Block 5

.

Enhancing the Usability and Integration of Automatic Test Generation Tools

Background

There exist several tools that automatically generate unit test cases for source code. These tools can generate test cases in a resource efficient manner and augment existing manually written test cases. However, automatically written test cases tend to have poor readability due to obscure identifier names and a lack of documentation, which can incur a significant maintenance effort once these tests are integrated into a codebase.

In order to address this issue, we have developed a tool to improve the readability of automatically written test cases by automatically adding documentation and renaming identifiers. Our rationale is that this improvement in readability will reduce the maintenance effort required associated with the use of automatically generated test cases, and significantly increase their usability.

This Survey

The goal of this survey is to evaluate the proposed tool's usefulness for software developers. Please note that this survey does **not** aim to evaluate the presented automatically written test cases. Instead, the focus is on evaluating the quality of the identifier renaming and the documentation generated by the proposed tool.

This survey starts with a small section regarding basic demographic information. After this, you will be presented with 4 versions of the same automatically generated test:

- a version containing a test case summary generated by the tool
- a version with the test case method name generated by the tool
- a version with variable names generated by the tool
- a version including all of the three modifications above (test summary, renamed methods and renamed variables)

You will be asked to evaluate each version on certain criteria. A version of the automatically generated test case in its original form will also be presented to you for reference.

The survey contains **16 questions** and will take **10-15 minutes** to complete. **15** of these questions are multiple choice. There are also optional feedback forms intended to allow you to elaborate on your answers if appropriate.

Thank you for taking the time to participate in this survey!

<i>Q1.1.</i> What is yo	our p	rimar	y pro	fessio	n?						
Software DevStudent (UndStudent (Grad	ergra duate	duate)									
Academia (Pi	rofess	sor or	Resea	archer)						
Q1.2. How many	y yea	ırs of	expe	rience	e do y	ou h	ave w	ith Ja	va (ir	ı year	rs)?
	0	6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54	60
Industr	у										
Academi	С										
Q1.3. Do you us Evosuite?	se au	toma	tic tes	st cas	e ger	neratio	on fra	mewo	orks, s	such	as
○ Yes											
○ No											
Q1.4. Do you us develop?	se su	ch au	ıtoma	tic tes	st cas	se ger	neratio	on too	ols in	proje	cts you
YesNo											
<i>Q1.5.</i> Please ela generation tools				ch too	ls yoı	u use	. If yo	u don	't use	any	test case

Section 1

.

Section 1

In this section, you will be asked to evaluate the quality of the test case summary generated by the proposed tool.

A). First, we present to you the original automatically generated test case (Listing **A**). The intended class under test is **AnsiOutput** (<u>GitHub Link</u>).

.

```
1. @Test(timeout = 4000)
2. public void test03() throws Throwable {
3.    AnsiStyle ansiStyle0 = AnsiStyle.NORMAL;
4.    String string0 = AnsiOutput.encode(ansiStyle0);
5.    Object[] objectArray0 = new Object[5];
6.    String string1 = AnsiOutput.toString(objectArray0);
7.    assertTrue(string1.equals((Object)string0));
8. }
```

B). The following is the same unit test but along with the summary generated by the tool (Listing **B**)

.

```
1. /**
```

- 2. * 1. Encodes a an AnsiStyle and stores the result
- 3. * *in* "*string0*".
- 4. * 2. Creates a new object array, converts it to

```
string using AnsiOutput, and checks if it
 5.
           is equal to "string0"
 6.
 7.
      */
    @Test(timeout = 4000)
    public void test03() throws Throwable
 9.
10.
         AnsiStyle ansiStyle0 = AnsiStyle.NORMAL;
11.
         String string0 = AnsiOutput.encode(ansiStyle0);
12.
         Object[] objectArray0 = new Object[5];
13.
         String string1 = AnsiOutput.toString(objectArray0);
14.
         assertTrue(string1.equals((Object)string0));
15. }
Q2.1. How would you rate the conciseness of the summary?
 ( ) Contains no unnecessary information

    Contains some unnecessary information

    Contains mostly unnecessary information

. (Optional) Please elaborate on your answer above if appropriate.
Q2.2. How would you rate the content of the summary provided?

    Not missing any important information

   Missing some important information
    Missing some very important information
```

