**TOMRAP (METEOR) multihazard and exposure modelling - python**

Location of data for modelling:

Tanzania

Seismic: W:\teams\EPOM\METEOR\Data\WP6\_Multihazard\_impact\Data\Tanzania\Seismic

Flooding: W:\teams\EPOM\METEOR\Data\WP6\_Multihazard\_impact\Data\Tanzania\Flooding\Version\_2\_Tanz

Volcanic: W:\teams\EPOM\METEOR\Data\WP6\_Multihazard\_impact\Data\Tanzania\Volcanic\_basins

Exposure: W:\teams\EPOM\METEOR\Data\WP3\_Exposure\_Data\TZA\_buildings\_exposure\_20200731.shp

Nepal

Seismic: W:\teams\EPOM\METEOR\Data\WP6\_Multihazard\_impact\Data\Nepal\Seismic

Flooding: W:\teams\EPOM\METEOR\Data\WP6\_Multihazard\_impact\Data\Nepal\Flooding\Version 2\_nepal

Landslide: W:\teams\EPOM\METEOR\Data\WP6\_Multihazard\_impact\Data\Nepal\SuscHazardMaps\_Final

Exposure: W:\teams\EPOM\METEOR\Data\WP3\_Exposure\_Data\Deliverable4\_Nepal

Original Arc GIS files:


Nepal: W:\teams\EPOM\METEOR\Data\WP6\_Multihazard\_impact\Multihazard\_modelling\Modelling\_2020\Final\_outputs\Nepal

Tanzania:

W:\teams\EPOM\METEOR\Data\WP6\_Multihazard\_impact\Multihazard\_modelling\Modelling\_2020\Final\_outputs\Tanzania

In both Tanzania and Nepal follow the same instructions:

1. Identification of the inundation zone and inundation depth zones: Using the hazard footprints generated by BGS, GEM, FATHOM etc. Data is not comparable, so must generate an index to allow for later combination of data.
2. Identification of factors that affect the vulnerability of buildings and people and collection of data: In this case we have selected the criteria we can easily evaluate from the exposure data generated by ImageCat – building material and building height.
3. Calculation of the vulnerability of individual buildings within the inundation zone: Generated weights for each building types to express the potential vulnerability of individual buildings to a specific hazard. Earthquake – determined in agreement with GEM, Flooding – based on values from Kappes and discussed with FATHOM and ImageCat, Volcanic ash and pyroclastic flows – based on vulnerability curves from GEM and published literature (Neri et al., 2000; Simkin et al., 2001; Spence et al., 2007; Auker et al., 2013 and Blong et al., 2017) and Volcanic lahars – based on values from Kappes for debris flows.
4. Combine single hazard ‘relative vulnerability map’ to generate a multi-hazard vulnerability map – weighted to reflect hazard frequency.



**Step 1:**

Create an index value of hazard data per pixel. Ideally on a 1 – 5 scale, where the thresholds are defined by the distribution of the data (flood and earthquake).

Volcanic basins will need to be classified instead (high / medium / low), but will need to be on a comparable scale:

**Volcanic Basin’s** – convert shapes to high / medium / low hazard

**Pyroclastic flow** – Basin; If the pixel falls within a pyroclastic basin then assign High (5) to pixels within 0 – 15km of the summit, Medium (3) 15km – 30km. If pixel does not fall within the pyroclastic basin but does fall within 50km of the summit, assign Low (1).

**Lahar flow** – Basin; If the pixel falls within a lahar basin then assign High (5) to pixels within 0 – 50 km of the summit, Medium (3) 50km – 100km. If pixel does not fall within the lahar basin but does fall within 200km of the summit, assign Low (1).

**(Would it be possible to have an output here that generated the hazard index maps?)**

**Step 2:**

For all exposure data calculate % of each building type per pixel.

**Step 3:**

Use the building categories assign the following vulnerability weights – these need to be easily editable.

