



Smart contracts security assessment

Final report

[Tariff: Standard](#)

Brilliant Coin

August 2025



0xguard.com



hello@0xguard.com

Contents

| | |
|-------------------------------------|----|
| 1. Introduction | 3 |
| 2. Contracts checked | 3 |
| 3. Procedure | 4 |
| 4. Known vulnerabilities checked | 4 |
| 5. Classification of issue severity | 5 |
| 6. Issues | 6 |
| 7. Conclusion | 9 |
| 8. Disclaimer | 10 |

Introduction

The report has been prepared for **Brilliant Coin**.

The code is available at the [@bebrilliant1/brilliantcoin](https://github.com/bebrilliant1/brilliantcoin) GitHub repository and was audited after the commit [7a501b2a8db8170644367ad5d688ea1251470e72](https://github.com/bebrilliant1/brilliantcoin/commit/7a501b2a8db8170644367ad5d688ea1251470e72). A recheck was done after the commit [c896e961a89bd6cff750910f13f41b2fa247d0f8](https://github.com/bebrilliant1/brilliantcoin/commit/c896e961a89bd6cff750910f13f41b2fa247d0f8)

The scope of the audit is the following smart contracts:

- BrilliantOracle.sol
- BrilliantReferralManager.sol
- BrilliantStaking.sol

| Name | Brilliant Coin |
|------------|-------------------------|
| Audit date | 2025-08-19 - 2025-08-20 |
| Language | Solidity |
| Platform | Base Chain |

Contracts checked

| Name | Address |
|--------------------------|---------|
| BrilliantOracle | |
| BrilliantStaking | |
| BrilliantReferralManager | |

Procedure

We perform our audit according to the following procedure:

Automated analysis

- Scanning the project's smart contracts with several publicly available automated Solidity analysis tools
- Manual verification (reject or confirm) all the issues found by the tools

Manual audit

- Manually analyze smart contracts for security vulnerabilities
- Smart contracts' logic check

Known vulnerabilities checked

| Title | Check result |
|---|--------------|
| <u>Unencrypted Private Data On-Chain</u> | passed |
| <u>Code With No Effects</u> | passed |
| <u>Message call with hardcoded gas amount</u> | passed |
| <u>Typographical Error</u> | passed |
| <u>DoS With Block Gas Limit</u> | passed |
| <u>Presence of unused variables</u> | passed |
| <u>Incorrect Inheritance Order</u> | passed |
| <u>Requirement Violation</u> | passed |
| <u>Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes</u> | passed |
| <u>Shadowing State Variables</u> | passed |

| | |
|---|--------|
| <u>Incorrect Constructor Name</u> | passed |
| <u>Block values as a proxy for time</u> | passed |
| <u>Authorization through tx.origin</u> | passed |
| <u>DoS with Failed Call</u> | passed |
| <u>Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee</u> | passed |
| <u>Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions</u> | passed |
| <u>Assert Violation</u> | passed |
| <u>State Variable Default Visibility</u> | passed |
| <u>Reentrancy</u> | passed |
| <u>Unprotected SELFDESTRUCT Instruction</u> | passed |
| <u>Unprotected Ether Withdrawal</u> | passed |
| <u>Unchecked Call Return Value</u> | passed |
| <u>Floating Pragma</u> | passed |
| <u>Outdated Compiler Version</u> | passed |
| <u>Integer Overflow and Underflow</u> | passed |
| <u>Function Default Visibility</u> | passed |

Classification of issue severity

High severity

High severity issues can cause a significant or full loss of funds, change of contract ownership, major interference with contract logic. Such issues require immediate attention.

Medium severity

Medium severity issues do not pose an immediate risk, but can be detrimental to the client's reputation if exploited. Medium severity issues may lead to a contract failure and can be fixed by modifying the contract state or redeployment. Such issues require attention.

Low severity

Low severity issues do not cause significant destruction to the contract's functionality. Such issues are recommended to be taken into consideration.

Issues

High severity issues

1. The owner can mint arbitrary number of Brilliant tokens (BrilliantStaking)

Status: Acknowledged

The BrilliantStaking contract allows the owner to set an arbitrary `referralManager` address via the `setReferralManager()` function. The `claimReferralRewards()` function then calls `referralManager.claimReferralRewards(msg.sender)` to determine the amount of BrilliantToken to mint for the caller. Crucially, the BrilliantStaking contract blindly trusts the uint256 value returned by the external `referralManager.claimReferralRewards()` call and uses this value directly in `brilliantToken.mint(msg.sender, pending)`.

```
function claimRewards() external payable nonReentrant {
    UserInfo storage user = userInfo[msg.sender];

    // Update pool
    updateAccRewards();

    // Calculate pending rewards
    uint256 pending = ((user.amount + user.vestedAmount) * accBrilliantPerShare /
ACC_PRECISION) - user.rewardDebt;
    pending += user.pendingRewards;

    // Check if rewards exceed minimum threshold
    require(pending >= minClaimThreshold, "GenStaking: Claim amount below min");

    // Calculate and distribute referral rewards
    if (pending > 0) {
        // Process the fee
        uint256 refundAmount = _checkAndProcessFee(pending);
```

```
// Refund any excess fee
if (refundAmount > 0) {
    (bool refundSuccess,) = msg.sender.call{ value: refundAmount }("");
    require(refundSuccess, "GenStaking: Refund failed");
}

user.pendingRewards = 0;
user.rewardDebt = (user.amount + user.vestedAmount) *
accBrilliantPerShare / ACC_PRECISION;

// Mint BRILL tokens as rewards
brilliantToken.mint(msg.sender, pending);

// Process referral rewards if referral manager is set
if (address(referralManager) != address(0)) {
    referralManager.processRewardClaim(msg.sender, pending);
}

emit RewardClaimed(msg.sender, pending);
}
}
```

By setting a malicious referralManager smart contract the owner can mint any number of Brilliant tokens to a specified address.

Since the referralManager can only be set once, there is no risk of manipulation after it has been assigned to the correct contract address.

Medium severity issues

1. Reward claims can be blocked by the contract owner (BrilliantStaking)

Status: Acknowledged

The contract allows the owner to set a referralManager address via the `setReferralManager()` function.

The

`claimRewards()` function attempts to process referral rewards by calling `referralManager.processRewardClaim(msg.sender, pending)` if address of referral manager contract is not a zero address. Setting it, for example, for an EOA address will effectively block all reward claims. **Since the referralManager can only be set once, there is no risk of manipulation after it has been assigned to the correct contract address.**

Low severity issues

1. Misleading errors (BrilliantStaking)

Status: Fixed

The error messages in the BrilliantStaking contract are misleading as they incorrectly use the prefix "GenStaking" instead of "BrilliantStaking".

Conclusion

Brilliant Coin BrilliantOracle, BrilliantStaking, BrilliantReferralManager contracts were audited. 1 high, 1 medium, 1 low severity issues were found.

1 low severity issue has been fixed in the update.

Privileged roles have extensive control over the protocol's core functions and funds. To address this, we recommend implementing robust security practices, including the use of multi-signature wallets, timelocks, and hardware wallets for privileged accounts management. Users are required to trust that the administrators that these accounts are properly secured and will not act maliciously.

Disclaimer

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Services Agreement, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to the Company in connection with the Agreement. This report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to or relied upon by any person for any purposes without 0xGuard prior written consent.

This report is not, nor should be considered, an “endorsement” or “disapproval” of any particular project or team. This report is not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any “product” or “asset” created by any team or project that contracts 0xGuard to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors, business, business model or legal compliance.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report in no way provides investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort. This report represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.



 Guard