful? It gives us concentration inequalities via the matrix Laplace transform method!



Matrix Laplace Transform Method

Theorem

Let **X** be a random d-dimensional Hermitian matrix with normalized trace MGF $m(\theta)$. For all real t, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(\lambda_{max}(\mathbf{X}) \geq t) &\leq d \cdot \inf_{\theta > 0} \exp(-\theta t + \log m(\theta)) \\ \mathbb{P}(\lambda_{min}(\mathbf{X}) \leq t) &\leq d \cdot \inf_{\theta < 0} \exp(-\theta t + \log m(\theta)) \\ \mathbb{E}(\lambda_{max}(\mathbf{X})) &\leq \inf_{\theta > 0} \frac{1}{\theta} [\log d + \log m(\theta)] \\ \mathbb{E}(\lambda_{min}(\mathbf{X})) &\geq \sup_{\theta < 0} \frac{1}{\theta} [\log d + \log m(\theta)]. \end{split}$$

Thus, we merely need to bound $m(\theta)$ to get new concentration inequalities!

16/24

Matrix Laplace Transform Method

Proof.

(We'll only prove the first inequality.) Applying Markov's inequality and our corollary concerning the eigenvalues of a standard matrix function gives us

$$egin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\lambda_{\mathsf{max}}(\mathbf{X}) \geq t) &= \mathbb{P}(oldsymbol{e}^{\lambda_{\mathsf{max}}(heta\mathbf{X})} \geq oldsymbol{e}^{ heta t}) \ &\leq oldsymbol{e}^{- heta t} \cdot \mathbb{E}(oldsymbol{e}^{\lambda_{\mathsf{max}}(heta\mathbf{X})}) \ &= oldsymbol{e}^{- heta t} \cdot \mathbb{E}(\lambda_{\mathsf{max}}(oldsymbol{e}^{ heta\mathbf{X}})) \ &\leq oldsymbol{e}^{- heta t} \mathbb{E}(\mathsf{tr}(oldsymbol{e}^{ heta\mathbf{X}})). \end{aligned}$$

where the last line arises from $e^{\theta \mathbf{X}}$ having only positive eigenvalues, implying that $\lambda_{\max}(e^{\theta \mathbf{X}}) \leq \operatorname{tr}(e^{\theta \mathbf{X}})$. Taking the infimum of the trace MGF here and rewriting it using the normalized trace MGF then proves our desired result.

17/24

Alternative approach to bounding $m(\theta)$

We bound $m(\theta)$ by bounding the derivative $m'(\theta)$

$$m'(heta) = \mathbb{E} ar{ ext{tr}}[\mathbf{X} e^{ heta \mathbf{X}}] = rac{1}{2lpha} \mathbb{E} ar{ ext{tr}}[(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}')(e^{ heta \mathbf{X}} - e^{ heta \mathbf{X}'})]$$

We use the smoothness of $e^{\theta X}$



Lemma: Mean Value Trace Inequality

Lemma

Interval I on the real line. Functions $g: I \to \mathbb{R}$, weakly increasing, $h: I \to \mathbb{R}$, h' convex, is given. For all matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{H}^d(I)$,

$$ar{tr}[(g(\mathbf{A})-g(\mathbf{B}))(h(\mathbf{A})-h(\mathbf{B}))] \leq \frac{1}{2}ar{tr}[(g(\mathbf{A})-g(\mathbf{B}))(A-B)(h'(\mathbf{A})+h'(\mathbf{B}))]$$

(If h' is concave, the inequality is reversed.)

Proof.

Sketch: Prove the inequality pointwise for $a, b \in I$. Then, use Generalized Klein Inequality on traces to change pointwise inequality to hold for $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{H}^d(I)$.

◆□ → ◆同 → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → ◆ ○ ○ ○

Direct bound of $m'(\theta)$

Theorem

- 1 $m'(\theta) \leq \theta \cdot \mathbb{E}\bar{tr}[\triangle_{\mathbf{X}}e^{\theta\mathbf{X}}]$ for $\theta \geq 0$.
- 2 $m'(\theta) \ge \theta \cdot \mathbb{E}\bar{tr}[\triangle_{\mathbf{X}}e^{\theta\mathbf{X}}]$ for $\theta \le 0$.