**Building Type Weights – Tanzania**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tanzania | Pluvial | Fluvial | Tephra | Lahar | Pyroclastic | Earthquake |
| CR/LFM/HBET:1,3 - Reinforced concrete moment frame (1-3 stories) | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.3 | 0.06 | 0.56 | 0.12 |
| CR/LFM/HBET:4,7 - Reinforced concrete moment frame (4-7 stories) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.15 | 0.1 | 0.63 | 0.32 |
| CR/LFM/HBET:8,20 - Reinforced concrete moment frame (8-20 stories) | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.7 | 0.16 |
| CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3 - Non-ductile reinforced concrete infilled frame (1-3 stories) | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.64 | 0.18 |
| CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:4,7 - Non-ductile reinforced concrete infilled frame (4-7 stories) | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.72 | 0.48 |
| CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:8,20 - Non-ductile reinforced concrete infilled frame (8-20 stories) | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.8 | 0.24 |
| S - Steel | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| MUR+CB99/HBET:1,3 - Unreinforced concrete block masonry (1-3 stories) | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.72 | 0.09 |
| MUR+CB99/HBET:4,7- Unreinforced concrete block masonry (4-7 stories) | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.2 | 0.81 | 0.24 |
| W - Wood | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.09 |
| MATO/LN - Informal constructions | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| MUR+ADO/HBET:1,3 - Unreinforced adobe masonry (1-3 stories) | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| MUR+CL99 - Unreinforced fired clay masonry | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| MUR+STRUB - Unreinforced rubble stone masonry | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| W+WWD - Wattle and Daub (Walls with bamboo/light timber log/reed mesh and post). | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.3 |

**Building Type Weights – Nepal**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Nepal** | **Pluvial** | **Fluvial** | **LS (rain)** | **LS (Eq)** | **EQ** |
| MUR+ADO/HBET:1,3 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| C99/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.18 |
| C99/LFINF+DNO/HBET:4,7 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0.48 |
| C99/LFINF+DNO/HBET:8,20 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.24 |
| MATO/LN | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| S | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| S/LFINF | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| MUR+CL99/HBET:1,3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.09 |
| MUR+CL99/HBET:4,7 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.2 | 0.25 | 0.24 |
| MUR+CL99+MOM | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| MUR+CL99+MOC | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| W | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.09 |
| W+WWD | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| MUR+STRUB+MOL | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| MUR+STRUB+MOM | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 |

**Tanzania - Hazard Footprint Weight (HFW)**

Fluvial: 0.5

Pluvial: 0.5

Earthquake – NA

Lahar: 0.45

Pf: 0.55

Apply these equations per pixel to the relevant hazard maps produced in previous sections:

**Flooding** -

Fluvial: (HFW:0.5)\*([HAZARD INDEX SCORE] \* ((%CR/LFM/HBET:1,3 \* 0.32) + (%CR/LFM/HBET:4,7 \*0.2) + (%CR/LFM/HBET:8,20 \* 0.12) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3 \* 0.4) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:4,7 \* 0.25) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:8,20 \* 0.15) + (%S\*0.09) + (%MUR+CB99/HBET:1,3 \* 0.4) + (%MUR+CB99/HBET:4,7 \* 0.25) + (%W\*0.8) + (%MATO/LN \* 0.56) + (%MUR+ADO/HBET:1,3 \*0.56) + (%MUR+CL99\*0.56) + (%MUR+STRUB \* 0.56) + (%W+WWD \* 0.56))

+

Pluvial: (HFW:0.5)\*( [HAZARD INDEX SCORE] \*((%CR/LFM/HBET:1,3 \* 0.32) + (%CR/LFM/HBET:4,7 \*0.2) + (%CR/LFM/HBET:8,20 \* 0.12) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3 \* 0.4) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:4,7 \* 0.25) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:8,20 \* 0.15) + (%S\*0.09) + (%MUR+CB99/HBET:1,3 \* 0.4) + (%MUR+CB99/HBET:4,7 \* 0.25) + (%W\*0.8) + (%MATO/LN \* 0.56) + (%MUR+ADO/HBET:1,3 \*0.56) + (%MUR+CL99\*0.56) + (%MUR+STRUB \* 0.56) + (%W+WWD \* 0.56))