. (Optional) Please elaborate on your answer above if appropriate.
Q2.3. How would you rate the readability of the summary provided?
Easy to read and understand
 Somewhat easy to read and understand
Oifficult to read and understand
. (Optional) Please elaborate on your answer above if appropriate.
Section 2
Section 2
In this section, you will be asked to evaluate the quality of the test case name suggested by our tool.
. We present the original test case here for reference
1. $@Test(timeout = 4000)$
<pre>2. public void test03() throws Throwable {</pre>
<pre>3. AnsiStyle ansiStyle0 = AnsiStyle.NORMAL;</pre>
<pre>4. String string0 = AnsiOutput.encode(ansiStyle0);</pre>

```
5.
         Object[] objectArray0 = new Object[5];
         String string1 = AnsiOutput.toString(objectArray0);
6.
         assertTrue(string1.equals((Object)string0));
7.
8. }
. For the test case above, our tool suggests the name
testSerializesDateRange
3.1. How would you rate the suggested test case name on the basis of its
ability to convey the intent of the test case?
Fully captures the intent of the test case
Mostly captures the intent of the test case

    Somewhat captures the intent of the test case

   Does not capture the intent of the test case
   Is misleading with regard to the intent of the test case
. (Optional) Please elaborate on your answer above if appropriate.
3.2. How would you rate the suggested test case name on the basis of its
naturalness?

    Easy to read and to understand

   Somewhat easy to read and understand
   Difficult to read and understand
. (Optional) Please elaborate on your answer above if appropriate.
```

Section 3

.

Section 3

In this section, you will be asked to evaluate the quality of the variable names suggested by our tool.

. We present the original test case here for reference

```
.
```

```
@Test(timeout = 4000)
1.
   public void test03() throws Throwable
2.
                                             {
3.
       AnsiStyle ansiStyle0 = AnsiStyle.NORMAL;
4.
       String string0 = AnsiOutput.encode(ansiStyle0);
       Object[] objectArray0 = new Object[5];
5.
       String string1 = AnsiOutput.toString(objectArray0);
6.
       assertTrue(string1.equals((Object)string0));
7.
8. }
```

. Here is a version of the same test but with variables renamed according to the suggestions made by our tool:

```
.
```

```
1. @Test(timeout = 4000)
```

2. public void test03() throws Throwable {

```
3. AnsiStyle style = AnsiStyle.NORMAL;
4. String encoded = AnsiOutput.encode(style);
5. Object[] data = new Object[5];
6. String result = AnsiOutput.toString(data);
7. assertTrue(result.equals((Object)encoded));
8. }
```

Q4.1. How would you rate the **variable names** used for the code snippet above?

	Fully conveys the intended usage of the variable	Somewhat conveys the intended usage of the variable	Does not convey the intedend usage of the variable	Does not convey the intended usage of the variable, and is misleading
style			\bigcirc	\bigcirc
encoded				\bigcirc
data		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
result			\bigcirc	

. (Optional) If you	think that th	ie above	variable	names	need	improve	ements,
please suggest m	ore appropr	iate nam	ies.				

Section 4

. Section 4

In this last section of the survey, you will be presented with a version of the test case that includes the summaries, method names and variable names

generated by our tool.

8. }

. We present the original version of the test case here for reference:

```
    @Test(timeout = 4000)
    public void test03() throws Throwable {
    AnsiStyle ansiStyle0 = AnsiStyle.NORMAL;
    String string0 = AnsiOutput.encode(ansiStyle0);
    Object[] objectArray0 = new Object[5];
    String string1 = AnsiOutput.toString(objectArray0);
    assertTrue(string1.equals((Object)string0));
```

. Here is the version of the test case using all the transformations provided by the proposed tool:

```
1. /**
 2.
     * 1. Encodes a an AnsiStyle and stores the result
3.
          in "encoded".
     * 2. Creates a new object array, converts it to
 4.
          string using AnsiOutput, and checks if it
 5.
     *
          is equal to "encoded"
 6.
     */
 7.
8.
      @Test(timeout = 4000)
      public void shouldSerializeDateRange() throws Throwabl
9.
10.
          AnsiStyle style = AnsiStyle.NORMAL;
11.
          String encoded = AnsiOutput.encode(style);
```

12. 13. 14. 15. }	<pre>Object[] data = new Object[5]; String result = AnsiOutput.toString(data); assertTrue(result.equals((Object)encoded));</pre>
snippet 4-b	would you rate the overall improvement in readability in the code (enhanced using the proposed tool) over code snippet 4-a (original ly generated test)?
Minor inc No chan Minor de	ant increase in readability crease in readability ge in readability ecrease in readability ant decrease in readability
. (Optional)	Please elaborate on your answer above if appropriate.
also use the Extreme Somewh Neither I Somewh	

. (Optional) Please elaborate on your answer above if appropriate.

Q5.3. With the existence of t automatically written tests in	the proposed tool, how likely are you to	utilize
-	projects you work ou:	
Extremely likely		
Somewhat likelyNeither likely nor unlikely		
Somewhat unlikely		
Extremely unlikely		
. (Optional) Please elaborate	e on your answer above if appropriate.	
Q5.4. Please rank the featur	es of the tool that you found useful (if a	ny) in the
	erated test cases, in order of relative im	
Items	Useful	
Test Case Summaries	OSeiui	
Variable Renaming		
Method Renaming		
	Not Useful	
	Not Oseiui	

. (Optional) Please elaborate on your answer above it appropriate.
Block 4
. (Optional) Feel free to leave any final comments here.
. We appreciate you taking the time to participate in our survey. Please go to the next page to finalize and submit the survey.

Powered by Qualtrics