Proof.

Suppose $\theta \ge 0$. (Proof is similar when $\theta \le 0$.) $g: x \mapsto x, h: s \mapsto e^{\theta s}$

$$\begin{split} m'(\theta) &= \frac{1}{2\alpha} \mathbb{E} \bar{\mathrm{tr}}[(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}')(e^{\theta \mathbf{X}} - e^{\theta \mathbf{X}'})] \\ &\leq \frac{\theta}{4\alpha} \mathbb{E} \bar{\mathrm{tr}}[(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}')^2(e^{\theta \mathbf{X}} + e^{\theta \mathbf{X}'})] \\ &= \frac{\theta}{2\alpha} \mathbb{E} \bar{\mathrm{tr}}[(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}')^2 e^{\theta \mathbf{X}}] \\ &= \theta \cdot \mathbb{E} \bar{\mathrm{tr}}[\triangle_{\mathbf{X}} e^{\theta \mathbf{X}}] \end{split}$$

Conditional variance bound

Definition

Matrix stein pair $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}') \in \mathbb{H}^d \times \mathbb{H}^d$. Assume there exists nonnegative constants c, v such that

$$\triangle_{\mathbf{X}} \preccurlyeq c\mathbf{X} + v\mathbf{I}$$

Corollary

- **1** $m'(\theta) \leq c\theta \cdot m'(\theta) + v\theta \cdot m(\theta)$ for $\theta \geq 0$.
- 2 $m'(\theta) \ge c\theta \cdot m'(\theta) + v\theta \cdot m(\theta)$ for $\theta \le 0$.



Concentration Inequality for bounded Random Matrices

Theorem

Matrix stein pair $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}') \in \mathbb{H}^d \times \mathbb{H}^d$ satisfying conditional variance bound $\triangle_{\mathbf{X}} \leq c\mathbf{X} + v\mathbf{I}$.

- 1 $\mathbb{P}(\lambda_{min}(\mathbf{X}) \leq -t) \leq d \exp\left(\frac{-t^2}{2v}\right)$
- 3 $\mathbb{E}[\lambda_{min}(\mathbf{X})] \ge -\sqrt{2v \log d}$

Proof.

Sketch: Solve the differential inequality on $\log m(\theta)$, integrate it, and use the laplace transformation method.

(L. MACKEY ET AL.) July 15, 2025 22/24

Matrix Hoeffding Inequaality

Theorem

Finite sequence of independent random matrices $(\mathbf{Y}_k)_{k\geq 1}$ in \mathbb{H}^d . Finite, deterministic sequence of matrices $(\mathbf{A}_k)_{k\geq 1}$ in \mathbb{H}^d . Suppose $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Y}_k]=0$ and $\mathbf{Y}_k^2 \preccurlyeq \mathbf{A}_k^2$ almost surely for all index k. Then, for all $t\geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\lambda_{max}(\sum_{k} \mathbf{Y}_{k}) \geq t\right) \leq d \exp\left(\frac{-t^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}\right), \sigma^{2} := \frac{1}{2} \left\|\sum_{k} (\mathbf{A}_{k}^{2} + \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Y}_{k}^{2}])\right\|$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[\lambda_{max}(\sum_{k} \mathbf{Y}_{k})] \leq \sigma \sqrt{2\log d}$$

Proof.

Observe that $\triangle_X = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (\mathbf{Y}_k^2 + \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Y}_k^2]) \leq \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$ and the theorem directly follows.

Recap: Azuma Concentration Inequality

Theorem (Azuma)

Finite sequence of Martingale Difference (Y_i, \mathcal{F}_i) satisfies $|Y_i| \le c_i$ almost surely for some constants c_i . Then, for all $t \ge 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \ge t) \le \exp\left(\frac{-t^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right)$$