**= Relative flooding vulnerability map**

**Earthquake** -

Earthquake: [HAZARD INDEX SCORE]\* ((%CR/LFM/HBET:1,3 \* 0.12) + (%CR/LFM/HBET:4,7 \*0.32) + (%CR/LFM/HBET:8,20 \* 0.16) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3 \* 0.18) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:4,7 \* 0.48) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:8,20 \* 0.24) + (%S\*0.2) + (%MUR+CB99/HBET:1,3 \* 0.09) + (%MUR+CB99/HBET:4,7 \* 0.24) + (%W\*0.09) + (%MATO/LN \* 0.3) + (%MUR+ADO/HBET:1,3 \*0.3) + (%MUR+CL99\*0.3) + (%MUR+STRUB \* 0.3) + (%W+WWD \* 0.3))

**= Relative earthquake vulnerability map**

**Volcanic** –

Lahar: (HFW:0.45)\*( [HAZARD INDEX SCORE]\*((%CR/LFM/HBET:1,3 \* 0.06) + (%CR/LFM/HBET:4,7 \*0.1) + (%CR/LFM/HBET:8,20 \* 0.06) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3 \* 0.6) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:4,7 \* 0.3) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:8,20 \* 0.18) + (%S\*0.3) + (%MUR+CB99/HBET:1,3 \* 0.4) + (%MUR+CB99/HBET:4,7 \* 0.2) + (%W\*1) + (%MATO/LN \* 1) + (%MUR+ADO/HBET:1,3 \*1) + (%MUR+CL99\*1) + (%MUR+STRUB \* 1) + (%W+WWD \* 1))

+

Pf: HFW(0.55)\*( [HAZARD INDEX SCORE]\* ((%CR/LFM/HBET:1,3 \* 0.56) + (%CR/LFM/HBET:4,7 \*0.63) + (%CR/LFM/HBET:8,20 \* 0.7) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3 \* 0.64) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:4,7 \* 0.72) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:8,20 \* 0.8) + (%S\*0.9) + (%MUR+CB99/HBET:1,3 \* 0.72) + (%MUR+CB99/HBET:4,7 \* 0.81) + (%W\*0.08) + (%MATO/LN \* 0.8) + (%MUR+ADO/HBET:1,3 \*0.8) + (%MUR+CL99\*0.8) + (%MUR+STRUB \* 0.8) + (%W+WWD \* 0.8))

**= Relative volcanic vulnerability map**

**Weight vulnerability map outputs - need to be easily editable**

Vulnerability Map Weights (VMW)

Flood: 0.5

Eq: 0.35

Volcanic: 0.15

(Relative Flood vulnerability (please use 1 in 100 for now) \* (VMW:0.5)) + (Relative Earthquake Vulnerability \* (VMW:0.35)) + (Relative Volcanic Vulnerability \* (VMW:0.15))

**= Tanzania Multihazard vulnerability.**

**Nepal**

**Hazard Footprint Weight (HFW)**

Fluvial: 0.5

Pluvial: 0.5

Earthquake – NA

Landslide - Rain: 0.5

Landslide - Eq: 0.5

Apply these equations to the relevant hazard maps produced in previous sections:

**Flooding** -

Fluvial: (HFW:0.5)\*([HAZARD INDEX SCORE] \* ((%CR/LFM/HBET:1,3 \* 0.32) + (%CR/LFM/HBET:4,7 \*0.2) + (%CR/LFM/HBET:8,20 \* 0.12) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3 \* 0.4) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:4,7 \* 0.25) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:8,20 \* 0.15) + (%S\*0.09) + (%MUR+CB99/HBET:1,3 \* 0.4) + (%MUR+CB99/HBET:4,7 \* 0.25) + (%W\*0.8) + (%MATO/LN \* 0.56) + (%MUR+ADO/HBET:1,3 \*0.56) + (%MUR+CL99\*0.56) + (%MUR+STRUB \* 0.56) + (%W+WWD \* 0.56))

+

Pluvial: (HFW:0.5)\*([HAZARD INDEX SCORE] \* ((%CR/LFM/HBET:1,3 \* 0.32) + (%CR/LFM/HBET:4,7 \*0.2) + (%CR/LFM/HBET:8,20 \* 0.12) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3 \* 0.4) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:4,7 \* 0.25) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:8,20 \* 0.15) + (%S\*0.09) + (%MUR+CB99/HBET:1,3 \* 0.4) + (%MUR+CB99/HBET:4,7 \* 0.25) + (%W\*0.8) + (%MATO/LN \* 0.56) + (%MUR+ADO/HBET:1,3 \*0.56) + (%MUR+CL99\*0.56) + (%MUR+STRUB \* 0.56) + (%W+WWD \* 0.56)))

