DATASET NUTRITION LABEL BEHAVRIOAL RISK FACTOR (TOBACCO USE) AND SEASONALLY LAUS ESTIMATES DATA

ABOUT THIS DATA SET LOOKS AT THE CORRELATION BETWEEN THE HISTORICAL LABOR FORCE AND EMPLOYMENT AND MODIFIABLE RISK FACTORS FOR CHRONIC DISEASES, SUCH AS TOBACCO USE.

CONTENT: TWO CSV FILES

KEYWORDS: CSV1: BEHAVIORAL RISK FACTOR:

TOBACCO USE(2011 TO PRESENT)

BEHAVIORAL RISKS WASHINGTON STATE LABORCSV2: SEASONALLY ADJUSTED LAUS

FORCE ESTIMATES TOBACCO USE

Source: git@github.com:INFO-201-Fall-MENTAL HEALTH

2023/Final-Project-Group11.git WHO OWNS THE

DATA SET:

DATA.GOV

LICENSE:

HTTP://OPENDEFIN

ITION.ORG/LICENS

ES/ODC-ODBL/

TIMEFRAME: 2011-PRESENT

PROCESS OF DATA COLLECTION: **CSV1: THE DATA FOR THE STATE SYSTEM** WERE EXTRACTED FROM THE ANNUAL **BRFSS SURVEYS FROM PARTICIPATING**

STATES.

CSV2: HISTORICAL RESIDENT LABOR FORCE AND EMPLOYMENT, SEASONALLY ADJUSTED. WASHINGTON STATE AND LABOR MARKET AREAS, 1990-2022.

NUTRITION LABEL BEHAVRIOAL RISK FACTOR (TOBACCO USE) AND SEASONALLY LAUS ESTIMATES DATA

TOOLS USED TO COLLECT DATA: CSV1: STATE-BASED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM THAT LOOKED AT CHRONIC DISEASES IN THE STATE.

WHO FUNDS THE DATA? US GOVERNMENT

INTENDED USE OF THE DATASETS:

CSV1 - THE DATA FOR THE STATE SYSTEM WERE EXTRACTED FROM THE ANNUAL BRFSS SURVEYS FROM PARTICIPATING STATES. TOBACCO TOPICS INCLUDED ARE CIGARETTE AND E-CIGARETTE USE PREVALENCE BY DEMOGRAPHICS, CIGARETTE AND E-CIGARETTE USE FREQUENCY, AND QUIT ATTEMPTS

CSV2 - THE DATA FOR THE STATE SYSTEM WERE EXTRACTED FROM THE ANNUAL BRFSS SURVEYS FROM PARTICIPATING STATES. TOBACCO TOPICS INCLUDED ARE CIGARETTE AND E-CIGARETTE USE PREVALENCE BY DEMOGRAPHICS, CIGARETTE AND E-CIGARETTE USE FREQUENCY, AND QUIT ATTEMPTS

COMMUNITIES AND GROUPS REPRESENTED:

- MALE
- FEMALE
- ALL AGES
- ALL EDUCATION LEVELS

NUTRITION LABEL
BEHAVRIOAL RISK FACTOR (TOBACCO USE)
AND SEASONALLY LAUS ESTIMATES DATA

POTENTIAL CONCERNS AROUND MAKING DECISIONS AT THE INDIVIDUAL LEVEL:

SINCE THIS DATASET INCLUDES INFORMATION FROM A LOT OF PARTS OF THE POPULATION, IT IS IMPORTANT TO LOOK AT THIS DATASET AS SEEING A COMMON PATTERN OF TRENDS, RATHER THAN APPLYING THIS TO ANY INDIVIDUAL THAT IS PART OF THE DATASET.

MITIGATION TECHNIQUES: FOR THIS REASON, IT IS CRUCIAL THAT WE DO NOT GROUP BASED OFF GENERALITIES AND MAKE INFERENCES FOR A WHOLE IDENTITY OF PEOPLE BASED OFF ONE DATA POINT.

DID PEOPLE FROM THE COMMUNITIES, GROUPS OR IDENTITIES REPRESENTED IN THE DATASET PARTICIPATE IN THE DATA COLLECTION PROCESS?

NO, AS BOTH OF OUR DATA SETS CAME FROM THE DATA.GOV IN WHICH THE GOVERNMENT WAS THE MAIN DATA COLLECTOR. FOR THIS REASON, THE DATA IT WAS COLLECTING WAS NOT FULLY REPRESENTATIVE OF THE PEOPLE, CONSIDERING THE DIFFERENT AMOUNT OF DEMOGRAPHICS SUCH AS ADULTS AGED 18-24, AND IN OUR FIRST CSV, THE SURVEY WAS TAKEN ON A STATE-BASED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM, WHICH IS COLLECTED THROUGH DSHS EMS AND POISON CONTROL REGISTRIES.