**= Relative flooding vulnerability map**

**Earthquake** -

Earthquake: [HAZARD INDEX SCORE]\* ((%CR/LFM/HBET:1,3 \* 0.12) + (%CR/LFM/HBET:4,7 \*0.32) + (%CR/LFM/HBET:8,20 \* 0.16) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3 \* 0.18) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:4,7 \* 0.48) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:8,20 \* 0.24) + (%S\*0.2) + (%MUR+CB99/HBET:1,3 \* 0.09) + (%MUR+CB99/HBET:4,7 \* 0.24) + (%W\*0.09) + (%MATO/LN \* 0.3) + (%MUR+ADO/HBET:1,3 \*0.3) + (%MUR+CL99\*0.3) + (%MUR+STRUB \* 0.3) + (%W+WWD \* 0.3))

**= Relative earthquake vulnerability map**

**Landslide - hazard** -

Landslide - Rainfall: (HFW:0.5)\*([HAZARD INDEX SCORE] \* ((%CR/LFM/HBET:1,3 \* 0.2) + (%CR/LFM/HBET:4,7 \*0.1) + (%CR/LFM/HBET:8,20 \* 0.06) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3 \* 0.6) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:4,7 \* 0.3) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:8,20 \* 0.18) + (%S\*0.3) + (%MUR+CB99/HBET:1,3 \* 0.4) + (%MUR+CB99/HBET:4,7 \* 0.2) + (%W\*0.3) + (%MATO/LN \* 0.6) + (%MUR+ADO/HBET:1,3 \*0.6) + (%MUR+CL99\*0.6) + (%MUR+STRUB \* 0.6) + (%W+WWD \* 0.6)))

+

Landslide - Eq: (HFW:0.5)\*([HAZARD INDEX SCORE] \* ((%CR/LFM/HBET:1,3 \* 0.3) + (%CR/LFM/HBET:4,7 \*0.15) + (%CR/LFM/HBET:8,20 \* 0.09) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3 \* 0.7) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:4,7 \* 0.35) + (%CR/LFINF+DNO/HBET:8,20 \* 0.21) + (%S\*0.3) + (%MUR+CB99/HBET:1,3 \* 0.5) + (%MUR+CB99/HBET:4,7 \* 0.25) + (%W\*0.3) + (%MATO/LN \* 0.7) + (%MUR+ADO/HBET:1,3 \*0.7) + (%MUR+CL99\*0.7) + (%MUR+STRUB \* 0.7) + (%W+WWD \* 0.7)))

**= Relative landslide vulnerability map**

**Weight vulnerability map outputs – need to be easily editable**

Vulnerability Map Weights (VMW)

Flood: 0.333

Eq: 0.333

Landslide: 0.333

(Relative Flood vulnerability (please use 1 in 100 for now) \* (VMW:0.333)) + (Relative Earthquake Vulnerability \* (VMW:0.3333)) + (Relative Landslide Vulnerability \* (VMW:0.333))

**= Nepal Multihazard vulnerability.**

**Monte carlo to look at the sensitivity to all weights.**

**Outputs:**

**Single hazard index map**

**Exposure maps per building type – either number or % per pixel**

**Multihazard risk map**

**Extract multihazard risk per building type**

**After this I would like to experiment with having the weights be defined by an exceedance probability curve, so they would be different for every pixel. We could probably only do this for flood and seismic and would need to somehow ‘look up’ the value from a collection of vulnerability curves I have as .csv files – possibly for a smaller area to start, but ideally at national scale eventually. This would mean that the code would need to be able to read the original hazard values and the index values when adding the weighted value, as the weight would be defined by the original value but formulae would need the index value. It might be as well to try this initially with the earthquake (or PGA values), as I already have a collection of curves for the relevant building types for this hazard. It would be interesting to know if there would be a possibility to build in some functionality whereby users could either set expert elicitation weightings or upload the vulnerability curves that they have. I suppose that this would also mean that the users would need to be able to control the number of building types in their model run to match the number of building types that they have.**